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Preface

The National Practitioner Data Bank Guidebook is meant to serve as a resource for the users of the National Practitioner Data Bank (NPDB). It is one of a number of efforts to inform the United States health care community about the NPDB and what is required to comply with the requirements established by Title IV of Public Law 99-660, the Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986, as amended. This Guidebook contains information that authorized users need to interact with the NPDB. Authorized users include State licensing authorities; medical malpractice payers; hospitals and other health care entities; and physicians, dentists, and other licensed health care practitioners.

Final regulations governing the NPDB were published in the Federal Register on October 17, 1989, and are codified at 45 CFR Part 60. The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) is responsible for implementing the NPDB.

This Guidebook is divided into broad topical sections. This introduction contains general information on the NPDB, which includes its history, the laws and regulations that govern it, and other information for authorized users. Chapter H, Information Sources, provides a variety of sources to facilitate user interaction with the NPDB. The Glossary, included as Appendix A, defines terms helpful in understanding NPDB operations, including querying and reporting requirements.

This edition of the NPDB Guidebook reflects the entire range of NPDB policies and operations, including those that have changed or expanded since the NPDB opened in September 1990. This comprehensive Guidebook is for both new and experienced entities that are eligible to participate in the NPDB; it supersedes all previous versions.

Background

The legislation that led to the creation of the NPDB was enacted because the U.S. Congress perceived that the increasing occurrence of medical malpractice litigation and the need to improve the quality of medical care had become nationwide problems that warranted greater efforts than those that could be undertaken by any individual State. Effective professional peer review can restrict the ability of incompetent practitioners to move from State to State without disclosure or discovery of previous damaging or incompetent performance. The Congress felt that the threat of private money damage liability under Federal laws, including treble damage liability under Federal antitrust law, unreasonably discouraged physicians and dentists from participating in effective professional peer review. Therefore, Congress sought to provide incentive and protection for physicians and dentists engaging in effective professional peer review.

Hearings were held in the U.S. House of Representatives on the proposed legislation, the Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986, on March 18 and July 15, 1986, by the Subcommittee on Health and the Environment, Committee on Energy and Commerce, and on October 8 and 9, 1986, by the Subcommittee on Civil and Constitutional Rights, Committee on the Judiciary. At these public hearings, testimony was given by physicians, attorneys, insurance
officials, representatives of health care
associations, and others. The Health Care
Quality Improvement Act of 1986 was
incorporated as Title IV into legislation
requiring States to develop, establish, and
implement State comprehensive mental
health plans. This legislation became
Public Law 99-660 when it was signed by
President Ronald Reagan on
November 14, 1986.

Title IV of Public Law 99-660

The intent of Title IV of Public Law
99-660 is to improve the quality of health
care by encouraging State licensing
boards, hospitals and other health care
entities, and professional societies to
identify and discipline those who engage
in unprofessional behavior; and to restrict
the ability of incompetent physicians,
dentists, and other health care practitioners
to move from State to State without
disclosure or discovery of previous
medical malpractice payment and adverse
action history. Adverse actions can
involve licensure, clinical privileges, and
professional society memberships.

Civil Liability Protection

To encourage and support professional
review activity of physicians and dentists,
Part A of Title IV provides that the
professional review bodies of hospitals
and other health care entities, and persons
serving on or otherwise assisting such
bodies, are offered immunity from private
damages in civil suits under Federal or
State law. Immunity provisions apply
when professional review responsibilities
are conducted with the reasonable belief of
furthering the quality of health care and
with proper regard for due process. There
are exceptions under the law for civil
rights actions and antitrust actions brought
by Federal and State Governments.

In order to receive immunity protection, a
professional review action regarding the
professional competence or professional
conduct of a physician or dentist must be
taken:

• In the reasonable belief that the action
  was in the furtherance of quality health
care.

• After a reasonable effort to obtain the
  facts of the matter.

• After adequate notice and hearing
  procedures are afforded to the
  physician or dentist involved or after
  such other procedures as are fair to the
  physician or dentist under the
  circumstances.

• In the reasonable belief that the action
  was warranted by the facts known,
  after such reasonable effort to obtain
  facts and after meeting the notice and
  hearing requirement.

Because the immunity provided by the
Health Care Quality Improvement Act is
from liability rather than from suit, a
disciplined physician or dentist retains the
right to sue; however, the court may award
attorneys' fees and court costs to the
defendants if the suit is determined to be
frivolous, unreasonable, without
foundation, or in bad faith.

Title IV of Public Law 99-660, the Health
Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986,
led to the establishment of the NPDB, an
information clearinghouse, to collect and
release certain information related to the
professional competence and conduct of
physicians, dentists, and, in some cases,
other health care practitioners. The establishment of the NPDB represents an important step by the U.S. Government to enhance professional review efforts by making certain information concerning medical malpractice payments and adverse actions available to eligible entities and individuals.

A web link to the NPDB Regulations codified at 45 CFR Part 60 is referenced in Appendix B of this Guidebook.

**Interpretation of NPDB Information**

The NPDB is primarily an alert or flagging system. The information contained in it is intended to direct discrete inquiry into and scrutiny of specific areas of a practitioner’s licensure, professional society memberships, medical malpractice payment history, and record of clinical privileges. NPDB information is an important supplement to a comprehensive and careful review of a practitioner’s professional credentials. The NPDB is intended to augment, not replace, traditional forms of credentials review. As a nationwide flagging system, it provides another resource to assist State licensing boards, hospitals, and other health care entities in conducting extensive, independent investigations of the qualifications of the health care practitioners they seek to license or hire, or to whom they wish to grant clinical privileges. Settlement of a medical malpractice claim may occur for a variety of reasons that do not necessarily reflect negatively on the professional competence or conduct of the physician, dentist, or other health care practitioner. Thus, a payment made in settlement of a medical malpractice action or claim shall not be construed as a presumption that medical malpractice has occurred.

The information in the NPDB should serve only to alert State licensing authorities and health care entities that there may be a problem with a particular practitioner’s professional competence or conduct. NPDB information should be considered together with other relevant data in evaluating a practitioner’s credentials (e.g., evidence of current competence through continuous quality improvement studies, peer recommendations, health status, verification of training and experience, and relationships with patients and colleagues).

**Confidentiality of NPDB Information**

Information reported to the NPDB is considered confidential and shall not be disclosed except as specified in the NPDB regulations at 45 CFR Part 60. The confidential receipt, storage, and disclosure of information is an essential ingredient of NPDB operations. A comprehensive security system has been designed to prevent manipulation of and access to the data by unauthorized staff or external sources. The facility in which the NPDB is housed meets HHS security specifications, and NPDB staff have undergone in-depth background security investigations.

The Office of Inspector General (OIG), HHS, has been delegated the authority to impose civil money penalties on those who violate the confidentiality provisions of Title IV. The civil money penalties for violating the confidentiality provisions of
Title IV are to be imposed in the same manner as other civil money penalties pursuant to §1128A of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. 1320a-7a. Regulations governing civil money penalties under §1128A are set forth at 42 CFR Part 1003.

For each violation of confidentiality, a civil money penalty of up to $11,000 can be levied. In any case in which it is determined that more than one party was responsible for improperly disclosing confidential information, a penalty of up to the maximum $11,000 limit can be imposed against each responsible individual, entity, or organization.

Persons or entities who receive information from the NPDB either directly or indirectly are subject to the confidentiality provisions and the imposition of a civil money penalty if they violate those provisions. When an authorized agent is designated to handle NPDB queries, both the entity and the agent are required to maintain confidentiality in accordance with Title IV requirements.

The Privacy Act, 5 USC §552a, protects the contents of Federal systems of records on individuals, like those contained in the NPDB, from disclosure without the individual’s consent, unless the disclosure is for a routine use of the system of records as published annually in the Federal Register. The published routine uses of NPDB information, which are based on the laws and the regulations under which the NPDB operates, do not allow disclosure to the general public. The limited access provision of the Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986, as amended, supersedes the disclosure requirements of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 USC §552, as amended.

The confidentiality provisions of Title IV do not prohibit an eligible entity receiving information from the NPDB to disclose the information to others who are part of the peer review process, as long as the information is used for the purpose for which it was provided. Examples of appropriate uses of NPDB information include:

- A hospital may disclose the information it receives from the NPDB to hospital officials responsible for reviewing a practitioner’s application for a medical staff appointment or clinical privileges. In this case, both the hospital officials who receive the information and the hospital officials who subsequently review it during the employment process are subject to the confidentiality provisions of Title IV.

- A private accreditation entity can review confidential information that a health care entity has obtained regarding its practitioners only if the purpose of the disclosure is to carry out peer review activity for that health care entity (i.e., the private accreditation entity maintains a role in the decision-making process for practitioner membership in the health care entity, which would make its activities part of the peer review process). If the private accreditation entity’s activities are not considered part of the peer review process, the private accreditation entity cannot view any documents that the health care entity has obtained from the NPDB that show the results of an NPDB query (e.g., match or no match), such as an NPDB report or the
query response document entitled, *Response to Information Disclosure Request*. However, the health care entity would not be in violation of the confidentiality requirements if it discloses a copy of the *Response to Information Disclosure Request* to the private accreditation entity, as long as information that discloses the query result is removed from the copy, (i.e., so the document shows only the names on which queries were submitted). Additionally, if the health care entity obtains a release from a physician authorizing it to specifically release confidential information it obtains from the NPDB to the private accreditation entity, the health care entity may do so without violating the NPDB’s confidentiality restrictions.

The confidentiality provisions do not apply to the original documents or records from which the reported information is obtained. The NPDB’s confidentiality provisions do not impose any new confidentiality requirements or restrictions on those documents or records. Thus, these confidentiality provisions do not bar or restrict the release of the underlying documents, or the information itself, by the entity taking the adverse action or making the payment in settlement of a written medical malpractice complaint or claim. For example, if a hospital that reported an adverse action against a physician pursuant to the provisions of Title IV receives a subpoena for the underlying records, it may not refuse to provide the requested documents on the grounds that Title IV bars the release of the records or information.

Individual health care practitioners who obtain information about themselves from the NPDB are permitted to share that information with whomever they choose.

**Disclosure of NPDB Information**

The *Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986*, as amended, and its governing regulations limit the disclosure of information in the NPDB. Information is available to:

- Hospitals requesting information concerning a practitioner on their medical staff or to whom they have granted clinical privileges, or with respect to professional review activity.
- Health care entities (including hospitals) that have entered or may be entering employment or affiliation relationships with a practitioner or to which the practitioner has applied for clinical privileges or appointment to the medical staff, or with respect to professional review activity.
- Practitioners requesting information about themselves.
- Boards of medical examiners or other State licensing boards.
- Attorneys or individuals representing themselves upon submission of proof that a hospital failed to submit a mandatory query.
- Persons or entities requesting information in a form which does not identify any particular entity or practitioner.

The *Privacy Act* protects the contents of Federal systems of records on individuals, like those in the NPDB, from disclosure
without the individual's consent unless the disclosure is for a routine use of the system of records as published annually in the *Federal Register*. The published routine uses of NPDB information, which are consistent with the law and the regulations under which it operates, do not include disclosure to the general public.

- The general public may not request information that identifies any particular entity or practitioner from the NPDB.
- Medical malpractice payers may not request information even though they are required to report.

See §60.11 of the NPDB Regulations. A link to the NPDB Regulations is included in Appendix B of this *Guidebook*.

**Coordination Between the NPDB and the HIPDB**

The Healthcare Integrity and Protection Data Bank (HIPDB) was established through the *Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996* (HIPAA), Public Law 104-191. This law directed the Secretary of HHS and the U.S. Attorney General to create the HIPDB to combat fraud and abuse in health insurance and health care delivery. The HIPDB is a national data collection program for reporting and disclosing certain final adverse actions taken against health care practitioners, providers, and suppliers.

To alleviate the burden on those entities that must report to both the NPDB and the HIPDB, a system has been created to allow an entity that must report the same adverse action to both Data Banks to submit the report only once. This Integrated Querying and Reporting Service (IQRS) is able to sort the appropriate actions into the HIPDB, the NPDB, or both. Similarly, entities authorized to query both Data Banks have the option of querying both the NPDB and the HIPDB with a single query submission.

**Official Language**

The official language of the NPDB is English, and all documents submitted to the NPDB must be written in English. Documents submitted in any other language are not accepted.

**User Fees**

User fees are assessed to cover the processing costs for all queries for NPDB information. Refer to the NPDB-HIPDB web site at [www.npdb-hipdb.com](http://www.npdb-hipdb.com) for details regarding the payment of NPDB user fees.
What is an Eligible Entity?

Entities entitled to participate in the National Practitioner Data Bank are defined in the provisions of Title IV of Public Law 99-660, the Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986, as amended, and in the regulations codified at 45 CFR Part 60. Eligible entities are responsible for meeting Title IV reporting and/or querying requirements, as appropriate. Each eligible entity must certify its eligibility in order to report to and/or query the NPDB.

Information from the NPDB is available to State licensing boards, hospitals and other health care entities, professional societies, certain Federal agencies, and others as specified in the law. The NPDB collects information related to the professional competence and conduct of physicians, dentists, and, in some cases, other health care practitioners.

To be eligible to report to the NPDB, an entity must be one of the following:

- An entity that makes a medical malpractice payment.
- A board of medical examiners or a State licensing board taking an adverse action against a physician or dentist.
- A health care entity that takes an adverse clinical privileging action as a result of professional review.
- A professional society that takes an adverse membership action as a result of professional review.

Each entity is responsible for determining its eligibility to participate in the NPDB and must certify that eligibility to the NPDB in writing.

To be eligible to query the NPDB, an entity must be:

- A board of medical examiners or other State licensing board.
- A hospital.
- A health care entity that provides health care services and follows a formal peer review process to further quality health care.
- A professional society that follows a formal peer review process to further quality health care.
Defining Health Care Entities

Health care entities include hospitals and other organizations that provide health care services and follow a formal peer review process in order to further quality health care. See §60.3 of the NPDB Regulations. A link to the NPDB Regulations is included in Appendix B of this Guidebook.

Hospitals

A hospital is defined under Section 1861(e)(1) and (7) of the Social Security Act as an institution primarily engaged in providing, by or under the supervision of physicians, to inpatients: diagnostic and therapeutic services; rehabilitation services for medical diagnosis, treatment, and care; or rehabilitation of injured, disabled, or sick persons.

Hospitals must be licensed or approved as meeting the standard established for licensing by the State or applicable local licensing authorities.

Other Health Care Entities

A health care entity must provide health care services and follow a formal peer review process to further quality health care.

The phrase “provides health care services” means the delivery of health care services through any of a broad array of coverage arrangements or other relationships with practitioners either by employing them directly, or through contractual or other arrangements. This definition specifically excludes indemnity insurers that have no contractual or other arrangement with physicians, dentists, or other health care practitioners.

Examples of other health care entities may include health maintenance organizations (HMOs), preferred provider organizations (PPOs), group practices, nursing homes, rehabilitation centers, hospices, renal dialysis centers, and free-standing ambulatory care and surgical service centers.

In addition to HMOs and PPOs, other managed care organizations may qualify as health care entities. A health care entity must provide health care services and follow a formal peer review process to further quality health care to satisfy the eligibility requirements of Title IV.

Examples of hospitals and other health care entities are listed in the table that follows.
Examples of Hospitals and Other Health Care Entities

**Hospitals**

- All Federal and non-Federal short-term care general and specialty hospitals that are licensed or otherwise authorized by the State.

- All Federal and non-Federal long-term care general and specialty hospitals that provide diagnostic and/or therapeutic care under the supervision of a physician and/or psychologist, that are licensed or otherwise authorized by the State.

- A long-term skilled nursing facility that is licensed as a hospital by the State, as long as care is provided under the supervision of a physician or psychologist.

- A hospice that provides skilled nursing and comfort care under the supervision of a physician and which is licensed by the State.

**Other Health Care Entities**

- Ambulatory or outpatient care centers, even when otherwise part of a hospital.

- “One-day surgery” centers, even when otherwise part of a hospital.

- Nursing homes that provide skilled nursing care not under the supervision of a physician or psychologist.

- Hospices that provide care not under the supervision of a physician or psychologist.

- Nursing homes or hospices that provide only daily care.

---

**Defining Professional Societies**

A professional society is a membership association of physicians, dentists, or other health care practitioners that follows a formal peer review process for the purpose of furthering quality health care.

Examples of professional membership societies may include national, State, county, and district medical and dental societies and academies of medicine and dentistry. Examples of professional organizations that ordinarily do not meet the definition of a professional society include medical and surgical specialty certification boards, independent practice associations (IPAs), and PPOs.

Professional societies are not automatically eligible to query and/or report to the NPDB. A professional society must qualify as a “health care entity” as defined in §60.3 of the NPDB regulations. To meet NPDB eligibility requirements, a professional society must follow a formal peer review process for the purpose of furthering quality health care.

**Defining State Licensing Boards**

A State licensing board, or board of medical examiners, is responsible for licensing, monitoring, and disciplining physicians, dentists, or other health care practitioners. A board of medical examiners includes a medical or dental board, a board of osteopathic examiners, a composite board, a subdivision, or an equivalent body as determined by the State.
Defining Medical Malpractice Payers

A medical malpractice payer is an entity that makes a medical malpractice payment for the benefit of physicians, dentists, or other health care practitioners in settlement of or in satisfaction in whole or in part of, a claim or judgment against such practitioner.

Registering with the NPDB

Eligible entities are responsible for meeting Title IV reporting and/or querying requirements. Entities not currently registered with the NPDB are responsible for determining their eligibility and registering with the NPDB by completing an Entity Registration form. A Data Bank Identification Number (DBID), a user ID, and a password are issued to each successfully registered entity. An entity that does not have this information is not registered with the NPDB and will be unable to submit reports and queries.

The Entity Registration form may be downloaded from the NPDB-HIPDB website at www.npdb-hipdb.com. The Entity Registration form allows entities to register simultaneously for both the NPDB and the Healthcare Integrity and Protection Data Bank (HIPDB). The information requested on this form provides the NPDB with essential information concerning your entity, such as your organization's name, address, Federal Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN), and type of ownership; your organization’s authority to participate in the NPDB and the HIPDB under each of the statutes governing the Data Banks (Title IV for the NPDB; and Section 1128E for the HIPDB); your organization’s primary function or service (e.g., entity type); and, for those entities authorized by law to query both Data Banks, whether queries are to be submitted to the NPDB only, to the HIPDB only, or to both Data Banks. This information allows the NPDB to register your entity’s authorization to participate in the NPDB, and to determine your entity’s reporting and/or querying requirements and restrictions.

Certifying Official

A certifying official is the individual selected and empowered by an entity to certify the legitimacy of registration for participation in the NPDB.

The Entity Registration form contains certification information that must be completed by an entity’s certifying official. The entity’s certifying official certifies the legitimacy of the registration information provided to the NPDB. The certification section must contain an original ink signature and a signature date. Faxed, stamped, or photocopied signatures are unacceptable. The title of the certifying official, a telephone number, and an e-mail address must also be provided.

Once the completed Entity Registration form is received and processed, the NPDB assigns a unique, confidential DBID and password and sends an Entity Registration Verification document to the entity. This document contains the entity’s confidential DBID, user ID, and password, as well as the information that was provided to the NPDB on the Entity Registration form. The certifying official should read the document carefully and, if the document contains any errors, follow the instructions provided on the document for correcting the inaccurate information.
The certifying official may also designate an authorized agent to query and/or report on behalf of the entity by completing an Authorized Agent Designation form and submitting it to the NPDB. (Specific responsibilities of authorized agents are described on page B-7.)

**Entity Recertification**

The NPDB periodically requires entities to recertify their eligibility. At these times, the NPDB sends to each active entity the current identification information on file with the NPDB. The entity’s certifying official should review the information to ensure that it is correct, indicate the entity’s applicable certification statement, sign the document, and return it to the NPDB.

**Data Bank Identification Numbers (DBIDs)**

Each entity that registers with the NPDB is assigned a unique DBID and password as well as an initial user ID. DBIDs are used to identify registered entities and authorized agents, and must be provided on all reports, queries, and correspondence submitted to the NPDB.

A DBID is a link into the NPDB computer system and should be safeguarded to prevent inadvertent disclosure. It is revealed only to the entity or agent to which it is assigned. In the event that your entity’s DBID is compromised, follow the instructions in the Deactivate a DBID section.

The assignment of a DBID is not a representation by HHS that an entity meets the eligibility criteria for participation in the NPDB, as specified in the Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986, as amended, and its implementing regulations, 45 CFR Part 60. Each entity is responsible for determining whether it meets the eligibility criteria and for certifying its eligibility to the NPDB.

DBIDs are assigned only to entities that certify their eligibility to the NPDB and to authorized agents who act on behalf of registered entities. **DBIDs are not assigned to certifying officials, authorized submitters or other individuals associated with a reporting or querying entity.** However, entities may create multiple user accounts (user IDs) for a given DBID (see the User ID section in this chapter). For each user ID that an entity establishes, the entity must also create a separate password. For more information on establishing multiple user IDs, refer to the NPDB-HIPDB web site.

**Deactivate a DBID**

An eligible entity may request at any time that its current DBID be deactivated and a new DBID assigned by selecting the Assign New DBID or Deactivate DBID boxes on the Entity Registration form and completing the required sections. For instance, if you believe that your entity’s DBID has been compromised in any way, or if your entity merges with another entity, you may wish to deactivate your DBID and request a new one. You must provide your reason for requesting a new DBID on the completed form when it is returned to the NPDB for processing.

Additionally, if at any time, your entity relinquishes eligibility to participate in the NPDB, your entity’s certifying official must notify the NPDB in writing to deactivate your entity’s DBID. The Entity Registration form, which can be retrieved from the NPDB-HIPDB web site, must be
used to request deactivation. The Deactivate DBID option must be checked and the required sections of the form completed. The reason for deactivation must be provided on the completed form when it is returned to the NPDB for processing.

Reactivate a DBID

If your entity’s DBID is currently inactive and you determine that it should be active, your entity’s certifying official should complete an Entity Registration form. Select the Reactivate an Entity option on the form to request that the DBID be reactivated. The reason for reactivation must be provided on the completed form when it is returned to the NPDB for processing.

User IDs

Entities can create multiple user accounts so that multiple departments/people can use the same DBID for querying and reporting. User IDs are created and maintained through the IQRS. The user ID an entity receives when it initially registers with the Data Banks is the administrator account. The administrator oversees all other user IDs and is the only user that may add, update, and remove other user accounts (user IDs). If an entity has only one person who uses the IQRS, the entity may choose to use the administrator account as its regular user account. For more information on establishing multiple users, see the NPDB-HIPDB web site.

Update Entity Information

If your entity’s name, address, statutory authority, organization type, certifying official, or any other item of your registration information changes, your entity’s certifying official should obtain and complete an Entity Registration form from the website, and select the Change Entity Information option.

You may update selected profile information via the IQRS. After logging in to the IQRS, you will see the Entity Registration Confirmation screen. Select a button at the bottom of the screen called Update Entity Profile. You will be able to change the following information: department name, mailing address, e-mail address, and Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN). To update any other entity information, complete and mail an Entity Registration form as described above.

When the NPDB receives updated entity information, the updated information is processed into the NPDB computer system and an Entity Registration Verification document, reflecting the changes submitted, is mailed to the entity’s certifying official. The certifying official should read the document carefully. If the document contains any errors, follow the instructions provided on the document for correcting the inaccurate information.

Lost Your DBID?

If you cannot remember your DBID, contact the NPDB-HIPDB Customer Service Center for assistance.

Organizations That May Report and Query on Behalf of Entities

Authorized submitters or authorized agents may submit queries and reports and retrieve responses from the NPDB on behalf of registered entities.
Authorized Submitter

An authorized submitter is the individual selected and empowered by a registered entity to certify the legitimacy of information provided in a query or report to the NPDB. In most cases, the authorized submitter is an employee of the organization submitting the report or query, such as an administrator, a risk manager, or medical staff services personnel. The NPDB does not assign DBIDs to authorized submitters.

Entities are responsible for selecting their authorized submitter, and the submitter may change at any time. Entities may choose to have multiple submitters. For example, an entity may designate a particular individual within the organization to be the authorized submitter for reporting and another individual to be the authorized submitter for querying. The authorized submitter is often the individual designated by the organization to submit and retrieve report and/or query responses from the NPDB. However, personnel may be designated as desired. Entities are not required to register the authorized submitter or to identify that person by name to the NPDB in advance, although the authorized submitter must provide his or her name, title and phone number at the time a query or report is submitted.

Authorized Agents

Registered entities may elect to have outside organizations query or report to the NPDB on their behalf. Such an organization is referred to as an authorized agent. In most cases, an authorized agent is an independent contractor used for centralized credentialing, for example, a county medical society, a State hospital association, a credentials verification organization (CVO), or organizations that may be used for centralized credentialing or professional oversight, such as the National Council of State Boards of Nursing and the Federation of Chiropractic Licensing Boards.

Entities must ensure that certain guidelines are followed when designating an authorized agent to query or report on their behalf. The entity should establish a written agreement with that authorized agent confirming the following:

- The agent is authorized to conduct business in the State.
- The agent’s facilities are secure, ensuring the confidentiality of NPDB responses.
- The agent is explicitly prohibited from using information obtained from the NPDB for any purpose other than that for which the disclosure was made. For example, two different health care entities designate the same authorized agent to query the NPDB on their behalf. Both health care entities wish to request information on the same practitioner. The authorized agent must query the NPDB separately on behalf of each health care entity. The response to an NPDB query submitted for one health care entity cannot be shared with another health care entity.
- The agent is aware of the sanctions that can be taken against the agent if information is requested, used, or disclosed in violation of NPDB provisions.
- Authorized agents are not eligible to access information in the NPDB under their own authority. These
organizations and other organizations that do not meet the statute’s specific query eligibility criteria may only interact with the NPDB as authorized agents. Authorized agents may only query the NPDB with the authorization of an eligible entity (i.e., the eligible entity must designate the authorized agent to act on its behalf by completing the Authorized Agent Designation form) for specifically designated and limited purposes.

The authorized agent must have a copy of the most recent Guidebook (which includes the regulations and the civil money penalty regulations of the Office of Inspector General (OIG), HHS, at 42 CFR Part 1003) and should be aware of the sanctions that can be taken if information is requested, used, or disclosed in violation of NPDB provisions. The Health Care Quality Improvement Act and the OIG’s civil money penalty regulation authorizes a penalty of up to $11,000 for each violation.

**Designating Authorized Agents**

Before an authorized agent may act on behalf of an entity, the entity must designate the agent to interact with the NPDB on its behalf. Registered entities that want to designate an authorized agent should obtain an Authorized Agent Designation form from the NPDB-HIPDB web site. The entity must complete the form, providing the authorized agent’s name, DBID (if known), address, and telephone number; and the entity’s response routing and fee payment preferences, and return it to the NPDB.

Authorized agents must be registered with the NPDB before they can be designated to report and/or query on behalf of eligible entities. If the agent is not registered with the NPDB, the agent must obtain an Authorized Agent Registration form from the NPDB-HIPDB web site. Once the agent is registered, a DBID and a password is assigned to that agent, and the entity can designate that agent to report and query on its behalf.

NPDB responses to reports and queries submitted by an authorized agent will be routed to either the eligible entity or its authorized agent, as indicated by the entity on the Authorized Agent Designation form. If the entity wishes to retrieve responses itself from the Integrated Querying and Reporting Service, the entity must have access to the Internet (i.e., an Internet Service Provider) and an appropriate web browser. Requirements for using the Integrated Querying and Reporting Service can be found on the NPDB-HIPDB web site.

In addition, a plug-in or stand-alone program that can read files in Portable Document Format (PDF) is required, such as Adobe Acrobat Reader 4.0.

An authorized agent should have only one DBID, even though more than one entity may designate the agent to query and report to the NPDB. If an authorized agent has been issued more than one DBID, the authorized agent should obtain an Authorized Agent Registration form from the NPDB-HIPDB web site, indicate which DBID it intends to use, and request that any other DBIDs be deactivated.

Any changes to an authorized agent designation, such as a change to response routing or termination of an authorized agent’s authorization to query and report on an entity’s behalf, must be submitted by the entity. If changes in an authorized agent designation are required, the entity should obtain an Authorized Agent Designation form.
Designation form from the NPDB-HIPDB web site, select the Update Previous Agent Designation option on the form, complete the form as directed, and return it to the NPDB.

All forms should be mailed to the NPDB:

NPDB-HIPDB
P.O. Box 10832
Chantilly, VA 20153-0832

Questions and Answers

1. How do I know if my organization is an eligible entity?

See §60.3, Definitions, of the NPDB Regulations. A link to the NPDB Regulations is included in Appendix B of this Guidebook.

2. Can the NPDB certify or verify that my organization is eligible to report or query?

Each entity must determine its own eligibility to participate in the NPDB. The assignment of a DBID is not a representation by HHS that your organization meets the eligibility criteria for participation in the NPDB, as specified in the Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986, as amended, and its implementing regulations, 45 CFR Part 60. The NPDB Regulations, included as Appendix B, describe the criteria for eligibility. Other informational materials designed to help you determine your organization’s eligibility can be obtained from the NPDB-HIPDB web site.

3. Does my organization have to notify the NPDB when we have a new certifying official?

Yes. The eligible entity gives the certifying official authority to certify the legitimacy of registration information provided to the NPDB. The person authorized by the entity to act as the certifying official may change at any time at the discretion of the entity. However, the NPDB makes a record of the staff title and name of the individual assigned as the certifying official and should be notified when changes occur.

4. My hospital merged with another hospital, and both have medical staff offices. Should we continue to query separately using two different DBIDs?

If the hospitals maintain separate medical staff credentialing, the hospitals should query separately. If by applying to one hospital a practitioner is granted privileges to practice at both institutions, one hospital should query on behalf of both institutions. However, both hospitals should be aware that if one DBID is deactivated, the NPDB will maintain only one hospital address and only one “electronic address.” For more information on query responses, see Chapter D, Queries.
5. My organization provides a resource that identifies practitioners who meet minimum standards as established by the organization. Does producing this list make my organization eligible to participate in the NPDB?

In order to be eligible to participate in the NPDB, an organization must meet the definition of a State licensing board, a hospital, or other health care entity, including a professional society, as defined in this Guidebook. If your organization does not confer rights or responsibilities of membership on a practitioner and conduct formal peer review, it does not meet the definition of a professional society as described in the NPDB Regulations and is not eligible to participate in the NPDB.

6. If my organization queries the NPDB, is it also required to report? Conversely, if my organization reports to the NPDB, is it automatically eligible to query?

Not necessarily. See Chapters D and E, Queries and Reports, respectively, for discussions on querying and reporting eligibility criteria.

7. Are PPOs eligible to participate in the NPDB?

PPOs would normally be considered as “providing” health care services. If a PPO conducts formal peer review to further quality health care, it would be eligible to participate in the NPDB.

8. Can my organization have more than one DBID?

If you have multiple departments or people who handle NPDB querying and/or reporting, you may register each department or person separately and receive separate DBIDs for each one. However, departments or people with different DBID cannot assist one another (i.e., one department cannot download a response from a query entered by another department with a different DBID). Also, special care must be taken to be sure that the same query or report is not submitted twice.

Rather than registering for multiple DBIDs, an entity may choose instead to simply create multiple user accounts (i.e., user IDs) under the organization’s DBID. Using the IQRS, an entity can establish as many user accounts as necessary, and can deactivate those accounts itself when needed without deactivating its DBID.
Overview

NPDB querying and reporting requirements apply to physicians, dentists, and other licensed health care practitioners. The NPDB acts as a clearinghouse of information relating to medical malpractice payments, certain adverse actions taken against practitioners’ licenses, clinical privileges, and professional society memberships, and eligibility to participate in Medicare/Medicaid. NPDB information is intended to be used in combination with information from other sources in making determinations on granting clinical privileges or in employment, affiliation, or licensure decisions. Table C-1, NPDB Requirements Affecting Physicians, Dentists, and Other Health Care Practitioners, summarizes Title IV requirements affecting physicians, dentists, and other health care practitioners.

Defining Health Care Practitioners

A **physician** is defined as a doctor of medicine or osteopathy who is legally authorized by a State to practice medicine or surgery. A **dentist** is defined as a doctor of dental surgery, doctor of dental medicine, or the equivalent, who is legally authorized by a State to practice dentistry.

Any individual who, without authority, holds himself or herself out to be an authorized physician or dentist is considered a physician or dentist.

**Other health care practitioners** are defined as individuals other than physicians or dentists who are licensed or otherwise authorized (certified or registered) by a State to provide health care services; or individuals who, without authority, hold themselves out to be so licensed or authorized. For examples, see the list on page C-3 entitled Examples of Other Health Care Practitioners.

The licensing or authorization of other health care practitioners to provide health care services varies from State to State. Each entity that reports to or queries the NPDB is responsible for determining which categories of health care practitioners are licensed or otherwise authorized by their State to provide health care services.

Currently, there is no NPDB requirement to query or report on other health care practitioners who are **not** licensed or otherwise authorized by a State to provide health care services, unless the individual holds himself or herself to be so authorized.
Table C-1. NPDB Requirements Affecting Physicians, Dentists, and Other Health Care Practitioners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Reporting to the NPDB</th>
<th>Querying the NPDB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Medical and Dental Boards</td>
<td>Must report certain adverse licensure actions related to professional competence or professional conduct and revisions to such actions for physicians and dentists.</td>
<td>May query at any time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other State Licensing Boards</td>
<td>Do not report.</td>
<td>May query at any time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals and Other Health Care Entities</td>
<td>Must report (1) professional review actions related to professional competence or professional conduct that adversely affect clinical privileges of a physician or dentist for more than 30 days; (2) a physician’s or dentist’s voluntary surrender or restriction of clinical privileges while under investigation for professional competence or professional conduct or in return for not conducting an investigation; and (3) revisions to such actions. May report on other health care practitioners.</td>
<td>Hospitals must query when screening applicants for a medical staff appointment or granting/adding to/expanding clinical privileges, and every 2 years on health care practitioners on the medical staff or who have clinical privileges. Hospitals may query at other times, as they deem necessary. Other health care entities may query when screening applicants for a medical staff appointment or granting affiliation, clinical privileges, and in support of professional review activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Societies</td>
<td>Must report professional review actions, based on reasons relating to professional competence or conduct, that adversely affect professional society memberships and revisions to such actions for physicians and dentists. May report on other health care practitioners.</td>
<td>May query when screening an applicant for membership or affiliation, and in support of professional review activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Malpractice Payers</td>
<td>Must report payments made for the benefit of physicians, dentists, and other health care practitioners in settlement of or in satisfaction in whole or in part of a claim or judgment against such practitioner.</td>
<td>May not query the NPDB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care Practitioners</td>
<td>Do not report on their own behalf.</td>
<td>May self-query the NPDB at any time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Inspector General (OIG), HHS</td>
<td>Reports exclusions from the Medicare/Medicaid programs against physicians, dentists, and other health care practitioners.</td>
<td>May not query the NPDB.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Examples of Other Health Care Practitioners

The following list of health care practitioners other than physicians and dentists is provided solely for illustration. The inclusion or exclusion of any health care occupational group should not be interpreted as a mandate or a waiver of compliance to Data Bank reporting requirements, since licensure and certification requirements vary from State to State.

**Chiropractor**

**Counselor**
- Counselor, Mental Health
- Professional Counselor
- Professional Counselor, Alcohol
- Professional Counselor, Family/Marriage
- Professional Counselor, Substance Abuse

**Dental Service Provider**
- Dental Assistant
- Dental Hygienist
- Denturist

**Dietician/Nutritionist**
- Dietician
- Nutritionist

**Emergency Medical Technician (EMT)**
- EMT, Basic
- EMT, Cardiac/Critical Care
- EMT, Intermediate EMT, Paramedic

**Nurse/Advanced Practice Nurse**
- Registered (Professional) Nurse
- Nurse Anesthetist
- Nurse Midwife
- Nurse Practitioner
- Licensed Practical or Vocational Nurse

**Nurses Aide/Home Health Aide**
- Nurses Aide
- Home Health Aide (Homemaker)

**Eye and Vision Service Provider**
- Ocularist
- Optician
- Optometrist

**Pharmacy Service Provider**
- Pharmacist
- Pharmacist, Nuclear
- Pharmacy Assistant

**Physician Assistant**
- Physician Assistant, Allopathic
- Physician Assistant, Osteopathic

**Podiatric Service Provider**
- Podiatrist
- Podiatric Assistant

**Psychologist, Clinical**
- Rehabilitative, Respiratory, and Restorative Service Provider
  - Art/Recreation Therapist
  - Massage Therapist
  - Occupational Therapist
  - Occupational Therapy Assistant
  - Physical Therapist
  - Physical Therapy Assistant
  - Rehabilitation Therapist
  - Respiratory Therapist
  - Respiratory Therapy Technician

**Social Worker**
- Speech, Language, and Hearing Service Provider
  - Audiologist
  - Speech/Language Pathologist

**Technologist**
- Medical Technologist
- Cytotechnologist
- Nuclear Medicine Technologist
- Radiation Therapy Technologist
- Radiologic Technologist

**Other Health Care Practitioner**
- Acupuncturist
- Athletic Trainer
- Homeopath
- Medical Assistant
- Midwife, Lay (Non-nurse)
- Naturopath
- Orthotics/Prosthetics Fitter
- Perfusionist
- Psychiatric Technician
Practitioner Self-Query

A self-query is a practitioner’s request for information about himself or herself. Practitioners may self-query the NPDB and the HIPDB at any time by visiting the NPDB-HIPDB web site at www.npdb-hipdb.com. All self-query applications must be submitted through the NPDB-HIPDB web site. Previous paper versions of the Self-Query form will be rejected. Practitioners who do not have access to the Internet may call the NPDB-HIPDB Customer Service Center for assistance. For detailed instructions on self-querying, see the Fact Sheet on Self-Querying, available at www.npdb-hipdb.com.

A practitioner who submits a self-query to the Data Banks will receive via U.S. mail either a response notifying them that no information exists in the Data Banks, or a copy of all report information submitted by eligible reporting entities. All practitioner self-queries will be processed against both the NPDB and the HIPDB. As part of their self-query response, subjects of an Adverse Action Report or Medical Malpractice Payment Report submitted to the NPDB will receive a list of all queriers to whom the reported information has been disclosed with the response.

All Self-Query forms must be signed and notarized, and all fields in the notarization section must be completed. The NPDB-HIPDB will reject any self-query received without signature and notarization or with an incomplete notarization.

A fee will be charged for each self-query submitted. For more information on self-query fees, refer to Chapter G, Fees.

Self-Querying on the Internet

The NPDB-HIPDB employs the latest technology, along with various implementation measures, to provide a secure environment for querying, reporting, data storage, and retrieval. Security features include firewall protection from unauthorized access and encryption of transmitted data to prevent unauthorized use.

Practitioners complete and transmit their self-queries to the NPDB-HIPDB on-line; however, a self-query is not officially submitted until a signed and notarized paper copy is received by the Data Banks. A formatted copy of the self-query is generated immediately after electronic transmission. To complete the self-query process, practitioners must print the formatted copy, sign and date it in the presence of a notary public, and mail the notarized self-query to the address specified.

Once a properly signed and notarized self-query is received by the Data Banks, it typically is processed within one business day and returned to the practitioner via U.S. mail. The practitioner may view the processing status of his or her self-query request via the NPDB-HIPDB web site at www.npdb-hipdb.com.

Subject Information in the NPDB

The NPDB is committed to maintaining accurate information and ensuring that subjects are informed when medical malpractice payments or adverse actions are reported about them. When the NPDB receives a report, the information is processed by the NPDB computer system exactly as submitted by the reporting
entity. Reporting entities are responsible for the accuracy of the information they report.

When the NPDB processes a report, a Report Verification Document is made available to the reporting entity for retrieval from the Integrated Querying and Reporting Service (IQRS), and a Notification of a Report in the Data Bank(s) is sent to the subject. The subject should review the report for accuracy, including current address, telephone number, and place of employment.

Subjects may not submit changes to reports. If any information in a report is inaccurate, the subject must contact the reporting entity to request that it file a correction to the report.

If the reporting entity refuses to correct the report, the subject of a report may:

- Add a statement to the report.
- Initiate a dispute of the report.
- Add a statement and initiate a dispute.

For more information about the NPDB dispute process, see Chapter F, Disputes.

Questions and Answers

1. **How do I correct my address if it is wrong in a report?**

   You must contact the reporting entity (identified in both the Notification of a Report in the NPDB and Self-Query Response document) and request that the entity correct the address on the report. If the entity does not honor your request to correct the inaccurate address, you can dispute the report.

2. **I am a practitioner who personally refunded a fee to a patient. Is this refund reportable to the NPDB?**

   No. A refund from a practitioner’s personal funds is not reportable. However, if the refund is paid by an insurer or any entity other than an individual practitioner (including a professional services corporation comprised of a sole practitioner), the refund is reportable. For more information concerning NPDB reporting requirements, see Chapter E, Reports.

3. **Can a hospital, State licensing board, or medical malpractice insurer require that I give them the results of a self-query?**

   The response you receive to a self-query is yours to do with as you wish. Various licensing, credentialing, and insuring entities may require a copy of your query before you may participate in their programs. Any arrangement between you and one of these entities is voluntary. HHS does not regulate such arrangements. However, a copy of a subject self-query does not satisfy a hospital’s legal requirement to query.
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Overview

The NPDB is a resource to assist State licensing boards, hospitals, and other health care entities in investigating the qualifications of the health care practitioners they seek to license or hire, or to whom they wish to grant membership or clinical privileges. The NPDB disseminates certain information to eligible entities on medical malpractice payments, Medicare/Medicaid exclusions, adverse licensure actions, adverse clinical privileges actions, and adverse professional society membership actions for physicians, dentists, and other health care practitioners who are licensed or otherwise authorized by a State to provide health care services.

- Hospitals must query when a practitioner applies for privileges or medical staff membership and every 2 years on practitioners on the medical staff or holding privileges.

- Other health care entities, including professional societies, may query when entering an employment or affiliation relationship with a practitioner or in conjunction with professional review activities.

- State licensing boards may query at any time.

- Health care practitioners may self-query at any time.

- Plaintiff’s attorneys may query under certain limited circumstances. See NPDB Regulations §60.11(a)(5) or Table D-1, Title IV Querying Requirements, on page D-4.

- Medical malpractice payers may not query at any time.

Hospitals

Hospitals are the only health care entities with mandatory requirements for querying the NPDB. Each hospital must request information from the NPDB as follows:

- When a physician, dentist, or other health care practitioner applies for medical staff appointment (courtesy or otherwise) or for clinical privileges at the hospital.

- Every 2 years (biennially) on all physicians, dentists, and other health care practitioners who are on its medical staff (courtesy or otherwise) or who hold clinical privileges at the hospital.
The biennial query may be done in accordance with regular medical staff reappointment and clinical privilege redelineation. Hospitals are not required to query more than once every 2 years on a practitioner who is continuously on staff. Hospitals with annual reappointment are not required to query annually. Hospitals may query the NPDB at any time they wish with respect to professional review activity.

Hospitals are also required to query the NPDB when a practitioner wishes to add to or expand existing privileges and when a practitioner submits an application for temporary privileges. For example, if a practitioner applies for temporary clinical privileges four times in one year, the hospital must query the NPDB on each of those four occasions. A hospital is required to query the NPDB each time a locum tenens practitioner makes an application for temporary privileges, not each time the practitioner comes to the facility. To reduce the query burden, hospitals that frequently use particular locum tenens practitioners may choose to appoint such practitioners to their consultant staff or other appropriate staff category in accordance with their bylaws and then query on them when they query on their full staff biennially.

Hospitals are required to query on courtesy staff considered part of the medical staff, even if afforded only non-clinical professional courtesies such as use of the medical library and continuing education facilities. If a hospital extends non-clinical practice courtesies without first appointing practitioners to a medical staff category, querying is not required on those practitioners.
Residents and Interns

Health care entities are not required to query the NPDB on medical and dental residents, interns, or staff fellows (housestaff), even though they are often licensed, because they are trainees in structured programs of supervised graduate medical education, rather than members of the medical staff.

There is no difference between the housestaff of the clinical facility belonging to the formal education program and the housestaff rotating to a clinical facility providing a clinical training site for the formal educational program. Hospitals **are not required** to query the NPDB on housestaff providing services as part of their formal clinical education. However, hospitals **are required** to query on residents or interns when such individuals are appointed to the medical staff or granted clinical privileges to practice outside the parameters of the formal medical education program (for example, moonlighting in the intensive care unit or Emergency Department of that hospital).

Professional Societies

Professional societies that meet Title IV eligibility requirements may request information from the NPDB when screening applicants for membership or affiliation and in support of professional review activities.

State Licensing Boards

State licensing boards may request information from the NPDB at any time.

---

**OPTIONAL QUERYING**

- **STATE LICENSING BOARDS**
- **PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES** (with formal peer review)
- **OTHER HEALTH CARE ENTITIES** (with formal peer review)
- **PRACTITIONERS** (on own files)
- **HOSPITALS** (as needed, in addition to mandatory queries)
- **PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY** (with HHS authorization)
Table D-1. Title IV Querying Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENTITY</th>
<th>REQUIREMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hospitals</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening applicants for medical staff appointment or granting of clinical privileges; every 2 years for physicians, dentists or other health care practitioners on the medical staff or granted clinical privileges.</td>
<td>Must query</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At other times as they deem necessary.</td>
<td>May query</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Licensing Boards (including Medical and Dental)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When they deem necessary.</td>
<td>May query</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Health Care Entities</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening applicants for medical staff appointment, membership or affiliation, or granting of clinical privileges; supporting professional review activities.</td>
<td>May query</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Professional Societies</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening applicants for membership or affiliation; supporting professional review activities.</td>
<td>May query</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plaintiff’s Attorneys</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaintiff’s attorney or plaintiff representing himself or herself who has filed a medical malpractice action or claim in a State or Federal court or other adjudicative body against a hospital when evidence is submitted to HHS which reveals that the hospital failed to make a required query of the NPDB on the practitioner(s) also named in the action or claim.</td>
<td>May query</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physicians, Dentists, and Other Health Care Practitioners</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regarding their own files.</td>
<td>May query</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medical Malpractice Payers</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May not query</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Types of Queries

Title IV of Public Law 99-660, the *Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986*, as amended, prescribes the following types of queries:

- **Privileging or Employment**: for use by a hospital or other health care entity, including a professional society, when screening applicants for medical staff appointment, granting of clinical privileges, membership, or professional affiliation.

- **Professional Review Activity**: for use by a hospital or other health care entity, including a professional society, when conducting professional review activity.

- **Mandatory 2-Year**: for use by a hospital when submitting biennial queries on physicians, dentists, or other health care practitioners on their medical staff or to whom clinical privileges have been granted.

- **State Licensing Board**: for use by State boards of medical examiners, State boards of dentistry, or other State licensing bodies.

- **Self-Query**: for use by a physician, dentist, or other health care practitioner.

- **Other**: for use by a plaintiff’s attorney or the Secretary of HHS, as authorized by Title IV.

Attorney Access

A plaintiff’s attorney or a plaintiff representing himself or herself is permitted to obtain information from the NPDB under the following limited conditions:

- A medical malpractice action or claim must have been filed by the plaintiff against a hospital in a State or Federal court or other adjudicative body, and

- The subject on whom the information is requested must be named in the action or claim.

Obtaining NPDB information on the specified subject is permitted only after evidence is submitted to HHS demonstrating that the hospital failed to submit a mandatory query to the NPDB regarding the subject named by the plaintiff in the action. This evidence is not available to the plaintiff through the NPDB. Evidence that the hospital failed to request information from the NPDB must be obtained by the plaintiff from the hospital through discovery in the litigation process.

A plaintiff’s attorney must submit all of the following to the NPDB:

- A letter requesting authorization to obtain information.

- Supporting evidence that the hospital did not make a mandatory query to the NPDB regarding the subject named by the plaintiff in the action or claim.

- Identifying information about the subject on whom the attorney wishes to query.
Examples of evidence may include a deposition, a response to an interrogatory, and admission or other evidence of the failure of a hospital to request information. The plaintiff’s attorney must submit a separate request for information disclosure for each subject named in the action or claim.

The approval of a plaintiff’s attorney query is limited to a one-time-only disclosure; the approval of such a request does not allow a plaintiff’s attorney to obtain NPDB information on a continuing basis. Subsequent disclosures of NPDB information require the plaintiff’s attorney to initiate a new request. A fee is assessed when the NPDB discloses such information.

An approved query request entitles the plaintiff’s attorney to receive only that information available in the NPDB at the time the hospital was required to query but did not. It also includes information on any reports that were subsequently voided.

There are limitations on the use of information obtained by the plaintiff in a judicial proceeding. Specifically, the information obtained from the NPDB on the subject can only be used with respect to a legal action or claim against the hospital, not against the subject. Any further disclosure or use violates the confidentiality provisions of Title IV, and subjects the plaintiff’s attorney and/or plaintiff to a civil money penalty of up to $11,000.

Defense attorneys are not permitted access to the NPDB under Title IV because the defendant subject is permitted to self-query the NPDB.

Authorized Agents

Eligible entities may elect to have an authorized agent query the NPDB on their behalf. Authorized agents must query the NPDB separately on behalf of each eligible entity. The response to an NPDB query submitted for one entity cannot be disclosed to another entity. For more information on authorized agents, see page B-7.

Submitting a Query to the NPDB

Eligible entities prepare and submit queries using the Integrated Querying and Reporting Service (IQRS) at www.npdb-hipdb.com. A DBID, a user ID, and a unique password are used by eligible entities and their authorized agents to report and retrieve query responses via the World Wide Web. Internet access with a web browser is required for using the IQRS.

The IQRS does not accept an incomplete query (one that is missing required information or is improperly completed). Such queries are rejected. Entities are encouraged to gather as much information as possible as part of the application process, to make the completion of the query easier.

Entities may submit queries using electronic transaction file submission, also known as the ICD Transfer Program (ITP). The ITP is a program that transmits Interface Control Document (ICD) query submission files and receives query response files from the NPDB-HIPDB. All data are transmitted over an Internet Secure Socket Layer (SSL) connection. Submitting queries using the ITP is an alternative for those entities that generate
queries from custom (third-party) or other special purpose software. Entities that choose to query via the ITP must provide data in the format specified in the NPDB-HIPDB Interface Control Document (ICD) for Query Transactions. Information about querying via the ITP is available at www.npdb-hipdb.com.

Entities that are authorized and registered to query both the NPDB and the Healthcare Integrity and Protection Data Bank (HIPDB) may elect to query both Data Banks simultaneously with a single query submission. Entities that wish to query both Data Banks should indicate this preference on their Entity Registration form.

**Equipment Needed to Query Electronically**

Requirements for using the IQRS can be found on the NPDB-HIPDB web site. Entities must use the appropriate version of either Internet Explorer or Netscape Communicator to query the NPDB. Entities can determine their browser’s version number by starting their browser, selecting Help from the main menu, then selecting About Communicator or About Internet Explorer, as appropriate.

You also need a program that can read files in Portable Document Format (PDF) (i.e., files with a .pdf extension), such as Adobe Acrobat Reader 4.0 (or higher). Download the latest version of the free Acrobat Reader at http://www.adobe.com. These guidelines explain the minimum requirements necessary to access the IQRS. To improve reliability, the NPDB recommends that you use the most recent version of each browser available for your operating system.

**Querying Through an Authorized Agent**

The NPDB’s response to a query submitted by an authorized agent on behalf of an entity is based upon two eligibility standards: (1) the entity must be entitled to receive the information, and (2) the agent must be authorized to receive that information on behalf of that entity. Both the entity and the agent must be properly registered with the NPDB prior to the authorized agent’s query submission.

Authorized agents cannot use a query response on behalf of more than one entity. NPDB regulations specify that information received from the NPDB must be used solely for the purpose for which it was provided. If two different entities designate the same authorized agent to query the NPDB on their behalf, and both entities wish to request information on the same subject, the authorized agent must query the NPDB separately on behalf of each entity. The response to a query submitted for one entity cannot be disclosed to another entity.

**Query Processing**

When the NPDB receives a properly completed query, the information is entered into the NPDB computer system. The computer system performs a validation process that matches subject (i.e., practitioner) identifying information submitted in the query with information previously reported to the NPDB. Information reported about a specific subject is released to an eligible querier only if the identifying information provided in the query matches the information in a report.
Each query processed by the NPDB computer system is assigned a unique Data Bank Control Number (DCN). The DCN is used by the NPDB to locate the query within the computer system and is prominently displayed on an electronic response. If a question arises concerning a particular query, the entity must reference the DCN in any correspondence to the NPDB.

Subject Information

When submitting a query, the entity is required to provide certain subject information. The NPDB computer system does not allow entities to submit queries that do not include information in all mandatory fields. An entity’s lack of mandatory information does not relieve it of querying requirements for the purposes of Title IV.

A subject’s Social Security Number (SSN) should be provided if known, but only if it was obtained in accordance with Section 7 of the Privacy Act of 1974, which states that disclosure of an individual’s SSN is voluntary unless otherwise provided by law. Disclosure of an individual’s SSN for the purposes of this program is voluntary. The NPDB uses SSNs only to verify the identity of individuals, and SSNs will be disclosed only as authorized by the Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986, as amended. The inclusion of this information helps to ensure the accurate identification of the subject of the report.

Subject Database

You may establish a subject database to complete your querying and reporting obligations more efficiently. The subject database is a feature of the IQRS that offers an easy method for maintaining information about the subjects on whom you routinely query or report, (e.g., Social Security Numbers, dates of birth, license numbers).

You may import a pre-existing QPRAC subject database into the IQRS, eliminating the need to retype subject data. For information, see the Fact Sheet on Creating and Maintaining a Subject Database, available at www.npdb-hipdb.com.

Character Limits

Each field in a query (such as Subject Name, Work Address, and License Number) is limited to a certain number of characters, including spaces and punctuation. The IQRS software does not allow the entity to use more than the allotted number of characters. The NPDB does not change any information submitted in a query.

Query Responses

In general, query responses are available electronically within an average of 4 to 6 hours of receipt by the NPDB. Under certain circumstances, additional processing may be required. Entities that submit queries using the IQRS should retrieve their query responses from the IQRS. Queries marked Completed have been processed and are available for retrieval. Queries marked Pending have not yet been processed. Queries marked Partially Completed require additional processing time. Queries marked Rejected have one or more errors; they have been processed and a document describing the error(s) is available for retrieval.
Entities that submit queries via the ITP must retrieve their query responses using the file transfer program specified in the ITP instructions. ITP responses are formatted in the *Interface Control Document (ICD) for Query Transactions* according to the specifications of the appropriate ICD. Subjects who self-query will receive paper responses sent by First Class U.S. Mail.

When there is no information in the NPDB about a subject, the entity receives in response to a query only the identifying subject information provided in the query and a notification that no information about the subject is contained in the NPDB. Query information submitted by the entity is not retained on subjects for whom there is no record in the NPDB.

Entities that submit 10 or fewer subject names receive separate response files for each query. When the number of subject names submitted is 11 or more, batch downloading consolidates query files so that a single file can contain multiple responses and hold up to 1 megabyte of data. Along with the query response files, entities also receive a list of all the subject names queried and the file number where each response is located. This list helps to quickly identify the location of a specific subject query response.

**Query Response Availability**

Query responses are available via the IQRS or ITP 4 to 6 hours after the query is processed. Entities must retrieve responses within 30 days of processing, or they will be forced to re-submit their queries. Entities that wish to save query responses should download them immediately and save them to their hard drives.

Ideally, information from the NPDB will be considered during the credentialing process. However, the NPDB law does not require querying entities to receive query responses from the NPDB before proceeding with the granting of clinical privileges, hiring, appointment to the medical staff, issuance of licenses, or approval of memberships. Because the NPDB is one of several resources for the credentials review process, entities may act on applications according to their established criteria and information obtained from other sources.

**Missing Query Responses**

If you do not receive a query response within 2 to 3 business days of submission, please contact the NPDB-HIPDB Customer Service Center to request a query status. Please do not resubmit a query on the subject in question, as this will result in duplicate transactions and duplicate query fees.

**Correcting Query Information**

If the information you submitted in a query does not accurately identify the subject on whom you intended to query, your query will not match NPDB reports submitted with correct identifying information. To query the NPDB with the proper identifying information on the subject, submit a new, correctly completed query to the NPDB.

**Failure to Query**

Any hospital that does not query on a practitioner (1) at the time the practitioner applies for a position on its medical staff or for clinical privileges (initial or expanded) at the hospital, and (2) every 2
years concerning any practitioner who is on its medical staff or has clinical privileges at the hospital, is presumed to have knowledge of any information reported to the NPDB concerning the practitioner. A hospital’s failure to query on a practitioner may give a plaintiff’s attorney or plaintiff representing himself or herself access to NPDB information on that practitioner for use in litigation against the hospital.

Questions and Answers

1. **Should I query on the members of my hospital’s Allied Health Practitioner Staff?**

   If the Allied Health Practitioners are granted clinical privileges or medical staff membership, yes. For example, if your hospital grants clinical privileges to nurse practitioners, you must query on them. Each hospital must determine, based on State law and on its own by-laws, which practitioners are licensed by its State and credentialed as part of the medical staff or granted clinical privileges. The intent of the statute is to require querying on medical staff members or privilege holders who are individually credentialed by the hospital.

2. **Are hospitals required to query the NPDB on medical and dental interns and residents?**

   No. Since interns and residents are trainees in structured programs of supervised graduate medical education and are not (generally) members of the medical staff in a formal sense, there is no requirement to query on them. Hospitals may choose to query on residents and interns if they desire.

   However, if the resident or intern is being considered for clinical privileges outside of his or her structured program, the hospital must query. Note that medical malpractice payments made on behalf of and adverse licensure actions taken against residents and interns must be reported.

3. **Is my hospital required to query on all of our nurses?**

   If an individual belongs to the medical staff or has clinical privileges at your hospital and if that individual is licensed or otherwise authorized (either registered or certified) by a State to provide health care services, the hospital is required to query on that individual. Examples of nursing staff who frequently are granted individual privileges and meet this definition may include certified nurse anesthetists and nurse practitioners.

4. **Are hospitals required to document and maintain records of their requests for information?**

   Hospitals are not specifically required by the NPDB’s implementing regulations to do so.

5. **How long should my organization keep query responses on file?**

   While the NPDB regulations require hospitals to query the NPDB, they do not specify that query responses be kept on file by requesting entities. Please note, however, that your query response may be used as proof that your organization queried the NPDB on the practitioners.
6. **If I cannot find or did not receive a response to a query, may I request a copy from the NPDB?**

No. The NPDB currently does not have the capability to produce duplicate responses. If you did not receive a response to a query and were not charged for the query, the query has not been processed by the NPDB and should be resubmitted. Once processed by the NPDB, query responses will be maintained in the IQRS for 30 days. After 30 days, the responses will be deleted from the IQRS and the entity will have to resubmit the query to receive a response. If you did not receive a response to a query but were charged for it, see the Missing Query Responses section in this chapter of the Guidebook.

7. **May self-queries be used to satisfy requirements for peer review and employment?**

Subjects may share the information contained in their own self-query responses with whomever they choose; however, such shared information does not satisfy a hospital’s legal requirement to query the NPDB whenever a physician, dentist, or other health care practitioner applies for clinical privileges or a medical staff appointment.

8. **My hospital is in Chapter 7 bankruptcy. Can it continue to query the NPDB?**

If your hospital still has ongoing business and is functioning as a hospital while concluding its liquidation, even under a debtor-in-possession, it must continue to query the NPDB. If it is in liquidation solely for the purpose of sale of assets and there is no ongoing business as a hospital, there is no reason to query and your DBID will be deactivated. Your organization is responsible for notifying the NPDB of your status. If the hospital comes under new ownership, the new owner must register with the NPDB and is responsible for fulfilling its reporting and querying obligations.

9. **My hospital is in Chapter 9 bankruptcy. Can it continue to query the NPDB?**

Yes. Your hospital will be charged for any queries submitted after the NPDB receives notice of the filing of the Petition for Bankruptcy. Organizations that have an obligation to query (i.e., hospitals) must still meet their querying obligations.

10. **My hospital is in Chapter 11 bankruptcy. Can it continue to query the NPDB?**

Yes. Your organization will be charged for any queries submitted after the NPDB receives notice of the filing of the Petition for Bankruptcy. Organizations that have an obligation to query (i.e., hospitals) must still meet their querying obligations.
11. **My hospital has been liquidated by the State. Can it continue to query the NPDB?**

If your hospital still has ongoing business and is functioning as a hospital while concluding its liquidation, it must continue to query the NPDB. Once the liquidation process is concluded or your organization has no ongoing business as a hospital, there is no reason to query and your DBID will be deactivated. Your organization is responsible for notifying the NPDB of its status. If the hospital comes under new ownership, the new owner must register with the NPDB and is responsible for fulfilling its reporting and querying obligations.

12. **Can I designate more than one authorized agent to query for my hospital?**

Yes. The NPDB computer system can now accommodate multiple authorized agents for each querying entity.

13. **If I decide to designate an authorized agent or change from one agent to another, how long will it take before the authorized agent can query for my hospital?**

If the authorized agent is already registered with the NPDB and has been assigned a DBID, the NPDB will send notification documents to your organization and the authorized agent. You should check the documents to ensure that all information is correct. Your authorized agent will be able to query on your organization’s behalf immediately upon receipt of the notification documents.
Overview

The NPDB acts primarily as a flagging system; its principal purpose is to facilitate a comprehensive review of professional credentials. Information on medical malpractice payments, certain adverse licensure actions, adverse clinical privilege actions, adverse professional society membership actions and Medicare/Medicaid exclusions is collected from and disseminated to eligible entities. NPDB information should be considered with other relevant information in evaluating a practitioner’s credentials.

Eligible entities are responsible for meeting specific querying and/or reporting requirements and must register with the NPDB in order to query or report to the NPDB.

The information required to be reported to the NPDB is applicable to physicians, dentists, and, in some cases, other health care practitioners who are licensed or otherwise authorized by a State to provide health care services.

Time Frame for Reporting to the NPDB

Mandated NPDB reporters must report medical malpractice payments and adverse actions taken on or after September 1, 1990. This is the date that the NPDB commenced operation. With the exception of reports on Medicare/Medicaid Exclusions, the NPDB cannot accept any report with a date of payment or a date of action prior to September 1, 1990.

Civil Liability Protection

The immunity provisions in the Healthcare Quality and Improvement Act of 1986 protect individuals, entities, and their authorized agents from being held liable in civil actions for reports made to the NPDB unless they have actual knowledge of falsity of the information. The statute provides the same immunity to HHS in maintaining the NPDB. For more information on civil liability protection, refer to page A-2.

Official Language

The NPDB’s official language is English. All reports must be submitted in English. Files submitted in any other language or containing non-alphanumeric characters (e.g., tildes, accents, umlauts) are not accepted.

Computation of Time Periods

In computing any period of time prescribed or allowed by the NPDB statute or regulations, the date of the act or event in question shall not be included. The day following the date of the act or event is Day 1 for purposes of computation. The last day of the period so computed shall be included. Saturdays, Sundays, and Federal holidays are to be included in the calculation of time periods. However, if the end date for submitting a report falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal holiday, the due date is the next Federal work day. This method of computation of time periods is consistent with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6.
Table E-1. NPDB Reporting Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Physicians and Dentists</th>
<th>Other Health Care Practitioners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medical Malpractice Payers</strong></td>
<td>Must report</td>
<td>Must report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment resulting from written claim or judgment. Reports must be submitted to the NPDB and appropriate State licensing board within 30 days of a payment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Licensing Boards</strong></td>
<td>Must report</td>
<td>Currently no reporting requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensure disciplinary action based on reasons related to professional competence or conduct. Reports must be submitted to the NPDB within 30 days of the action.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hospitals and Other Health Care Entities</strong></td>
<td>Must report</td>
<td>May report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional review action, based on reasons related to professional competence or conduct, adversely affecting clinical privileges for a period longer than 30 days; or voluntary surrender or restriction of clinical privileges while under, or to avoid, investigation. Reports must be submitted to the NPDB and appropriate State licensing board within 15 days of the action.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Professional Societies</strong></td>
<td>Must report</td>
<td>May report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional review action, based on reasons relating to professional competence or conduct, adversely affecting membership. Reports must be submitted to the NPDB and appropriate State licensing board within 15 days of the action.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HHS Office of Inspector General</strong></td>
<td>Must report</td>
<td>Must report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusions from Medicaid/Medicare and other Federal programs. Exclusions are reported monthly.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Submitting Reports to the NPDB**

**Subject Information**

When submitting a report to the NPDB, the reporting entity is required to provide certain subject information. The NPDB computer system does not allow entities to submit reports that do not include information in all mandatory fields. An entity’s lack of mandatory information does not relieve the entity of reporting requirements for the purposes of Title IV. All required fields in a subject’s record must be completed before a report can be generated. Entities should provide as much information as possible, even in the fields that are not required.

**When Subject Information Is Unknown**

As indicated previously, the NPDB computer system does not allow reports to be submitted without all mandatory subject information. The NPDB suggests that each reporting entity review the mandatory fields information and make an effort to collect this information for each practitioner before there is a cause to file a report (i.e., during the application process). An incomplete report (one that is missing required information or is improperly completed) is not accepted. If you are having trouble filing your electronic report, please contact the NPDB-HIPDB Customer Service Center.
Reporting Subject Social Security Numbers

Under Title IV, a subject’s Social Security Number (SSN) should be provided if known when reporting medical malpractice payments, adverse clinical privileges and professional society actions, but only if obtained in accordance with Section 7 of the Privacy Act of 1974, which provides that disclosure of an individual’s SSN is voluntary unless otherwise provided by law. Disclosure of an individual’s SSN for the purposes of the NPDB is voluntary.

The NPDB will use SSNs only to verify the identity of individuals, and SSNs are disclosed only as authorized by the Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986, as amended. The inclusion of this information, wherever possible, is encouraged because it helps to ensure the accurate identification of the subject of the report.

An SSN is required for adverse licensure actions, as these reports are also mandated for inclusion in the HIPDB under Section 1128E of the Social Security Act. Section 1128E requires that SSNs be provided as part of the reporting process.

Incorrectly Identified Subject

If an entity reports information for the wrong subject, the reporting entity must submit a Void of the incorrect report and submit a new Initial report for the correct subject. See page E-5 for more information on Void reports.

Submitting Reports Via the IQRS

Eligible entities may prepare and submit reports using the IQRS at www.npdb-hipdb.com. Once logged onto the site, the entity may enter and submit report information to the NPDB.

Medical malpractice payments are submitted using the Medical Malpractice Payment Report (MMPR) format. Clinical privileges, professional society and licensure actions, as well as Medicare/Medicaid exclusions are submitted using the Adverse Action Report (AAR) format.

Both the MMPR and the AAR formats in the IQRS capture all the necessary information for report submission. Sufficient space is provided in the fields to allow entry of multiple practitioner license numbers, Federal Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) numbers, professional schools, and hospital affiliations. The IQRS allows for a 2,000-character description of the acts or omissions and, in the case of MMPRs, a description of the judgment or settlement statements.

Subject information does not need to be reentered into a report format if an entity maintains a subject database on the IQRS. The IQRS retrieves all pertinent information from the entity’s subject database into the appropriate report screens; however, if a record in the subject database is incomplete (i.e., information is missing in required fields), the IQRS does not allow a report to be generated for that subject until the missing information is added. For more information on subject databases, see the Fact Sheet on Creating and Maintaining a Subject Database, available at www.npdb-hipdb.com.

Each data field on the report input screens is limited to a certain number of characters, including spaces and
punctuation. For example, the narrative description fields allow 2,000 characters, including spaces and punctuation. Any characters over 2,000 are truncated. Drafting your narrative in accordance with the character limits will avoid the need to correct a truncated narrative once the report is accepted by the NPDB.

Upon submitting the report to the NPDB, the entity will receive a *Temporary Record of Submission* document with a confirmation number. The confirmation number can be used to verify that the entity submitted the report. Within 4 to 6 hours of receipt, the NPDB will make available to the reporting entity an official *Report Verification Document*. The reporting entity must verify the report data on the *Report Verification Document* and correct any erroneous information on-line. The subject of the report will receive a copy of the submitted report by mail from the NPDB. Each NPDB reporter must mail a copy of the paper report to the appropriate State licensing board.

**Draft Capability**

The IQRS includes a Draft report feature for entering report data into input screens, then saving the document in draft status. The draft version of a report can be modified later. Draft reports may be saved on the IQRS server for a maximum of 30 days before they are automatically deleted. Reports saved as drafts are not considered official report submissions. Draft reports must be completed, submitted, and successfully processed by the NPDB to fulfill Title IV reporting requirements.

**Submitting Reports to the NPDB Via ITP**

If a reporting entity does not have access to the IQRS, or prefers to generate reports using custom software, the entity may choose to submit reports via an electronic transaction file submission (known as ICD Transfer Program [ITP]). This method of reporting requires the entity to submit data using a format specified by the NPDB. Interface Control Documents (ICDs) specify the format for ITP report submissions of MMPRs and AARs. These documents are available at [www.npdb-hipdb.com](http://www.npdb-hipdb.com). See page D-6 for an explanation of ITP.

**Types of Reports**

**Initial Report**

The first record of a medical malpractice payment or adverse action submitted to and processed by the NPDB is considered the Initial report. An Initial report is the current version of the report until a Correction, Void, or Revision to Action is submitted.

When the NPDB processes an Initial report, a *Temporary Record of Submission* document is available to print or save until the official *Report Verification Document* is retrieved by the reporting entity from the IQRS. A *Notification of a Report in the NPDB-HIPDB* is mailed to the subject. The reporting entity and the subject should review the report information to ensure that it is correct. The reporting entity should also print and mail a copy of the Initial report to the appropriate State licensing board.
**Correction**

A Correction is a change intended to supersede the contents of the current version of a report. The reporting entity must submit a Correction as soon as possible after the discovery of an error or omission in a report. A Correction may be submitted to replace the current version of a report as often as necessary.

When the NPDB processes a Correction, a *Temporary Record of Submission* document is available to print or save until the official *Report Verification Document* is retrieved from the IQRS. A *Report Revised, Voided, or Status Changed* document is mailed to the subject and all queriers who received the previous version of the report within the past 3 years. The reporting entity and the practitioner should review the information to ensure that it is correct, and queriers should note that the report was voided. The reporting entity should also print and mail a copy of the Void to the appropriate State licensing board.

**Example:** A hospital submits a clinical privileges action to the NPDB. Upon receiving the Report Verification Document, the hospital identifies an error in the subject’s address. The hospital submits a Correction to the Initial Report, including the correct address.

**Void Previous Report**

A Void is the retraction of a report in its entirety. An example of a Void is the reversal of a professional review action. The report is removed from the subject’s disclosable record. A Void may be submitted by the reporting entity at any time.

When the NPDB processes a Void, a *Temporary Record of Submission* is available to print or save until the official void verification is retrieved from the IQRS. A *Report Revised, Voided, or Status Changed* document is mailed to the subject and all queriers who received the previous version of the report within the past 3 years. The reporting entity and the practitioner should review the information to ensure that the correct report was voided, and queriers should note that the report was voided. The reporting entity should also print and mail a copy of the Void to the appropriate State licensing board.

**Example:** A State Medical Board submits an AAR when it revokes a physician’s license. Six months later, the revocation is overturned by a State court. The State Medical Board should submit a Void of the Initial Report.

**Revision to Action**

A Revision to Action reports an action that relates to and/or modifies an adverse action previously reported to the NPDB. It is treated as a second and separate action by the NPDB, but it does not negate the original action that was taken. The entity that reports an initial adverse action must also report any revision to that action.

A Revision to Action report should be submitted for the following reasons:

- Additional sanctions have been taken against the subject based on a previously reported incident.
- The length of action has been extended or reduced.
• The original suspension or probationary period has ended.

• Licensure, clinical privileges, professional society membership, or program participation has been reinstated.

A Revision to Action should not be reported unless the initial action was reported to the NPDB. When submitting a Revision to Action, the reporter must reference the Data Bank Control Number (DCN) on the report of the action being modified.

A Revision to Action is separate and distinct from a Correction. For example, if the hospital in the above example enters the Date of Action incorrectly, a Correction must be submitted to make the necessary change, and the Correction overwrites the Initial report. A Revision to Action is treated as an addendum to the Initial report.

When the NPDB processes a Revision to Action, a Temporary Record of Submission document is available to print or save until the official Report Verification Document is retrieved from the IQRS. A Notification of a Report in the NPDB is mailed to the subject practitioner. The reporting entity and the practitioner should review the information to ensure that it is correct. The reporting entity should also print and mail a copy of the Revision to Action to the appropriate State licensing board.

Example: A hospital submits an AAR when it suspends a practitioner’s clinical privileges for 90 days. The suspension is later reduced to 45 days. Since this is a new action that modifies a previously reported action, the hospital must submit a new report using the Revision to Action option in the IQRS. The Initial report documents that the hospital suspended the subject’s clinical privileges, and the Revision to Action documents that the hospital made a subsequent revision to the action.

Example: A hospital submits an AAR when it revokes an oral surgeon’s clinical privileges. Two years later, the oral surgeon’s clinical privileges are reinstated. Since this action modifies the original action, the hospital must submit a Revision to Action. The Initial report documents that the hospital revoked the oral surgeon’s clinical privileges, and the Revision to Action documents that the hospital made a revision to the action.

Report Processing

When the NPDB receives a report, the information is entered into the NPDB computer system. Each version of a report processed by the NPDB computer system is assigned a unique DCN. This number is used to locate the report within the NPDB computer system. The DCN is prominently displayed in the electronic Report Verification Document. The DCN assigned to the most current version of the report must always be referenced in any subsequent action involving the report.

Report Responses

Each time a report is successfully submitted to the IQRS and processed by the NPDB, a Report Verification Document is stored for the reporting entity to retrieve through the IQRS. Reports are generally processed within 4 to 6 hours of
receipt. Once viewed, the report output is maintained on the server for 30 days before it is automatically deleted.

Entities should print or save the report output before automatic deletion occurs.

Entities that submit reports via the ITP must retrieve their report responses using the file transfer program specified in the ITP instructions. ITP responses are formatted according to the specifications of the appropriate ICD. As with responses downloaded from the IQRS, entities must review their report verifications to ensure that the information is correct and that copies of the reports are mailed to the appropriate State licensing boards.

### Missing Report Verification

Reports will be available electronically within an average of 4 to 6 hours of receipt by the NPDB. Under certain circumstances, additional processing may be required. Entities should not re-submit reports on the subject in question, since this will result in duplicate reports. If you do not receive your response within 2 to 3 business days of submission, please call the NPDB-HIPDB Customer Service Center.

If your original report is not processed, the NPDB will require a new report. The NPDB will process the report and provide you with a DCN. If you need to make a change to the report, use the DCN and the appropriate procedures explained in this Guidebook to submit a Correction or a Void.
Reporting Medical Malpractice Payments

Each entity that makes a payment for the benefit of a physician, dentist, or other health care practitioner in settlement of, or in satisfaction in whole or in part of, a claim or judgment against that practitioner must report the payment information to the NPDB. A payment made as a result of a suit or claim solely against an entity (for example, a hospital, clinic, or group practice) and that does not identify an individual practitioner is not reportable under the NPDB’s current regulations.

Eligible entities must report when a lump sum payment is made or when the first of multiple payments is made. Medical malpractice payments are limited to exchanges of money and must be the result of a written complaint or claim demanding monetary payment for damages. The written complaint or claim must be based on a practitioner’s provision of or failure to provide health care services. A written complaint or claim can include, but is not limited to, the filing of a cause of action based on the law of tort in any State or Federal court or other adjudicative body, such as a claims arbitration board.

Trigger Date for Reporting

Reports must be submitted to the NPDB and the appropriate State licensing boards within 30 days of the date that a payment is made (the date of the payment check). The report must be submitted regardless of how the matter was settled (for instance, court judgment, out-of-court settlement, or arbitration). The 30-day period commences on the day following the date of payment.

Interpretation of Medical Malpractice Payment Information

As stated in 427(d) of the Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986, as amended (Title IV of Public Law 99-660), and in 60.7(d) of the NPDB regulations, “[A] payment in settlement of a medical malpractice action or claim shall not be construed as creating a presumption that medical malpractice has occurred.”
The Secretary of HHS understands that some medical malpractice claims (particularly those referred to as nuisance claims) may be settled for convenience, not as a reflection on the professional competence or professional conduct of a practitioner.

Reporting entities should provide a detailed narrative to describe the acts or omissions and injuries or illnesses upon which the medical malpractice action or claim was based. This narrative may be a maximum of 2,000 characters including spaces and punctuation. Any characters over 2,000 are truncated.

Narrative descriptions should include eight general categories of information: age, sex, patient type, initial event (medical condition of the patient), procedure performed, claimant’s allegation, associated legal and other issues, and outcome. Narratives cannot contain patient names or names of other health care practitioners, plaintiffs, witnesses, or any other individuals involved in the case. Guidelines for these categories follow:

- **Age** – age of claimant at the time of the initial event; age is expressed in years if the claimant is 1 year of age or older, in months from 1 month through 11 months; and in days if the claimant is less than 1 month of age. **Unknown** may be used if applicable.

- **Sex** – male, female, and disputed; disputed may be used in claims involving individuals whose sex has been physically altered or who are physically one sex but live outwardly as the other.

- **Patient Type** – generally an indication of inpatient or outpatient status; choose inpatient, outpatient, or both.

- **Initial Event (Medical Condition of the Patient)** – choose the words that best describe the diagnosis with which the claimant presented for treatment. To report the diagnosis, the reporters should use the actual condition from which the patient suffered. When the patient has more than one condition, the reporter should use the condition that is most applicable to the generation of the claim.

- **Procedure Performed** – the treatment rendered by the insured to the patient for the medical condition described under “Medical Condition of the Patient.” If more than one procedure was used, the procedure that is most significant to the claim’s generation should be used.

- **Claimant’s Allegation** – the occurrence that precipitated the claim of medical and/or legal damages; the time sequence in relation to the initial event is relevant.

- **Associated Legal and Other Issues** – any associated issues that have an impact on the claim.

- **Outcome** – a description of the outcome resulting from the initial event and the claimant’s allegation.

**Sample Descriptions for Illustrative Purposes Only:**

A 65-year-old male outpatient had a prostate exam by Dr. A. Six months later, the patient was diagnosed by Dr. B with
prostate cancer and underwent surgery. One year later, the patient sued Dr. A for alleged failure to diagnose. A settlement was reached in the amount of $250,000.

A 57-year-old female outpatient had a mammogram. One year later, the patient was diagnosed with breast cancer and she underwent chemotherapy and radiation. The patient sues the physician for alleged failure to diagnose and treat. A settlement was reached in the amount of $100,000.

A 45-year-old male came to the emergency department with complaints of shoulder and chest pain, and he was discharged after evaluation. Six hours later, he had a cardiac arrest and could not be resuscitated. The estate sued the treating emergency room physician for alleged failure to diagnose and treat. The case went to trial and resulted in a verdict in favor of the plaintiff for $1,000,000.

A 9-month-old girl was seen in a private office with fever and treated symptomatically. The next day she was brought to the hospital in convulsions. Her parents allege that a delay in the diagnosis of meningitis caused permanent neurological damage. A settlement was reached in the amount of $2,000,000.

A 31-year-old pregnant woman was admitted to the hospital by her physician in the early stages of labor. After four hours, the woman began to show signs of fetal distress. The hospital staff attempted to contact the physician but could not locate her for four hours. The patient sued the physician, alleging that the physician’s abandonment caused permanent neurological damage to the child. A settlement was reached in the amount of $2,000,000.

(Portions adopted from the Harvard Risk Management Foundation Sample Claims Descriptions.)

**Reporting of Payments by Individuals**

Individual subjects are not required to report payments they make for their own benefit to the NPDB. On August 27, 1993, the Circuit Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia held that [445 (DC Cir. 3 F.3D 1993)] the NPDB regulation requiring each “person or entity” that makes a medical malpractice payment was invalid, insofar as it required individuals to report such payments. The NPDB removed reports previously filed on medical malpractice payments made by individuals for their own benefit.

A professional corporation or other business entity comprised of a sole practitioner that makes a payment for the benefit of a named practitioner must report that payment to the NPDB. However, if a practitioner or other person, rather than a professional corporation or other business entity, makes a medical malpractice payment out of personal funds, the payment is not reportable.

**Payments for Corporations and Hospitals**

Medical malpractice payments made solely for the benefit of a corporation such as a clinic, group practice, or hospital are currently not reportable to the NPDB. A payment made for the benefit of a professional corporation or other business entity that is comprised of a sole practitioner is reportable if the payment was made by the entity rather than by the sole practitioner out of personal funds.
Deceased Practitioners

One of the principal objectives of the NPDB is to restrict the ability of incompetent physicians to move from State to State without disclosure or discovery of their previous damaging or incompetent performance. The NPDB requires reporting medical malpractice payments made for the benefit of deceased practitioners (or for their benefit through their estates) because a fraudulent practitioner could assume the identity of a deceased practitioner.

When submitting an MMPR for a deceased practitioner, check the deceased block on the appropriate MMPR screen in the IQRS. The NPDB makes an electronic report verification available to the reporting entity via the IQRS.

Identifying Practitioners

In order for a particular physician, dentist, or other health care practitioner to be named in an MMPR submitted to the NPDB, the practitioner must be named in both the written complaint or claim demanding monetary payment for damages and the settlement release or final adjudication, if any. Practitioners named in the release, but not in the written demand or as defendants in the lawsuit, are not reportable to the NPDB. A practitioner named in the written complaint or claim who is subsequently dismissed from the lawsuit and not named in the settlement release is not reportable to the NPDB. In some States, the given name of the practitioner does not have to appear in the release or final adjudication as long as he or she is sufficiently described in the settlement or final adjudication as to be identifiable. In those States, an NPDB report on the practitioner named in the complaint, but not in the release or final adjudication, is required as long as he or she is sufficiently described as to be individually identifiable.

Insurance Policies that Cover More than One Practitioner

A medical malpractice payment made under an insurance policy that covers more than one practitioner should only be reported for the individual subject for whose benefit the payment was made, not for every practitioner named on the policy.

One Settlement for More than One Practitioner

In the case of a payment made for the benefit of multiple practitioners, wherein it is impossible to determine the amount paid for the benefit of each individual practitioner, the insurer must report, for each practitioner, the total (undivided) amount of the initial payment and the total number of practitioners on whose behalf the payment was made. In the case of a payment made for the benefit of multiple practitioners where it is possible to apportion payment amounts to individual practitioners, the insurer must report, for each practitioner, the actual amount paid for the benefit of that practitioner.

Residents and Interns

Reports must be submitted to the NPDB when medical malpractice payments are made for the benefit of licensed residents or interns. Medical malpractice payments made for the benefit of housestaff insured by their employers are also reportable to the NPDB.
Students

Payments made for the benefit of medical or dental students are not reportable to the NPDB. Unlicensed student providers provide health care services exclusively under the supervision of licensed health care professionals in a training environment. Students do not fall into the “other health care practitioner category;” other health care practitioners are licensed by a State and/or meet State registration or certification requirements.

Practitioner Fee Refunds

If a refund of a practitioner’s fee is made by an entity (including solo incorporated practitioners), that payment is reportable to the NPDB. A refund made by an individual is not reportable to the NPDB.

For purposes of NPDB reporting, medical malpractice payments are limited to exchanges of money. A refund of a fee is reportable only if it results from a written complaint or claim demanding monetary payment for damages. The written complaint or claim must be based on a physician’s, dentist’s, or other health care practitioner’s provision of, or failure to provide, health care services. A written complaint or claim may include, but is not limited to, the filing of a cause of action based on the law of tort in any State or Federal court or other adjudicative body, such as a claims arbitration board.

A waiver of a debt is not considered a payment and should not be reported to the NPDB. For example, if a patient has an adverse reaction to an injection and is willing to accept a waiver of fee as settlement, that waiver is not reportable to the NPDB.

Loss Adjustment Expenses

Loss adjustment expenses (LAEs) refer to expenses other than those in compensation of injuries, such as attorney’s fees, billable hours, copying, expert witness fees, and deposition and transcript costs. If LAEs are not included in the medical malpractice payment amount, they are not required to be reported to the NPDB.

LAEs should be reported to the NPDB only if they are included in a medical malpractice payment. Reporting requirements specify that the total amount of a medical malpractice payment and a description and amount of the judgment or settlement and any conditions, including terms of payment should be reported to the NPDB. LAEs should be itemized in the description section of the report form.

Dismissal of a Defendant from a Lawsuit

A payment made to settle a medical malpractice claim or action is not reportable to the NPDB if the defendant health care practitioner is dismissed from the lawsuit prior to the settlement or judgment. However, if the dismissal results from a condition in the settlement or release, then the payment is reportable. In the first instance, there is no payment for the benefit of the health care practitioner because the individual has been dismissed from the action independently of the settlement or release. In the latter instance, if the practitioner is dismissed from the lawsuit in consideration of the payment being made in settlement of the lawsuit, the payment can only be construed as a payment for the benefit of the health care
practitioner and must be reported to the NPDB.

**Example:** A health care practitioner is named in a lawsuit. The practitioner agrees to a payment on the condition that his or her name does not appear in the settlement. The payment would be reportable to the NPDB.

**High-Low Agreements**

A “high-low” agreement, a contractual agreement between a plaintiff and a defendant’s insurer, defines the parameters of a payment the plaintiff may receive after a trial or arbitration proceeding. If the finder of fact returns a defense verdict, the defendant’s insurer agrees to pay the “low end” amount to the plaintiff. If the finder of fact returns a verdict for the plaintiff and against the defendant, the defendant’s insurer agrees to pay the “high end” amount to the plaintiff.

A payment made at the low end of a high/low agreement that is in place prior to a verdict or an arbitration decision would not be reportable to the NPDB only if the fact-finder rules in favor of the defendant and assigns **no liability to the defendant practitioner**. In this case, the payment is not being made for the benefit of the practitioner in settlement of a medical malpractice claim. Rather, it is being made pursuant to an independent contract between the defendant’s insurer and the plaintiff. The benefit to the insurer is the limitation on its liability, even if the plaintiff wins at trial and is awarded a higher amount. The benefit to the plaintiff is a guaranteed payment, even if there is no finding of liability against the practitioner. **Note: in order for the low-end payment to be exempted from the reporting requirements, the fact finder must have made a determination regarding liability at the trial or arbitration proceeding.**

A payment made at the high end of the agreement is one made for the benefit of the practitioner and, therefore, must be reported to the NPDB. **When a defendant practitioner has been found to be liable by a fact-finding authority, such as a judge, a jury, or by arbitration, any payment made pursuant to that finding must be reported, regardless of the existence of a high-low agreement.**

If a high-low agreement is in place, and the plaintiff and defendant settle the case prior to trial, the existence of the high-low agreement does not alter the reportability of the settlement payment.

**Example 1:** A high-low agreement is in place prior to trial. The parties agree to a low end payment of $25,000 and a high end payment of $100,000. The jury finds the defendant physician liable and awards $20,000 to the plaintiff in damages. This $20,000 payment is reportable because the jury found the defendant physician liable.

**Example 2:** A high-low agreement is in place prior to binding arbitration. The parties agree to a low end payment of $50,000 and a high end payment of $150,000. The arbitrator finds in favor of the defendant practitioner. However, due to the existence of the high-low agreement, the defendant’s insurer makes a payment of $50,000 to the plaintiff (the low end payment). This payment is not reportable since it is being made pursuant to an independent contract between the defendant’s insurer and the plaintiff.
Example 3: A high-low agreement is in place prior to trial. The parties agree to a low-end payment of $50,000 and a high end payment of $150,000. Before the fact finder returns a verdict, the parties settle the case for $50,000. This payment is reportable because it is made in settlement of the claim.

Example 4: A high-low agreement is in place prior to trial. The parties agree to a low-end payment of $50,000 and a high-end payment of $100,000. Rather than go to trial, the parties agree to binding arbitration to assess the amount of damages the plaintiff will receive. The arbitrator awards the plaintiff $50,000. In this case, the arbitration was conducted to determine the amount of recovery by the plaintiff, not whether or not the plaintiff will recover. Because no liability was to be determined at this arbitration proceeding, the payment is made in settlement of the claim and is reportable.

Reporting by Authorized Agents

The organization that makes the medical malpractice payment is the organization that must report medical malpractice payments to the NPDB.

A medical malpractice payer may choose to use an adjusting company, claims servicing company, or law firm, acting as its authorized agent to complete and submit NPDB reports. An insurance company may also wish to have all of its NPDB correspondence related to reports handled by an authorized agent. This is strictly a matter of administrative policy by the medical malpractice payer. When reporting a payment, the reporting entity information in the MMPR must be completed using the name, address, and DBID of the organization that made the payment.

For information on registering an authorized agent or designating one, see pages B-7 and B-8, respectively.

Payments by Multiple Payers

Any medical malpractice payer that makes an indemnity payment for the benefit of a practitioner must submit a report to the NPDB. Generally, primary insurers and excess insurers are obligated to make an indemnity payment for the benefit of a practitioner and so must submit a report to the NPDB. Typically, reinsurers are obligated to make an indemnity payment directly to the primary insurer, not for the benefit of the practitioner, and are not required to submit a report to the NPDB.

For example, if three primary insurers contribute to a payment, all three insurers are required to submit separate MMPRs to the NPDB. Each insurer should describe the basis for their payment in the narrative description of the settlement to avoid the impression of duplicate reporting.

Structured Settlements

A medical malpractice payer entering into a structured settlement agreement with a life insurance or annuity company must submit a payment report within 30 days after the lump sum payment is made by the payer to that company.

Payments made after the opening of the NPDB (September 1, 1990) under annuities existing prior to the NPDB opening are not reportable to the NPDB.
Subrogation-Type Payments

Subrogation-type payments made by one insurer to another are not required to be reported, provided that the insurer receiving the payment has previously reported the total judgment or settlement to the NPDB. Subrogation often occurs when there is a dispute between insurance companies over whose professional liability policy ought to respond to a lawsuit.

Example: A practitioner is insured in 1991 by Insurer X and changes over to Insurer Y in 1992. Both policies provide occurrence-type coverage. A medical malpractice lawsuit is filed in 1992. There is a dispute over whether the alleged medical malpractice occurred in late 1991 or early 1992. Under the 1992 policy, Insurer Y agrees to defend the lawsuit but obtains an agreement from the practitioner that it may pursue the practitioner’s legal right to recover any indemnity and defense payments that should have been paid under Insurer X’s policy. This is a subrogation agreement. The jury subsequently determines that the incident occurred in 1991 and awards $500,000 to the plaintiff. Insurer Y makes the $500,000 payment to the plaintiff and reports it to the NPDB. Insurer Y seeks subrogation of its indemnity and defense payments from Insurer X. Insurer X ultimately concedes and pays Insurer Y the $500,000 plus defense costs. Insurer X is not required to report its reimbursement of Insurer Y to the NPDB.

Offshore Payers

A medical malpractice payment made by an offshore medical malpractice insurer must be reported to the NPDB. An offshore insurer with an agent in the United States is subject to service (which means that it can be served with a Federal complaint); therefore, the reporting requirement can be enforced. It is not the NPDB’s responsibility to identify these companies; rather, it is the responsibility of these companies to comply with the statute and register with the NPDB.

Payments Made Prior to Settlement

When a payment is made prior to a settlement or judgment, a report must be submitted within 30 days from the date the payment was made. Since the total amount of the payment is unknown, the medical malpractice payer should state this in the narrative description section of the report. When the settlement or judgment is finalized, the insurer must submit a Correction to the Initial Report.

When reporting medical malpractice payment information, please be aware that leaving the Payment Result reason and Date of Judgment or Settlement fields on the MMPR format blank indicates that the payment was made prior to a judgment or settlement. When a payment is made as a result of a judgment or settlement, these fields should be properly completed. Likewise, the Adjudicative Body Case Number, Adjudicative Body Name, and Court File Number fields should be left blank only when there was not a filing with an adjudicative body. See Table E-2 on page E-16 for information on determining reportable medical malpractice payments.
### Table E-2. Determining Reportable Medical Malpractice Payments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>NPDB Reporting Responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A malpractice settlement or court judgment includes stipulation that</td>
<td>Must file report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the terms are kept confidential.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malpractice settlement is structured so that claimant receives an</td>
<td>Report the initial payment after NPDB opening; identify as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>annual sum for each year he or she is alive.</td>
<td>multiple payments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malpractice settlement involves five practitioners.</td>
<td>Must file a separate report on each of the five practitioners.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment is made based only on oral demands.</td>
<td>No report is required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment made by an individual.</td>
<td>A professional corporation or other business entity comprised of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sole practitioner must file a report. No report is required for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments made for corporations and hospitals.</td>
<td>an individual making payment out of personal funds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments made for licensed residents and interns.</td>
<td>Payments made for the benefit of a corporation such as a clinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>group practice or hospital are not currently reportable. Payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>is reportable when made for business entities comprised of sole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>practitioners.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practitioner fee refund.</td>
<td>Must file report if refund is made by an entity (including solo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>incorporated practitioners). No report is required if refund is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dismissal of defendant from lawsuit.</td>
<td>made by an individual.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No report required if defendant is dismissed prior to settlement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or judgment. Report is required if dismissal results from condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in settlement or release.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reporting Adverse Clinical Privileges Actions

Health care entities must report adverse actions within 15 days from the date the adverse action was taken or clinical privileges were voluntarily surrendered. The health care entity must print a copy of each report submitted to the NPDB and mail it to the appropriate State licensing board for its use. The Report Verification Document that health care entities receive after a report is successfully processed by the NPDB should be used for submission to the appropriate State licensing board.

Reportable adverse clinical privileges actions are based on a physician’s or dentist’s professional competence or professional conduct that adversely affects, or could adversely affect, the health or welfare of a patient. Hospitals and other eligible health care entities must report:

- Professional review actions that adversely affect a physician’s or dentist’s clinical privileges for a period of more than 30 days.
- Acceptance of a physician’s or dentist’s surrender or restriction of clinical privileges while under investigation for possible professional incompetence or improper professional conduct or in return for not conducting an investigation or reportable professional review action.

Adverse actions taken against a physician’s or dentist’s clinical privileges include reducing, restricting, suspending, revoking, or denying privileges, and also include a health care entity’s decision not to renew a physician’s or dentist’s privileges if that decision was based on the practitioner’s professional competence or professional conduct. Health care entities may report such actions taken against the clinical privileges of other health care practitioners.
Adverse actions involving censures, reprimands, or admonishments should not be reported to the NPDB. Matters not related to the professional competence or professional conduct of a practitioner should not be reported to the NPDB. For example, adverse actions based primarily on a practitioner’s advertising practices, fee structure, salary arrangement, affiliation with other associations or health care professionals, or other competitive acts intended to solicit or retain business are excluded from NPDB reporting requirements.

See Table E-3 on page E-21 for more information on determining reportable actions for clinical privileges.

**Hospitals and other health care entities must report revisions to previously reported adverse actions. For more information on revisions, see page E-5, Revision to Action, in the Types of Reports section.**

**Multiple Adverse Actions**

If a single professional review action produces multiple clinical privileges actions (for example, a 12-month suspension followed by a 5-month probation), only one report should be submitted to the NPDB. The Adverse Action Classification Code for the principal action should be submitted on the AAR, and the narrative description should describe the additional adverse actions imposed.

A Revision to Action must be submitted when each of the multiple actions is lifted. (Following the previous example, a revision must be submitted when clinical privileges are reinstated with probation after the suspension, and another revision must be submitted when the probationary period ends.)

If an adverse action against the clinical privileges of a practitioner is based on multiple grounds, only a single report must be submitted to the NPDB. However, all reasons for the action should be reported and explained in the narrative. The reporting entity may select up to four Basis for Action codes to indicate these multiple reasons. Additional reasons should be summarized in the narrative description.

**Denial of Applications**

A restriction or denial of clinical privileges that occurs solely because a practitioner does not meet a health care institution’s established threshold eligibility criteria for that particular privilege is not reportable to the NPDB.

Such restrictions or denials are not deemed the result of a professional review action relating to the practitioner’s professional competence or professional conduct, but are considered decisions based on eligibility.

For example, if an institution retroactively changes the eligibility criteria for a particular clinical privilege, a physician that does not meet the new criteria will lose previously granted clinical privileges; this loss of privileges is not reportable to the NPDB.

Adverse clinical privileges actions reportable to the NPDB result from professional review actions relating to the practitioner’s professional competence or professional conduct.
Withdrawal of Applications

Voluntary withdrawal of an initial application for medical staff appointment or clinical privileges prior to a final professional review action generally is not reportable to the NPDB. However, if a practitioner applies for renewal of medical staff appointment or clinical privileges and voluntarily withdraws that application while under investigation by the health care entity for possible professional incompetence or improper professional conduct, or in return for not conducting such an investigation or taking a professional review action, then the withdrawal of application for clinical privileges is reportable to the NPDB.

Investigations

Investigations should not be reported to the NPDB; only the surrender or restriction of clinical privileges while under investigation or to avoid investigation is reportable. This would include a failure to renew clinical privileges while under investigation.

A health care entity that submits an AAR based on surrender or restriction of a physician’s or dentist’s privileges while under investigation should have contemporaneous evidence of an ongoing investigation at the time of surrender, or evidence of a plea bargain. The reporting entity should be able to produce evidence that an investigation was initiated prior to the surrender of clinical privileges by a practitioner. Examples of acceptable evidence may include minutes or excerpts from committee meetings, orders from hospital officials directing an investigation, and notices to practitioners of an investigation.

Guidelines for Investigations

- An investigation must be carried out by the health care entity, not an individual on the staff.
- The investigation must be focused on the practitioner in question.
- The investigation must concern the professional competence and/or professional conduct of the practitioner in question.
- A routine or general review of cases is not an investigation.
- A routine review of a particular practitioner is not an investigation.
- An investigation should be the precursor to a professional review action.
- An investigation is considered ongoing until the health care entity’s decision making authority takes a final action or formally closes the investigation.

Summary Suspension

A summary suspension is reportable if it is:
- In effect or imposed for more than 30 days.
- Based on the professional competence or professional conduct of the physician, dentist, or other health care practitioner that adversely affects, or could adversely affect, the health or welfare of a patient.
- The result of a professional review action taken by a hospital or other health care entity.

A summary suspension is often imposed by an individual, for instance, the chairman of a department. Commonly,
this action is then reviewed and confirmed by a hospital committee, such as a medical executive committee, as authorized by the medical staff bylaws. The suspension would then be viewed as a professional review action taken by the entity.

If the suspension is modified or revised as part of a final decision by the governing board or similar body, the health care entity must then submit a Revision to Action of the Initial report made to the NPDB.

If the physician, dentist, or other health care practitioner surrenders his or her clinical privileges during a summary suspension, that action must be reported to the NPDB. The action is reportable because the practitioner is surrendering the privileges either while under investigation concerning professional conduct or professional competence that did or could affect the health or welfare of a patient or in order to avoid a professional review action concerning the same.

**Summary suspensions are considered to be final when they become professional review actions through action of the authorized hospital committee or body, according to the hospital bylaws.**

The basis for this interpretation is that, pursuant to Part A of the *Health Care Quality Improvement Act* (42 U.S.C. §11112)(c)(2), a summary suspension is taken to prevent “imminent danger to the health of any individual.”

The Act itself treats summary suspensions differently than other professional review actions: the procedural rights of the practitioner are provided for following the suspension, rather than preceding it. This reporting policy for summary suspensions is in keeping with the purpose of the Act, which is to protect the public from the threat of incompetent practitioners continuing to practice without disclosure or discovery of previous damaging or incompetent performance.

In establishing this policy on the reporting of summary suspensions, HHS assumes that hospitals use summary suspensions for the purpose stated in Part A of the Act: to protect patients from imminent danger, rather than for reasons that warrant routine professional review actions. HHS also emphasizes that this policy on summary suspension is solely for the purpose of reporting to the NPDB, and does not relate to the criteria for immunity under Part A of the Act.
Table E-3. Determining Reportable Actions for Clinical Privileges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Reportable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Based on assessment of professional competence, a proctor is assigned to a physician or dentist for a period of more than 30 days. The practitioner must be granted approval before certain medical care is administered.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Based on assessment of professional competence, a proctor is assigned to supervise a physician or dentist, but the proctor does not grant approval before medical care is provided by the practitioner.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As a matter of routine hospital policy, a proctor is assigned to a physician or dentist recently granted clinical privileges.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A physician or dentist voluntarily restricts or surrenders clinical privileges for personal reasons; professional competence or professional conduct is not under investigation.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A physician or dentist voluntarily restricts or surrenders clinical privileges; professional competence or professional conduct is under investigation.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A physician or dentist voluntarily restricts or surrenders clinical privileges in return for not conducting an investigation of professional competence or professional conduct.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A physician’s or dentist’s application for medical staff appointment is denied based on professional competence or professional conduct.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A physician or dentist is denied medical staff appointment or clinical privileges because the health care entity has too many specialists in the practitioner’s discipline.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A physician’s or dentist’s clinical privileges are suspended for administrative reasons not related to professional competence or professional conduct.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A physician’s or dentist’s request for clinical privileges is denied or restricted based upon assessment of clinical competence as defined by the hospital.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples of Reportable and Non-Reportable Actions

**Example 1:** A physician member of a hospital medical staff wishes to perform several clinical tests and procedures, but does not have the appropriate clinical privileges. The physician applies for an expansion of clinical privileges. The physician’s Department Head and the Medical Staff Credentials Committee find that, based on their assessment of the physician’s demonstrated professional performance, the physician does not have the clinical competence to perform the additional tests and procedures, and they recommend denial of the request for expanded clinical privileges. The hospital’s governing body reviews the case, affirms the findings and recommendations, and denies the physician’s request for expanded clinical privileges for reasons relating to professional competence.

The action is reportable because the denial of privileges adversely affects the clinical privileges of the physician for longer than 30 days.

Whether particular actions are reportable to the NPDB is often best determined by examining a hospital’s medical staff bylaws, rules, and regulations with regard to provisions defining who is empowered to take a professional review action, what constitutes a professional review action that adversely affects the clinical privileges of a practitioner, and how that action relates to professional competence or professional conduct.
Example 2: A 30-day suspension is imposed as a result of a professional review action based on a physician’s professional competence.

*The action is not reportable because the adverse action taken by the professional review body did not last for more than 30 days.*

Example 3: A hospital reviews a surgeon’s professional competence and assigns a surgical proctor for 60 days. The surgeon cannot perform surgery without being granted approval by the surgical proctor.

*Since the surgeon cannot practice surgery without approval from another surgeon, this restriction of clinical privileges is reportable.*

Example 4: A 31-day suspension is imposed on a physician for failure to complete medical records.

*Such a suspension would be reportable to the NPDB if the failure to complete medical records related to the physician’s professional competence or conduct and adversely affects or could adversely affect a patient’s health or welfare.*

Example 5: A physician’s application for surgical privileges is denied because the physician is not board certified in the particular clinical specialty or subspecialty.

*The action is not reportable if the physician fails to meet the hospital’s initial credentialing criteria applied to all medical staff or clinical privilege applicants. Examples of initial criteria may include: (1) minimum professional liability coverage, (2) board certification, (3) geographic proximity to the hospital, and (4) failure to have performed the minimum number of procedures prescribed for a particular clinical privilege.*

Example 6: The hospital CEO summarily suspends a physician’s privileges for failure to respond to an emergency department call.

*The action is reportable if the suspension continues for longer than 30 days and the hospital bylaws state that summary suspension decisions by the medical executive committee are considered to be professional review actions. A CEO may be considered a committee assisting the governing body in a professional review activity. If this is the case and the physician has been summarily suspended, the hospital medical staff bylaws will usually provide for an appeal to the medical executive committee within a few days of the CEO’s decision.*

Example 7: A hospital’s professional review body terminates a provider-based physician contract for causes relating to poor patient care, which in turn results in loss of privileges with no right to a hearing as provided in the contract and the medical staff bylaws.

*The termination of the contract, in itself, is not reportable to the NPDB. The termination of the practitioner’s clinical privileges because of the termination of the contract for reasons relating to professional competence or professional conduct is reportable if it is considered a professional review action by the hospital.*

*Hospitals are advised to consult with legal counsel to review the State’s case law concerning due process.*
Example 8: A physician surrenders medical staff privileges due to personal reasons, infirmity, or retirement.

The surrender is not reportable. The reasons for surrender are irrelevant unless the physician surrenders while under an investigation by a health care entity relating to possible professional incompetence or improper professional conduct, or in return for not conducting such an investigation.

Example 9: A physician was under investigation four weeks prior to the expiration of his clinical privileges. The physician failed to renew his clinical privileges.

This event is considered a reportable surrender while under investigation. This action is reportable regardless of whether the physician knew he was under investigation at the time he failed to renew his clinical privileges. A practitioner’s awareness that an investigation is being conducted is not a requirement for reportability.

Example 10: A physician holding courtesy privileges in a hospital applies for full staff privileges. The full staff privileges are granted. As a condition of staff privileges, the physician is required to be on-call in the Emergency Department for one weekend a month. Due to personal reasons, the physician is unable to fulfill his Emergency Department commitment. The hospital and the physician eventually agree to change his clinical privileges from full staff to courtesy.

The change in clinical privileges is not reportable. The change to the physician’s privileges is not the result of a professional review action based on the physician’s professional competence or conduct which affects or could adversely affect the health or welfare of a patient.
Reporting Adverse Licensure Actions

State medical and dental licensing boards must report adverse actions against physicians and dentists to the NPDB within 30 days from the date an adverse licensure action was taken.

State medical and dental boards must report to the NPDB certain disciplinary actions related to professional competence or professional conduct taken against the licenses of physicians or dentists. Such licensure actions include revocation, suspension, censure, reprimand, probation, and surrender. State medical and dental boards must also report revisions to adverse licensure actions, such as reinstatement of a license.

Effective Date of Action

An Adverse Action Report must be submitted within 30 days of the date of the formal approval of the licensure action by the State medical or dental board or its authorized official. Significant delays may occur between the formal approval of the action and the drafting of the order for publication; however, the trigger date for reporting the adverse action is based on the board’s formal approval of the action.

Examples of Reportable Actions

The following adverse licensure actions, when related to the professional competence or professional conduct of a physician or dentist, must be reported to the NPDB:

- Denial of an application for license renewal.
- Withdrawal of an application for license renewal (should be reported as a voluntary surrender).
- Licensure disciplinary action taken by a State board against one of its licensees/applicants for licensure renewal based upon a licensure disciplinary action, related to the practitioner’s professional competence or professional conduct, taken by another State board.
• Licensure disciplinary action taken by a State board based upon the practitioner’s deliberate failure to report a licensure disciplinary action taken by another State board, when a report of such action is requested on a licensure renewal application.

• Fines and other monetary sanctions accompanied by other licensure action, such as revocation, suspension, censure, reprimand, probation, or surrender.

Examples of Non-Reportable Actions

The following adverse licensure actions should **not** be reported to the NPDB:

• Fines and other monetary sanctions unaccompanied by other licensure action, such as revocation, suspension, censure, reprimand, probation, or surrender.

• Denial of an initial application for license.

• A settlement agreement which imposes monitoring of a practitioner for a specific period of time, unless such monitoring constitutes a restriction of the practitioner’s license or is considered to be a reprimand.

• A licensure disciplinary action which is imposed with a “stay” pending completion of specific programs or actions. However, if a “stay” of a disciplinary action is accompanied by probation, the probation is reportable.

• Voluntary relinquishment of a physician’s license for personal reasons not related to his or her professional competence or professional conduct (for example, retirement).

• Licensure actions taken against non-physician, non-dentist, health care practitioners.
Reporting Adverse Professional Society Membership Actions

Professional societies must report adverse actions within 15 days from the date the adverse action was taken. A copy of each report sent to the NPDB should be printed and mailed to the appropriate State licensing board for its use.

The Report Verification Document that health care entities receive after a report is successfully processed by the NPDB should be used for submission to the appropriate State licensing board.

Reporting Requirements

Professional societies must report professional review actions based on reasons related to professional competence or professional conduct that adversely affect the membership of a physician or dentist. Professional societies may report such adverse membership actions when taken against health care practitioners other than physicians and dentists.

Reportable actions must be based on reasons relating to professional competence or professional conduct which affects or could adversely affect the health or welfare of a patient. Matters not related to the professional competence or professional conduct of a physician or dentist are not to be reported to the NPDB.

For example, adverse actions against a practitioner based primarily on his or her advertising practices, fee structure, salary arrangement, affiliation with other associations or health care professionals, or other competitive acts intended to solicit or retain business are excluded from NPDB reporting requirements.

An adverse action taken by a professional society against the membership of a physician or dentist must be reported to the NPDB when that action constitutes a professional review action taken in the course of professional review activity through a formal peer review process, provided that the action is based on the member’s professional competence or
professional conduct. Adverse membership actions involving censures, reprimands, or admonishments should not be reported.

**Reporting Medicare/Medicaid Exclusions**

In 1997, reports of exclusions from the Medicare and Medicaid programs against health care practitioners* were added to the NPDB through a collective effort and a Memorandum of Understanding between HRSA, the HHS Office of Inspector General (OIG), and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). The NPDB now includes Medicare/Medicaid exclusions from May 1979 to the present.

NPDB Medicare/Medicaid exclusions identify practitioners who have been declared ineligible for Medicare and Medicaid payments. Hospitals, managed care organizations, and other providers are prohibited from billing the Medicare and Medicaid programs for any services that might be rendered by these providers. Information from the Medicare/Medicaid exclusions is released in accordance with the Social Security Act.

The HHS Office of Inspector General has the authority to exclude individuals and organizations from participating in the Medicare and/or certain State health care plans under sections 1128(a), 1128(b), 1892, or 1156 of the Social Security Act. The exclusion also applies to all other Executive Branch procurement and non-procurement programs and activities. Disclosure of the Office of Inspector General Exclusion List to HRSA is under authority of section 1106(a) of the Social Security Act, 42 CFR 401.105, and the routine use exception of the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 522a(b)(3)). CMS retains full responsibility for the content and accuracy of CMS exclusion reports; the NPDB only acts as a disclosure service. Notification of exclusion from CMS programs is made by CMS. Inquiries on the appropriateness or content of CMS exclusion reports must be referred to CMS for response.

*The NPDB contains Medicare/Medicaid exclusions against health care practitioners (i.e., physicians, dentists, chiropractors, psychologists, etc.). Exclusions against individuals other than licensed health care practitioners and entities, in addition to exclusions against health care practitioners, can be found in the Healthcare Integrity and Protection Data Bank (HIPDB).

**Sanctions for Failing to Report to the NPDB**

**Medical Malpractice Payers**

The HHS Office of Inspector General has the authority to impose civil money penalties in accordance with Sections 421(c) and 427(b) of Title IV of Public Law 99-660, the Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986, as amended. Under the statute, any malpractice payer that fails to report medical malpractice payments in accordance with Section 421(c) is subject to a civil money penalty of up to $11,000 for each such payment involved.

The civil money penalties provided for under Sections 421(c) and 427(b) are to be imposed in the same manner as other civil money penalties imposed pursuant to Section 1128A of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. 1320a-7a. Regulations governing civil money penalties under Section 1128A are set forth at 42 CFR Part 1003.
**Hospitals and Other Health Care Entities**

The Secretary of HHS will conduct an investigation if there is reason to believe that a health care entity has substantially failed to report required adverse actions. If the investigation reveals that the health care entity has not complied with NPDB regulations, the Secretary will provide the entity with written notice describing the noncompliance. This written notice provides the entity with the opportunity to correct the noncompliance, as well as notifies it of its right to request a hearing.

A request for a hearing must contain a statement of the material factual issues in dispute to demonstrate cause for a hearing and must be submitted to HHS within 30 days of receipt of notice of noncompliance. An example of a material factual issue in dispute is a health care entity refuting HHS’s claim that the health care entity failed to meet reporting requirements.

A request for a hearing will be denied if it is untimely, lacks a statement of material factual issues in dispute, or if the statement is frivolous or inconsequential. Hearings are held in the Washington, DC, metropolitan area.

If HHS determines that a health care entity has substantially failed to report information in accordance with Title IV requirements, the name of the entity will be published in the Federal Register, and the entity will lose the immunity provisions of Title IV with respect to professional review activities for a period of 3 years commencing 30 days from the date of publication in the Federal Register.

**State Boards**

State medical and dental boards that fail to comply with NPDB reporting requirements can have the responsibility to report removed from them by the Secretary of HHS. In such instances, the Secretary will designate another qualified entity to report NPDB information.

State medical or dental boards do not meet Title IV requirements when they fail to report licensure disciplinary actions required to be reported to the NPDB or fail to notify HHS when they are aware a health care entity is failing to report adverse actions it has taken against physicians and dentists.

When an HHS investigation substantiates such reporting failures, a written notice of noncompliance is sent to the State medical or dental board. This notice allows State medical and dental boards an opportunity to correct the situation. If the State medical or dental board fails to comply with the HHS notice, then HHS will designate another qualified entity for reporting to the NPDB.

**Professional Societies**

A professional society that has substantially failed to report adverse membership actions can lose, for 3 years, the immunity protections provided under Title IV for professional review actions it takes against physicians and dentists based on their professional competence and professional conduct.

The Secretary of HHS will conduct an investigation if there is reason to believe that a professional society has substantially failed to report adverse membership actions taken as result of professional review activity.
If the investigation reveals that the professional society has not complied with Title IV reporting requirements, HHS will inform the professional society of its noncompliance in writing. This written notice provides the professional society with the opportunity to correct the noncompliance, as well as notifies it of its right to request a hearing.

A request for a hearing must contain a statement of the material factual issues in dispute to demonstrate cause for a hearing and must be submitted to HHS within 30 days of receipt of notice of noncompliance. An example of a material factual issue in dispute is a professional society refuting HHS’s claim that the health care entity failed to meet reporting requirements.

A request for a hearing is denied if it is untimely, lacks a statement of material factual issues in dispute, or if the statement is frivolous or inconsequential. Hearings are held in the Washington, DC, metropolitan area.

If HHS determines that a professional society has substantially failed to report information in accordance with Title IV requirements, the name of the entity will be published in the Federal Register, and the professional society will lose the immunity provisions of Title IV with respect to professional review activities for a period of 3 years commencing 30 days from the date of publication in the Federal Register.

**Questions and Answers**

1. **How long are reports held in the NPDB?**

Information reported to the NPDB is maintained permanently unless it is corrected or voided from the system. A Correction or Void may only be submitted by the reporting entity or directed by the Secretary of HHS.

2. **Can my organization provide a copy of an NPDB report to the subject practitioner?**

The NPDB appreciates entities that attempt to maintain an open exchange with subjects. However, if you provide a copy of the report to the subject, be sure to remove or obliterate your organization’s DBID. The DBID should remain confidential to the organization to which it is assigned.

3. **Where can I find lists of Adverse Action Classification Codes, Basis for Actions Codes, and Malpractice Act(s) or Omission(s) codes?**

Adverse action classification codes and medical malpractice act(s) or omission(s) codes are provided in pop-up lists in the respective IQRS web input screens. These codes also are found in the applicable Interface Control Document (ICD) that is available on the NPDB-HIPDB website.
Reporting Medical Malpractice Payments

4. I am the new authorized submitter for a medical malpractice payer. I found some documentation of payments that were not reported to the NPDB. What should I do?

If the payments were made on or after September 1, 1990 (when the NPDB opened), submit reports on those payments to the NPDB. The regulations prescribe that any entity that fails to report a payment required to be reported is subject to a civil money penalty of up to $11,000 for each such payment. Submit the report through the IQRS and then send a letter to the NPDB that explains the circumstance of the report being submitted late. The NPDB will maintain this information for audit purposes.

5. As a medical malpractice payer, do I have to report payments made for a deceased subject?

Yes. One of the principal objectives of the NPDB is to restrict the ability of incompetent practitioners to move from State to State without disclosure of their previous damaging or incompetent performance. Fraudulent practitioners may seek to assume the identity of a deceased practitioner.

6. Must a written complaint be directed to the subject cited in the claim?

No. The definition of a medical malpractice complaint includes complaints “brought in any State or Federal court or other adjudicative body.” If a patient files a written complaint with, for example, a State board, and a medical malpractice payment results, the payment must be reported to the NPDB.

7. How does a medical malpractice payer report a payment if a total amount has not been determined and the payer is making an initial partial payment?

Complete the MMPR screens according to the instructions on the IQRS. Note the amount of the first payment and, in the narrative section, explain that the total amount has not been determined and the first payment is a partial payment. When the final amount is determined, submit a Correction to the Initial report, and note the final amount in the narrative section.

8. Should payment exclusively for the benefit of a clinic or hospital be reported?

Medical malpractice payments made solely for the benefit of a clinic or hospital are not currently reportable to the NPDB.

9. Our insurance company reimbursed a practitioner for a medical malpractice payment the practitioner made to a patient. Is this reportable?

Yes. An insurance company that reimburses a practitioner for such a payment (makes a payment in response to the medical malpractice claim or judgment) must report that payment to the NPDB, as long as the patient submitted the demand in writing.
10. If a patient makes an oral demand for a refund for services, is the resulting payment reportable to the NPDB?

No. Only payments resulting from written demands are reportable to the NPDB. Even if the practitioner transmits the demand in writing to the medical malpractice payer, the payment is not reportable if the patient’s only demand was oral. However, if a subsequent written claim or demand is received from the patient and results in a payment, that payment is reportable.

11. If an individual practitioner is not named in a medical malpractice claim or complaint, but the facility or practitioner group is named, should the payment be reported?

No, with one exception. If the named defendant is a sole practitioner identified as a “professional corporation,” a payment made for the professional corporation must be reported for the practitioner.

12. A supervisory practitioner is named in an action based on the services of a subordinate practitioner. How do I report the supervisory practitioner?

The report on the supervisory practitioner should be submitted using the same malpractice claim description code used for the subordinate. The reporting entity may provide an explanation that the supervisory practitioner was named based on the subordinate practitioner’s services in the narrative description.

13. What are the reporting requirements for self-insured employers who provide professional liability coverage for their employed practitioners?

Employers who insure their employees must report medical malpractice payments they make for the benefit of their employees.

14. If a stipulation of settlement or court order requires that its terms remain confidential, how does a medical malpractice insurer report the payment to the NPDB without violating the settlement agreement or court order?

Confidential terms of a settlement or judgment do not excuse an entity from the statutory requirement to report the payment to the NPDB. The reporting entity should explain in the narrative section of the MMPR that the settlement or court order stipulates that the terms of the settlement are confidential.

15. If there is no medical malpractice payment and Loss Adjustment Expenses (LAEs) are paid in order to release or dismiss a healthcare practitioner from a medical malpractice suit, should the LAE be reported?

No. If LAEs are not included in the medical malpractice payment, then they should not be reported to the NPDB.
16. **When reporting a medical malpractice payment, should loss adjustment expenses be included in the payment amount?**

LAEs should be reported only if they are part of the medical malpractice payment. Reporting requirements include the total amount of the payment and a description and amount of the judgment or settlement and any conditions, including terms of payment. LAEs should be itemized in the description section of the report. LAEs refer to expenses other than those in compensation of injuries, such as attorney’s fees, billable hours, expert witness fees, deposition, and transcript costs. If LAEs are not included in the payment amount, they need not be reported.

17. **Are payments made for the benefit of residents, interns, and students reportable?**

Payments made for the benefit of licensed residents and interns are reportable to the NPDB; payments made for the benefit of unlicensed medical or dental students are not reportable to the NPDB.

**Reporting Adverse Licensure Actions**

18. **How should a State board report an action with several levels or components, for instance, a 6-month license suspension followed by a 2-year probation?**

The board should report the code of the principal sanction or action and describe its full order, including lesser actions, in the narrative of the AAR. An additional report is not necessary when the lesser sanction or action is implemented since it was included in the description in the Initial Report.

19. **How should a State medical or dental board report actions when they are changed by court order?**

The board should report the initial adverse action as usual; the judicial decision is reported as a Revision to Action. For example, if a board revoked a physician’s license and a judicial appeal resulted in the court modifying the discipline to probation for 1 year, then the board would be required to report both its initial revocation action and the court-ordered revision to a 1-year probation. When a court stays a board’s order, this action may be reported as a Revision to Action, using the Adverse Action Classification Code for Reduction of Previous Action (1295). When a court overturns a Board’s order, the Board should void the Initial Report.

20. **When reporting a reprimand by a State licensing board, what Length of Action should be entered on the report form?**

The indefinite block should be marked on the appropriate report screen in the IQRS for reprimands reported to the NPDB.
Reporting Adverse Clinical Privileges Actions

21. If we revoke a practitioner’s clinical privileges because the practitioner lost his/her license, do we report the revocation?

Administrative actions that do not involve a professional review action are not reportable to the NPDB. Only actions resulting from professional review and lasting more than 30 days that are related to the professional competence or professional conduct of a practitioner should be reported to the NPDB. Thus, if the revocation of clinical privileges is automatic, the action should not be reported to the NPDB.

22. Are adverse actions on clinical privileges reportable prior to hearings?

The action is not reportable until it is made final by the health care entity. An exception is made if an immediate (that is, summary) suspension or restriction subject to subsequent notice and hearing is enforced because of imminent danger to an individual’s health and safety.

A summary suspension of clinical privileges is not routinely considered a reportable event. However, if a summary suspension lasts longer than 30 days and is considered by the hospital or other health care entity to be a professional review action (which means that it is so defined in the organization’s bylaws), then the entity must report the summary suspension.

If the reported suspension is subsequently altered following a hearing or other procedures, the entity must submit a Revision to Action or Void.

23. Are adverse actions on clinical privileges reportable prior to appeals?

Adverse actions on clinical privileges are not reportable until they are made final by the health care entity. If an internal administrative appeal preceding final action by the entity is provided for in the entity’s bylaws, then the action is not reportable until the conclusion of this appeal. However, if a previously reported adverse action is subsequently modified or vacated after an appeal by the practitioner, the health care entity is responsible for submitting a Revision to Action or Void.

24. A health care entity took an adverse action against a practitioner, but the action was enjoined before it was implemented. Should the action be reported to the NPDB?

Adverse actions are reportable only if they are in effect for at least 30 days. An adverse action enjoined prior to implementation should not be reported. However, if the adverse action has been in effect for 30 or more days and is then enjoined, the adverse action should be reported and the enjoinment should be reported as a Revision to Action.
25. **Are investigations reportable if they do not reach a conclusion?**

Investigations are not reportable events; however, if a practitioner surrenders or fails to renew clinical privileges, or if privileges are restricted while the practitioner is either under investigation by a health care entity for possible incompetence or improper professional conduct, or to avoid an investigation, the surrender or restriction must be reported to the NPDB.

26. **A practitioner is under investigation relating to possible incompetence or improper professional conduct and resigns from the hospital. If the practitioner did not receive notification of the investigation, is this a reportable event?**

Under the provisions of the *Health Care Quality Improvement Act*, the practitioner is not required to have direct knowledge of the investigation. Hospitals should be able to produce evidence of an on-going investigation in the event of questioning. See the Investigations section of this chapter for more information.

To be considered reportable, a practitioner’s resignation must be tendered “in order to prevent a professional review action.” A resignation tendered with the understanding that the hospital will cease an investigation or professional review action is reportable.

27. **Must a hospital or other health care entity report adverse actions concerning the clinical privileges of medical and dental residents and interns?**

Not if the action was taken within the scope of the training program. Since residents and interns are trainees in graduate health professions education programs, they are not granted clinical privileges *per se*, but are authorized by the sponsoring institution to perform clinical duties and responsibilities within the context of their graduate educational program.

**However**, a resident or intern may practice outside the scope of the formal graduate education program, for example, moonlighting in the Intensive Care Unit or Emergency Department. Adverse clinical privileges actions related to practice occurring outside the scope of a formal graduate educational program are reportable.

28. **If an initial application for clinical privileges is denied or the privileges granted are more limited than those requested, must this be reported to the NPDB?**

Yes, if the denial or limitation of privileges is the result of a professional review action and is related to the practitioner’s professional competence or professional conduct.
29. **If an “impaired practitioner” enters a rehabilitation program, is it reportable?**

The voluntary entrance of an impaired practitioner into a rehabilitation program is not reportable to the NPDB if no professional review action was taken and the practitioner did not relinquish clinical privileges. If a practitioner takes a leave of absence and clinical privileges have not been taken away, then no report to the NPDB is required.

If an impaired practitioner is required by a professional review action to involuntarily enter a rehabilitation program, the professional review action is reportable to the NPDB if it is based on the practitioner’s professional competence or professional conduct and adversely affects the practitioner’s clinical privileges for more than 30 days.

When completing the AAR input screen, the reporting entity can select an Adverse Action Classification Code of “Other” and explain in the narrative that the practitioner’s privileges were restricted or suspended because of concerns regarding quality of care. Entities may wish to consult with their legal counsel regarding the wording of the narrative before it is submitted to the NPDB.

30. **An “impaired practitioner” member of a hospital medical staff has been repeatedly encouraged to enter a rehabilitation program. The practitioner continues to disregard the hospital’s advice and offers of assistance. If an authorized hospital official, such as the CEO or Department Chair, directs the practitioner to give up clinical privileges and enter a rehabilitation program or face investigation relating to possible professional incompetence or improper professional conduct, is the surrender of clinical privileges reportable to the NPDB?**

Yes. If the hospital CEO directs the practitioner to surrender his or her clinical privileges or face investigation by the hospital for possible professional incompetence or improper professional behavior, the surrender is reportable to the NPDB. The surrender of clinical privileges in exchange for not undergoing an investigation triggers a report to the NPDB, regardless of whether the practitioner is impaired [see §60.9 (a)(ii)(A) and (B) of the NPDB regulations].

31. **Laws related to drug and alcohol treatment programs have confidentiality provisions. Won’t a report concerning a practitioner in a treatment program violate those provisions?**

No. Only the adverse action affecting privileges must be reported; the fact that a practitioner entered a treatment or rehabilitation program should not be reported. If only the adverse action is reported as required, there is no violation of laws related to drug or alcohol treatment (42 USC, §290dd-3 and 290ee-3).
**Reporting Adverse Membership Actions**

32. **If a professional society denies membership to a practitioner, is it reportable to the NPDB?**

   The action must be reported to the NPDB if the denial of membership was based on a professional review action conducted through a formal peer review process and was based on an assessment of the practitioner’s professional competence or professional conduct which affected or could affect the health and welfare of a patient or patients. Denial of membership for reasons not related to professional competence or professional conduct which affects or could adversely affect the health and safety of a patient (advertising practices or fee structures, for example) should not be reported to the NPDB.
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The Dispute Process

The NPDB is committed to maintaining accurate information and ensuring that health care practitioners are informed when adverse actions are reported about them. When the NPDB receives a report, the IQRS processes the information exactly as it is submitted by the reporting entity. Reporting entities are responsible for the accuracy of the information they report.

When the NPDB processes a report, a Report Verification Document is sent electronically to the reporting entity via the IQRS and can be accessed at the Report Status screen. A Notification of a Report in the Data Bank(s) is mailed to the subject. The subject should review the report for accuracy, including such information as current address and place of employment.

Subjects may not submit changes to reports. If any information in a report is inaccurate, the subject must request that the reporting entity file a correction to the report. The NPDB is prohibited by law from modifying information submitted in reports.

If the reporting entity declines to change the report, the subject may initiate a dispute of the report through the dispute process, add a statement to the report, or both. The dispute process is not an avenue to protest a payment or to appeal the underlying reasons of an adverse action affecting the subject's license, clinical privileges, or professional society membership. Neither the merits of a medical malpractice claim nor the appropriateness of, or basis for, an adverse action may be disputed.

Subjects who wish to add a statement to and/or dispute the factual accuracy of a report should follow the instructions on the Notification of a Report in the Data Bank(s). Subjects who do not have the original Notification of a Report in the Data Bank(s) may obtain a Subject Statement and Dispute Initiation form from the NPDB-HIPDB web site at www.npdb-hipdb.com.

Subject Statements

The subject of a report may add a statement to the report at any time. When the NPDB processes a statement, notification of the statement is sent to all queriers who received the report, and is included with the report when it is released to future queriers. Subject Statements are limited to 2,000 characters, including spaces and punctuation. Drafting a statement in accordance with the character limits ensures that the statement contains the information a subject deems most important. All characters beyond 2,000 are truncated. Subject Statements cannot include any names, addresses, or phone numbers, including those of patients.

A Subject Statement is part of the specific report it is filed for. If the report is changed by the reporting entity, the statement attached to the report also is removed. If a statement is needed with the new report, a new statement that references the Data Bank Control Number (DCN) of the new report must be submitted.
**Subject Disputes**

The subject of a Medical Malpractice Payment Report (MMPR) or an Adverse Action Report (AAR) may dispute either the factual accuracy of the report or whether a report was submitted in accordance with the NPDB’s reporting requirements, including the eligibility of the entity to report the information to the NPDB. A subject may not dispute a report in order to protest a decision made by an insurer to settle a claim or to appeal the underlying reasons for an adverse action.

If a subject believes that information in a report is factually inaccurate (e.g., an incorrect adverse action code or payment amount) or should not have been reported, (e.g., a clinical privileges action that lasts 30 days or less), the subject must attempt to resolve the disagreement directly with the reporting entity. **Changes to a report may be submitted only by the reporting entity.**

When the NPDB receives a properly completed Subject Statement and Dispute Initiation form from the subject initiating a dispute, notification of the dispute is sent to all queriers who received the report, and is included with the report when it is released to future queriers.

**A dispute becomes part of the specific report it is contesting.** If the report is changed by the reporting entity, the dispute notation attached to the report is also removed. If the subject believes that the new version of the report is factually inaccurate, the subject must initiate a new dispute.

There are three possible outcomes for a dispute:

- The reporting entity corrects the report to the satisfaction of the subject.
- The reporting entity voids the report.
- The reporting entity declines to change the report.

**Dispute Overview (1 of 2)**

**PRECEDING EVENTS:**
- Entity Submits Report
- Subject Is Notified
- Subject Contacts Reporting Entity
- Subject Notifies NPDB to Initiate Dispute

**REPORTING ENTITY NOTIFIED OF DISPUTE**

**SUBJECT NOTIFIED OF RECEIPT OF DISPUTE**

**HISTORICAL QuERIERS NOTIFIED OF DISPUTE**
Secretarial Review

If the reporting entity declines to change the disputed Adverse Action Report or Medical Malpractice Payment Report or takes no action, the subject may request that the Secretary of HHS review the disputed report. The Secretary reviews disputed reports only for accuracy of factual information and to ensure that the information was required to be reported.

The Secretary does not review the merits of a medical malpractice claim in the case of a payment or the appropriateness of, or basis for, a health care entity’s professional review action or a State licensing board’s action.

To request Secretarial Review of a disputed report, the subject must sign and return to the NPDB the Instructions for Review of the Disputed Report by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services attached to the Report Revised, Voided, or Status Changed document related to the disputed report. The dispute and any accompanying documentation must be sent to the NPDB, not directly to the Secretary.

The subject also must:

- State clearly and briefly in writing which facts are in dispute and what the subject believes are the facts.
- Submit documentation substantiating that the reporting entity’s information is inaccurate. Documentation must directly relate to the facts in dispute and substantially contribute to a determination of the factual accuracy of the report. Documentation may not exceed 10 pages, including attachments and exhibits.
- Submit proof that the subject attempted to resolve the disagreement with the reporting entity, but was unsuccessful. Proof may be a copy of the subject’s correspondence to the reporting entity and the entity’s response, if any.
• Wait 30 days from the date of initiating discussions with the reporting entity before requesting Secretarial Review to give the reporting entity time to respond to the dispute.

Pertinent Documentation

If the dispute relates to a Medical Malpractice Payment Report, pertinent documentation might include a copy of the following:

• Written claim.
• Settlement or release document.
• Court judgment.
• Written findings of arbitration or other alternative dispute resolution processes.

If necessary, the Secretary will ask the reporting entity to supply additional information confirming that the report was submitted in accordance with NPDB regulations. Entities must respond to the Secretary’s request for more information within 15 days. After reviewing all documentation related to the dispute, the Secretary will determine whether the information in the disputed report is accurate and should have been reported to the NPDB.

If the dispute relates to an Adverse Action Report, pertinent documentation might include a copy of the following:

• The findings of fact and recommendations of the health care entity, professional society, or State licensing board.
• The final report of the hearing panel or other appellate body upon which the description of acts or omissions was based.

Secretarial Review Results

When the NPDB receives proper notice of a request for Secretarial Review, the materials are forwarded to the Secretary of HHS for review. There are three possible outcomes for Secretarial Review of a dispute:

• The Secretary concludes that the report is accurate.
• The Secretary concludes that the report is inaccurate.
• The Secretary concludes that the issues in dispute are outside the scope of Secretarial Review.

Report Accurate as Submitted

If the Secretary concludes that the information in the report is accurate, the Secretary sends an explanation of the decision to the subject. The subject may then submit, within 30 days, a statement that is added to the report. The statement is limited to 2,000 characters, including spaces and punctuation, and is entered into the NPDB computer system exactly as submitted. The new Subject Statement replaces any statement the subject submitted previously. If no new Subject Statement is received, any existing statement previously submitted by the subject is maintained as part of the report record.

The subject of the report, the reporting entity, and all queriers who received notice of the disputed report are each sent a Report Revised, Voided, or Status Changed document containing the Secretary’s explanation and the subject’s statement. Future queriers will receive the Secretary’s and subject’s statements with the report.
Report Inaccurate as Submitted

If the Secretary concludes that the report is inaccurate, the Secretary directs the NPDB to correct the information in the report. The subject of the report, the reporting entity, and all queriers who received notice of the disputed report are each sent a **Report Revised, Voided, or Status Changed** document informing them of the correction.

If the Secretary concludes that the report was submitted in error, the Secretary directs that the report be voided from the NPDB. The subject of the report, the reporting entity, and all queriers who received notice of the disputed report are each sent a **Report Revised, Voided, or Status Changed** document informing them that the report has been voided.

Dispute Outside the Scope of Secretarial Review

If the Secretary concludes that the issue in dispute is outside the scope of review, the Secretary directs the NPDB to add an entry to that effect to the report and to remove the dispute notation from the report. The subject may then submit, within 30 days, a statement that is added to the report. The statement is limited to 2,000 characters, including spaces and punctuation, and is entered into the NPDB computer system exactly as submitted. If no new Subject Statement is received, any existing statement previously submitted by the subject is maintained as part of the report record.

The subject of the report, the reporting entity, and all queriers who received notice of the disputed report are each sent a **Report Revised, Voided, or Status Changed** document informing them of the Secretary's decision.

Secretarial Review Overview

PRECEDING

• Subject and Reporting Entity Cannot Resolve Dispute
• Subject Requests Secretarial Review

Secretary HHS Reviews Dispute Documentation

Subject, Reporting Entity, and Previous Queriers Notified of Outcome
**Reconsideration of the Secretary’s Decisions on Disputes**

Although HHS does not have a formal appeals process for reconsideration of the Secretary’s decisions on disputes, HHS does review such requests. The subject must submit a written request for reconsideration to the office that issued the Secretary’s determination. The subject should be specific about any new information that was unavailable at the time of Secretarial Review and which issues the practitioner believes were not appropriately considered during the review process. The Secretary will either affirm the prior determination or issue a revised finding. HHS, however, gives priority to initial requests for Secretarial Review.

**Improper Requests for Secretarial Review**

A request for Secretarial Review is considered improper when the report in question has not previously been disputed by the subject. Before requesting Secretarial Review, a subject must first attempt to resolve the disagreement with the reporting entity and then may dispute the report according to the instructions provided on the Notification of a Report in the Data Bank(s) document.

If a subject submits an improper request for Secretarial Review, the NPDB will notify the subject that the report must first be disputed and resolution attempted with the reporting entity.

**Examples of Disputes**

**Due Process - Alleged Denial**

**Example:** A practitioner alleged that an entity, during professional review, denied the practitioner due process because the reviewers ignored the testimony of medical experts or other witnesses called to prove various points the practitioner felt important to the defense.

**Outcome:** The Secretary determined that the dispute request was outside the scope of review and made an entry to that effect in the report. The dispute notation was removed from the report.

**Due Process - Legal Action Pending**

**Example:** A practitioner disputed a report on the revocation of his or her clinical privileges by a hospital on the basis that due process was denied during professional review. The practitioner further stated that since he or she had initiated a legal action against the hospital regarding the due process, the report should be removed from the NPDB until legal action is resolved.

**Outcome:** The Secretary determined that the dispute request was outside the scope of review. The Secretary additionally stated that if a court action resulted in a reportable change to the action previously reported, a second report must be submitted by the reporting entity. This new report could make corrections, be a revision to the action, or be a void of the prior report.
**Licensure Completion - Trigger Date**

**Example:** A pharmacy student committed an act of alleged malpractice while in training in the pharmacy of a retail store. The student had no license at the time of the alleged act. However, at the time the payment was made on the student’s behalf, the student had completed training and received a license. The practitioner disputed the report on the basis that a practitioner must be licensed at the time of the alleged incident in order for a report to be made to the NPDB.

**Outcome:** The Secretary directed that the report be voided from the NPDB since it has been determined that the appropriate trigger date for determining if the practitioner is licensed is the date on which the reported incident occurred, not the date on which the payment was made.

**Narrative Description - Legal Sufficiency**

The purpose of the narrative description section of the report is to describe the acts, omissions, or reasons for the action reported. Section 423(a)(3)(B) of the *Health Care Quality Assurance Act* [42 U.S.C., Section 11133(a)(3)(B)] requires such “description of the acts or omissions or other reasons for the action.” The legislative history states that the narrative “… does not necessarily require an extensive description of the acts or omissions or other reasons for the action or, if known, for the surrender. It does, however, require sufficient specificity to enable a knowledgeable observer to determine clearly the circumstances of the action or surrender.”

A significant number of reports do not meet these legal requirements. The following are examples of legally inadequate descriptions found in the narrative description section of disputed reports:

**Example 1:** “Dr. X was found to exhibit improper and unprofessional conduct.”

**Example 2:** “The ABC Hospital Board took final action on January 2, 1994, instituting a mandatory concurring consultation and monitoring requirement for a 6-month period, following an appeal by Dr. Y.”

**Example 3:** “See attached letter.”

**Outcomes:** The Secretary required the reporting entities to correct the reports to include more descriptive/explicative narratives. The contents of attachments are not entered into reports.
Narrative Description - Misleading

Example: A practitioner disputed a hospital’s report that he resigned while under investigation. The narrative stated that there were no questions of professional competence or conduct, but that the issues that led to the investigation and the resignation were problems in the practitioner’s bedside manner.

Outcome: The Secretary found that the report should be voided because the reason for the investigation as shown in the narrative was unrelated to professional competence or conduct. The hospital changed the narrative of the report to indicate that the investigation was undertaken as a matter of professional competence due to a misdiagnosis of a patient in the emergency room. The practitioner disputed this revised report. The Secretary reviewed the corrected report and the supporting material submitted by the hospital and found that the corrected report showed a reportable event.

It is unclear why the hospital submitted the initial report with language in the narrative that made the resignation appear unreportable. This case serves to emphasize the importance of providing accurate and complete information when composing the narrative section of a report.

Privileges - Resignation and Surrender While Under Investigation

Example: A practitioner disputed a report that he had resigned privileges during an investigation concerning professional competence. The practitioner disputed the report on the basis that he was unaware of any investigation and did not believe one was ongoing at the time. The practitioner also stated that he did not resign in order to avoid a review, but because his contract was expiring and he had found a new job.

Secretary’s Response: The Secretary requested that the entity submit contemporaneous documentation showing that the entity had undertaken an investigation of the physician. Such documentation might have included findings of reviewers or directives of the executive committee or other professional review bodies in the hospital, or minutes from a professional review entity. The entity was unable or unwilling to provide any documentation that an investigation was occurring at the time the practitioner left. Since no contemporaneous documentation of an ongoing investigation was provided, the Secretary determined that the report should be voided.

The Secretary also stated that the practitioner need not be aware of an ongoing investigation at the time of the resignation in order for the entity to report the resignation to the NPDB, since many investigations start without any formal allegation being made against the practitioner. The reason the practitioner gives for leaving an entity while under investigation is irrelevant to reportability of the resignation.
Privileges - Suspension and Hospital Motivation

**Example:** A practitioner disputed the report of a suspension of clinical privileges. The practitioner claimed that the motivation for the action was a personality conflict with the chairman of his department, a matter unrelated to professional competence.

**Outcome:** The Secretary determined that the dispute request was outside the scope of review since the motivation of the hospital or individuals involved in the case is not reviewed by the Secretary and made an entry to that effect in the report. The dispute notation was removed from the report.

Professional Review - Alternative Employment Termination Procedure

**Example:** A practitioner disputed a report of the revocation of clinical privileges. The hospital has a system of professional review established under its bylaws and delivers health care services. The hospital also has an “employment termination procedure.” The employment termination procedure was used by the hospital to end a practitioner’s employment without use of the professional review process. The practitioner’s privileges were revoked by the employment termination process, but no action was taken through the professional review process.

The practitioner was given no option in how the termination would occur.

**Outcome:** The Secretary directed that the report be voided from the NPDB since the professional review process had not been followed in terminating the practitioner’s privileges. The termination was not a professional review action.

Some hospitals have stated that if they follow professional review procedures to remove the practitioner’s privileges, they must then follow employment termination procedures in order to fire the practitioner. Hospitals have stated that by following the employment termination procedures, practitioners’ privileges will automatically terminate. One hospital required all physicians on staff to waive their rights to the professional review process as a condition of employment. Health care entities are reminded that in order to be reportable to the NPDB, adverse actions must be the result of professional review.

Residency Status

**Example:** A licensed medical resident disputed a Medical Malpractice Payment Report on the basis that she was in training at the time of the incident.

**Outcome:** The Secretary determined that the dispute request was outside the scope of review and made an entry to that effect in the report. The number of times a patient is seen by a practitioner or the level of responsibility is irrelevant to

Responsibility for Treatment

**Example:** A practitioner disputed a Medical Malpractice Payment Report because she saw the patient only once and was not responsible.

**Outcome:** The Secretary determined that the dispute request was outside the scope of review and made an entry to that effect in the report. The number of times a patient is seen by a practitioner or the level of responsibility is irrelevant to
reporting a medical malpractice payment. The dispute notation was removed from the report.

Settlement - Subject Disagrees

Example: A practitioner disputed a Medical Malpractice Payment Report on the basis that he did not concur with the settlement.

Outcome: The Secretary determined that the dispute request was outside the scope of review since the practitioner’s agreement to a settlement is irrelevant to the reportability of the payment. The Secretary made an entry to that effect in the report, and the dispute notation was removed from the report.

Settlement - Subject Dismissed from Lawsuit

Example: A practitioner disputed a Medical Malpractice Payment Report on the basis that she was dismissed from the lawsuit by summary judgment before the settlement. The order granting summary judgment provided that the practitioner be dismissed from the lawsuit as having no liability, and that the plaintiff make no recovery against the practitioner.

Outcome: The Secretary directed the NPDB to void the report since no claim existed against the practitioner and no payment was made on his or her behalf. Although the insurance company may have named the practitioner in the release or settlement, any payment made would not be on behalf of this practitioner due to the summary judgment order.

Suspension - Indefinite Length

Example: A practitioner disputed a report of a summary suspension of clinical privileges on the basis that the suspension was less than 30 days. The hospital reported the suspension of the practitioner’s clinical privileges on the 10th day of an indefinite suspension. Attendant to the suspension was a requirement that the practitioner complete a specific course of action (a psychiatric evaluation). When that action was completed, the hospital’s professional review body reinstated the practitioner’s clinical privileges. The practitioner completed the required action on the 20th day of the suspension and clinical privileges were immediately restored. The suspension of the practitioner’s clinical privileges did not exceed 30 days, but the hospital did not request that the report be voided from the NPDB.

Outcome: The Secretary directed the NPDB to void the report since the duration of the suspension of the practitioner’s clinical privileges did not exceed 30 days.

When a summary suspension is indefinite in length, it should not be reported until it has been in effect for more than 30 days.

Suspension - Summary

Example: A report was made to the NPDB regarding a summary suspension based on a practitioner’s professional competence, which did not last more than 30 days. The hospital took no reportable action following the summary suspension. The practitioner disputed the report since the length of the suspension was less than 30 days. The practitioner resigned a year later while still under investigation by the
hospital for the same type of professional competency issue. The hospital submitted a report of the practitioner’s resignation while under investigation. The practitioner disputed this report on the grounds that the same issue had previously been reported to the NPDB.

**Outcome:** The Secretary directed the NPDB to void the first report since the suspension did not exceed 30 days. The Secretary determined the second report to be correct as submitted since the resignation of the practitioner was submitted while under investigation for issues related to professional competence.

The practitioner was correct that the reason for the report was the same; however, reportability hinges not upon the nature of the problem or incident, but on the circumstances under which the report was made (the suspension versus the resignation while under investigation).

**Questions and Answers**

1. **I am the executor of my wife’s estate. I received notification of a report about her in the NPDB. Can I dispute the report?**

   Yes. To dispute a report on your wife’s behalf, you must provide documentation that you have been appointed the executor or legal representative of her estate. Acceptable documentation can be a photocopy of her will or other legal documentation showing you as the executor/legal representative.

2. **When a subject attempts to resolve a disagreement with a reporting entity, must the dispute be resolved within a certain time frame?**

   No. A subject must inform the reporting entity, in writing, of the disagreement with the report and the basis for that disagreement, but there is no requirement that the dispute must be resolved within a certain amount of time.

3. **If a subject wishes to dispute a report, does the subject have to submit a statement at the time of dispute?**

   No. The subject may provide a statement with the initiation of dispute, but is not required to do so. A Subject Statement may be submitted at any time.

4. **Must a subject initiate a dispute in order to add a statement to a report?**

   No. The subject of a report may add a statement to a report independently of the dispute process.

5. **If the Secretary rules a dispute to be beyond the scope of review and places a notation to this effect in the NPDB, can the subject also add a statement?**

   Yes. Subjects are notified of this option by the Secretary. A Subject Statement added to the report after dispute resolution replaces any prior Subject Statement.
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Query Fees

Entity Query Fees

Fees are charged for all queries submitted to the NPDB. The query fee is based on the cost of processing requests and providing information to eligible entities. The fee is levied on a per-name basis. When multiple-name (i.e., batch) queries are submitted, the number of names in the query is multiplied by the per-name fee. If an eligible entity has registered for both the NPDB and the HIPDB and has selected the option to query both Data Banks (in Section D of the Entity Registration form), each query is processed against both Data Banks and assessed the current fee for each Data Bank.

The act of submitting a query to the NPDB is considered an agreement to pay the associated fee. A fee is assessed when a query is:

- Processed by the NPDB, regardless of whether there is information on file regarding a subject.
- Rejected by the NPDB because it is improperly completed or lacks required information.

Even when an entity designates an authorized agent to query and/or report on behalf of the entity, the entity is ultimately responsible for payment. Contractual arrangements with authorized agents should include procedures for payment of query fees.

Query fees are subject to change. The Secretary of HHS announces any changes in the Federal Register. Query fees are based on the date of receipt at the NPDB.

Self-Query Fees

A practitioner may submit a self-query at any time. Self-query requests for individuals are automatically sent to both the NPDB and the HIPDB, and self-queriers are assessed a fee for each Data Bank. All self-queries must be submitted through the NPDB-HIPDB web site at www.npdb-hipdb.com. After completing the on-line application, a self-querier should print the formatted copy, sign it (in ink) in the presence of a notary public, and mail the notarized form to the NPDB-HIPDB at the address noted on the form.

Methods of Payment

The NPDB accepts payment by credit card (VISA, MasterCard, or Discover) or pre-authorized Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT). All self-query fees must be paid by credit card. Personal checks, money orders, or cash are not accepted.

Entities choosing to pay by credit card do not have to make advance arrangements with the NPDB. The user should enter the credit card number and expiration date on the appropriate IQRS screen when creating a query. (Note: Credit card information must be entered each time a query file is created; the IQRS does not currently store this information.)

Entities choosing to pay by EFT must submit an Electronic Funds Transfer Authorization form before EFT payments can be processed. The form is available at www.npdb-hipdb.com. Entities must provide their Data Bank Identification Number (DBID), bank routing code, account number, the type of account (checking or savings), attach a voided blank check to the form, and sign the form in ink to establish an EFT. Once the
completed form has been submitted, the NPDB-HIPDB will establish electronic communications with the entity’s bank. This process takes approximately two weeks. The entity will receive verification by mail that the EFT account has been set up successfully. Entities should verify the information for accuracy and, if there are any errors, mark their corrections on the document, sign and date it, and return it to the NPDB-HIPDB. If the information is correct, the entity should retain it for future reference.

Once an entity receives verification, it may begin to pay for query fees using EFT. Query charges will be deducted automatically from the entity’s designated EFT account. Unlike the process of paying by credit card, the user does not need to enter EFT account information when creating a query.

Entities are responsible for ensuring that adequate funds are present in their account at the time queries are submitted for processing to avoid interruption and potential termination of services with the Data Banks. If an entity’s EFT information changes, the entity is responsible for notifying the Data Banks by submitting a new Electronic Funds Transfer Authorization form.

Eligible entities may elect to have outside organizations query and/or report to the Data Banks on their behalf. Such an organization is referred to as the authorized agent (see Chapter D, Queries, for more information about authorized agents). The entity may choose to have the query charge assessed to either the agent’s or the entity’s credit card or EFT account. Agents that plan to charge query fees to their EFT account must complete an Electronic Funds Transfer Authorization form before EFT payments can be processed. If the entity intends for the fees for queries submitted by the agent to be assessed to either the agent’s or the entity’s EFT account, the entity must indicate this preference on the Authorized Agent Designation form, available at www.npdb-hipdb.com.

Entities and agents may view query charges on the Billing History screen within the IQRS. This screen provides the most current information available for entities and agents to better reconcile query charge amounts as they appear on their EFT or credit card statements. For each query submission, the Billing History screen provides the following information: the Data Bank Control Number (DCN) assigned to the query submission, the Data Bank(s) queried, the number of queries processed and charged compared to the total number of queries in that submission, the date the credit card or EFT account was charged, the amount charged, the type of payment used, the last four digits of the account number, and the processing status of the bill.

Entities also receive a Charge Receipt with their query responses. This document, along with the information on the Billing History screen, may be used by entities for accounting purposes. The Charge Receipt provides a list of the queried subjects, the search results, and the associated query fees.

An EFT Charge Receipt also contains the following information:

- Data Bank Identification Number (DBID)
- Entity Name
- Entity Address
- Payment Method
A Credit Card Charge Receipt contains the following information:

- Data Bank Identification Number (DBID)
- Entity Name
- Entity Address
- Payment Method
- Account Number
- Expiration Date
- Transaction Date (Date Queried)
- Transaction Number
- Date Charged
- Number of Subjects in Query
- Number of Subjects Processed With Charge
- Number of Subjects Previously Processed
- Number of Subjects Not Processed
- Fee Per Subject
- Total Charge

The Number of Subjects Not Processed field refers to any query that has a “Pending” status. A status of “Pending” is assigned to any query that requires additional research before it can be completed. Credit cards are billed only when the status for a subject is indicated as “Complete.” The Charge Receipt includes the processing and fee information for all subject names processed within a query, regardless of the date that each per-name fee was charged.

**Account Discrepancies**

If your EFT account information (e.g., routing number, bank account information) changes, you must submit a new *Electronic Funds Transfer Authorization* form that contains the new information. You must ensure that your account information is kept current to avoid interruption of NPDB services.

The NPDB-HIPDB collects outstanding query fee balances. The NPDB-HIPDB will request the entity to complete an *Account Balance Transfer Request* form to authorize settlement of an outstanding balance. The form is available at www.npdb-hipdb.com. There is no time limitation associated with the collection of an unpaid query charge.

Reconciliation of credit card statements must be done through the bank that issued the credit card. If you believe that your credit card or your EFT account should be credited or debited, contact the NPDB-HIPDB Customer Service Center for assistance. The NPDB will research the discrepancy and provide you with a resolution or a request for more information.
Credits and Debits

The NPDB issues credits when:

- A fee is incorrectly assessed.
- The NPDB causes a data processing error.

The NPDB issues debits when:

- A credit is mistakenly applied to an account.
- An original charge is not paid.

Requests for credits should be made within a 60-day period. If you suspect that your bill is incorrect, or if you need more information about a transaction on your bill, please write us as soon as possible. We must hear from you no later than 60 days after you submitted the query on which the error or problem appeared. You may call us at 1-800-767-6732 to report the error, but doing so will not preserve your rights. Your letter must provide the following information:

- Your name and credit card or EFT account number
- The dollar amount of the suspected error
- A description of the error and explanation of why you believe there is an error
- Your entity’s and/or agent’s Data Bank Identification Number (DBID)
- Your telephone number
- Your signature
- A copy of your bill

The NPDB has the right to collect all outstanding balances without prior approval from the customer. This collection authority does not expire.

If your organization is due a credit, the credit must be requested in writing within the time period set forth by the NPDB-HIPDB. After this period, no refunds will be warranted. In the event of a merger or acquisition of another entity, the new organization is responsible for payment of any outstanding debt of the prior organization.

Bankruptcy

Entities are responsible for notifying the NPDB of bankruptcy in writing and must include the following information:

- DBID
- Entity Name
- Entity Address
- Type of Bankruptcy - Chapter 7, Chapter 9, Chapter 11, or State Liquidation

If your organization is undergoing bankruptcy, the outstanding balance is still collectable until final resolution of the bankruptcy. Failure to make payments to the Data Bank(s) can result in your organization being terminated from access to the Data Bank(s).

Questions and Answers

1. How does an entity request a credit from the NPDB?

The entity may request a credit by submitting the necessary details and supporting documentation (e.g., the query Data Bank Control Number, query batch number if part of a multiple-name submission, and billing statement) to the NPDB in writing.
2. **Does the NPDB reconcile credit card mistakes?**

The NPDB cannot answer questions regarding credit card account statements sent to you by the bank that issued your credit card, nor can the NPDB address or investigate unauthorized charges. Please contact the bank that issued the credit card for assistance.

3. **My hospital is in Chapter 7 bankruptcy. Can it continue to query the NPDB?**

If your hospital has ongoing business and is functioning as a hospital while concluding its liquidation, even under a debtor-in-possession, it must continue to query the NPDB. If it is in liquidation solely for the purpose of sale of assets and there is no ongoing business as a hospital, there is no reason for your organization to query and your DBID will be deactivated. Your organization is responsible for notifying the NPDB of its status. If the hospital comes under new ownership, the new owner must register with the NPDB and is responsible for fulfilling its reporting and querying obligations.

4. **My hospital is in Chapter 9 bankruptcy. Can it continue to query the NPDB?**

Yes. Your hospital will be charged for all queries submitted after the NPDB receives notice of the filing of the Petition for Bankruptcy. Organizations that have an obligation to query the NPDB (i.e., hospitals) must still meet their querying obligations.

5. **My hospital is in Chapter 11 bankruptcy. Can it continue to query the NPDB?**

Yes. Your organization will be charged for all queries submitted after the NPDB receives notice of the filing of the Petition for Bankruptcy. Organizations that have an obligation to query the NPDB (i.e., hospitals) must still meet their querying obligations.

6. **My hospital has been liquidated by the State. Can it continue to query the NPDB?**

If your hospital has ongoing business and is functioning as a hospital while concluding its liquidation, it must continue to query the NPDB. Once the liquidation process has concluded or your organization has no ongoing business as a hospital, there is no reason for your organization to query and your DBID will be deactivated. Your organization is responsible for notifying the NPDB of its status. If the hospital comes under new ownership, the new owner must register with the NPDB and is responsible for fulfilling its reporting and querying obligations.
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NPDB-HIPDB Web Site Assistance

The National Practitioner Data Bank-Healthcare Integrity and Protection Data Bank (NPDB-HIPDB) web site, located at www.npdb-hipdb.com, allows you to interact with the NPDB-HIPDB more easily and quickly. By using your personal computer and the Internet, you can instantly access:

- The Integrated Querying and Reporting Service (IQRS) where Data Bank querying and reporting occurs. The IQRS contains several security features to prevent unauthorized access and ensure the confidentiality of information.
- The Self-Query Options screen, where you may complete an individual or organization self-query application and then print a formatted copy for notarization before mailing it to the NPDB-HIPDB. You may also view the status of a self-query that was previously transmitted to the Data Bank(s).
- The NPDB and HIPDB Guidebooks.
- Fact Sheets and Forms, including the Entity Registration form, Authorized Agent Registration form, Authorized Agent Designation form, and Electronic Funds Transfer Authorization form.
- A list of authorized agents.
- The NPDB and HIPDB governing statutes and regulations.
- The NPDB and HIPDB interactive training programs.
- General information on the Data Banks.
- Instructions and requirements for querying and reporting, including subject self-queries.
- Answers to frequently asked questions (FAQ).
- Criteria for entity eligibility.
- Information on the dispute process.
- An archive of NPDB-HIPDB newsletters and other publications.

The NPDB-HIPDB web site includes information on how to contact the Data Banks. Please visit the web site to instantly access information and find answers to your questions.

NPDB-HIPDB Customer Service Center

For additional assistance, contact the NPDB-HIPDB Customer Service Center by e-mail at npdb-hipdb@sra.com, or by phone at 1-800-767-6732 (TDD 703-802-9395).

Information specialists are available to speak with you weekdays from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. (5:30 p.m. on Fridays) Eastern Time. The NPDB-HIPDB Customer Service Center is closed on all Federal holidays.
Data Bank Addresses

Requests for general information about the Data Banks and requests for Dispute and Secretarial Review materials should be addressed to:

National Practitioner Data Bank
Healthcare Integrity and Protection Data Bank
P.O. Box 10832
Chantilly, VA 20153-0832

Overnight mail delivery address:

National Practitioner Data Bank
Healthcare Integrity and Protection Data Bank
4094 Majestic Lane
PMB-332
Fairfax, VA 22033

Phone numbers:

NPDB-HIPDB Customer Service Center:
1-800-767-6732
Outside the U.S.: 1-703-802-9380
Fax: 1-703-502-1222
TDD 1-703-802-9395

Requests for aggregate research data* must be addressed to:

Division of Quality Assurance
Research and Disputes Branch
7519 Standish Place
Suite 300
Rockville, MD 20857

* There may be a charge for some data requests.

Interpretation of NPDB Statutes and Regulations

The Division of Quality Assurance, Bureau of Health Professions, Health Resources and Services Administration, Department of Health and Human Services, is the Government agency responsible for administering the NPDB and for interpreting NPDB requirements. Matters that deal specifically with the legal interpretation of statutory and regulatory authority, should be directed to:

Associate Director for Policy
Division of Quality Assurance
Policy Branch
7519 Standish Place
Suite 300
Rockville, MD 20857

The Privacy Act and the NPDB

The Privacy Act (5 USC §552a) protects the contents of Federal systems of records on individuals, like those in the NPDB from disclosure without the individual’s consent, unless the disclosure is for a routine use of the system of records as published annually in the Federal Register. The published routine use of NPDB information, which are based on the laws and the regulations under which the NPDB operates, does not include disclosure to the general public.

Write to the address in the Interpretation of NPDB Statute and Regulations section, above, for more information.
The Freedom of Information Act and the NPDB

The NPDB, as an agency of the United States, is required to release records to the public with certain exceptions under the provisions of the *Freedom of Information Act* (FOIA), 5 USC §552. The law creating the NPDB, the *Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986*, as amended, Title IV of P.L. 99-660, provides for limited access to NPDB information by certain authorized individuals and entities and, under the provisions of the *Privacy Act*, 5 USC §552a, protects practitioner information from unauthorized access. The limited access provision of the *Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986*, as amended, may affect the disclosure requirements of FOIA. The Health Resources and Services Administration of the Department of Health and Human Services processes FOIA requests. For information about the FOIA as it relates to the NPDB, please direct your inquiry to:

HRSA Freedom of Information Officer
Health Resources and Services Administration
7519 Standish Place
Suite 300
Rockville, MD  20857
(301) 443-2865

Federal Employer Identification Number

The Federal Employer Identification Number (FEIN) is used by paying entities for billing purposes as a vendor identification number. The vendor name, address, and FEIN for the NPDB are as follows:

HRSA, Department of Health and Human Services
7519 Standish Place
Suite 300
Rockville, MD  20857

FEIN: 52-082-1668

State Medical and Dental Boards

Addresses and phone numbers for State Medical and Dental Boards are listed in alphabetical order by State. Street addresses that are different than mailing addresses are listed in italics. This information is current as of the publication date of this *Guidebook*. 
ALABAMA

Alabama State Board of Medical Examiners
P.O. Box 946
Montgomery, AL 36101-0946
848 Washington Avenue
Phone: (334) 242-4116
Fax: (334) 242-4155
Web Site: http://www.albme.org/
E-mail: bmedixon@mindspring.com

Alabama Medical Licensure Commission
P.O. Box 887
Montgomery, AL 36101-0887
Phone: (334) 242-4153
Fax: (334) 242-4155
Web Site: http://www.albme.org/
E-mail: bmedixon@mindspring.com

Board of Dental Examiners of Alabama
2327 Pansy Street, Suite B
Huntsville, AL 35801
Phone: (205) 533-4638
Fax: (205) 533-4690
E-mail: bdeaal@compuserve.com

ALASKA

Alaska State Medical Board
3601 C Street, Suite 722
Anchorage, AK 99503-5986
Phone: (907) 269-8160
Fax: (907) 269-8156
Web Site: http://www.dced.state.ak.us/occ/pmed.htm

Alaska Board of Dental Examiners
P.O. Box 110806
Juneau, AK 99811-0806
Phone: (907) 465-2542
Fax: (907) 465-2974
Web Site: http://www.dced.state.ak.us/occ/pden.htm

ARIZONA

Arizona Board of Medical Examiners
9545 E. Doubletree Ranch Road
Scottsdale, AZ 85258
Phone: (480) 551-2700
Toll Free: 877-255-2212
Fax: (480) 551-2704
Web Site: http://www.docboard.org/bomex/index.htm
E-mail: questions@bomex.org

Arizona Board of Osteopathic Examiners in Medicine and Surgery
9535 E. Doubletree Ranch Road
Scottsdale, AZ 85258
Phone: (480) 657-7703
Fax: (480) 657-7715
Web Site: http://www.azosteoboard.org/
E-mail: information@azosteoboard.com

Arizona Board of Dental Examiners
5060 North 19th Avenue, Suite 406
Phoenix, AZ 85015
Phone: (602) 242-1492
Fax: (602) 242-1445

ARKANSAS

Arkansas State Medical Board
2100 Riverfront Drive, Suite 200
Little Rock, AR 72202
Phone: (501) 296-1802
Fax: (501) 296-1805
Web Site: http://www.armedicalboard.org/
E-mail: office@armedicalboard.org

Arkansas State Board of Dental Examiners
101 East Capitol, Suite 111
Little Rock, AR 72201
Phone: (501) 682-2085
Fax: (501) 682-3543
Web Site: http://www.asbde.org/
E-mail: asbde@mail.state.ar.us

CALIFORNIA

Medical Board of California
1426 Howe Avenue, Suite 54
Sacramento, CA 95825-3236
Phone: (916) 263-2466
Toll Free: 800-633-2322
Fax: (916) 263-2387
Web Site: http://www.medbd.ca.gov/

Osteopathic Medical Board of California
2720 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 350
Sacramento, CA 95833
Phone: (916) 263-3100
Fax: (916) 263-3117
Web Site: http://www.docboard.org/cx/
E-mail: ljbombc@inreach.com
Dental Board of California
1432 Howe Avenue, Suite 85-B
Sacramento, CA 95825
Phone: (916) 263-2300
Fax: (916) 263-2140
Web Site: http://www.dca.ca.gov/r_r/dentalbd.htm

COLORADO

Colorado State Board of Medical Examiners
1560 Broadway, Suite 1300
Denver, CO 80202-5140
Phone: (303) 894-7690
Fax: (303) 894-7692
Web Site: http://www.dora.state.co.us/medical
E-mail: medical@dora.state.co.us

Colorado State Board of Dental Examiners
1560 Broadway, Suite 1310
Denver, CO 80202
Phone: (303) 894-7758
Fax: (303) 894-7764
Web Site: http://www.dora.state.co.us/dental
E-mail: dental@dora.state.co.us

CONNECTICUT

Connecticut Department of Public Health
410 Capitol Avenue
P.O. Box 340308
Hartford, CT 06134-0308
Phone: (860) 509-8000
Web Site: http://www.state.ct.us/dph/

DELWARE

Delaware Board of Medical Practice
P.O. Box 1401
Dover, DE 19903
Cannon Building, Suite 203, 861 Silver Lake Blvd., Dover, DE 19904
Phone: (302) 739-4522 Ext. 211
Fax: (302) 739-2711

Delaware State Board of Dental Examiners
P.O. Box 1401
Dover, DE 19903
Cannon Building, Suite 203, 861 Silver Lake Blvd., Dover, DE 19904
Phone: (302) 739-4522 Ext. 220
Fax: (302) 739-2711

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

District of Columbia Board of Medicine
825 N. Capitol Street, N.E., 2nd Floor
Washington, DC 20002
Phone: (202) 442-9200
Fax: (202) 442-9431
Web Site: http://www.dchealth.com

District of Columbia Board of Dentistry
Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs
614 H Street, N.W., Room 904
Washington, DC 20001
Phone: (202) 727-7478

FLORIDA

Florida Board of Medicine
4052 Bald Cypress Way, Bin CO3
Tallahassee, FL 32399-3253
Phone: (850) 245-4131
Fax: (850) 922-3040
Web Site: http://www.doh.state.fl.us/mqa/medical/mehome.htm

Florida Board of Osteopathic Medicine
4052 Bald Cypress Way, Bin CO6
Tallahassee, FL 32399-3256
Phone: (850) 488-0595
Fax: (850) 921-6184
Web Site: http://www.doh.state.fl.us/mqa/osteopath/oshome.htm

Florida Board of Dentistry
4052 Bald Cypress Way, Bin CO6
Tallahassee, FL 32399-3256
Phone: (850) 488-0595
Fax: (850) 921-6184
Web Site: http://www.doh.state.fl.us/mqa/dentistry/dnhome.htm

GEORGIA

Georgia Composite State Board of Medical Examiners
2 Peachtree Street, 6th Floor
Atlanta, GA 30303-3465
Phone: (404) 656-3913
Fax: (404) 656-9723
Web Site: http://www.sos.state.ga.us/ebd-medical/
Georgia Board of Dentistry  
237 Coliseum Drive  
Macon, GA  31217-3858  
Phone: (478) 207-1680  
Fax: (478) 207-1685  
Web Site: http://www.sos.state.ga.us/ebd-dentistry/  

HAWAII  

Hawaii Board of Medical Examiners  
P.O. Box 3469  
Honolulu, HI  96801  
1010 Richards St., Honolulu, HI 96813  
Phone: (808) 586-2708  
Fax: (808) 586-2689  
Licensing: (808) 586-3000  
Fax: (808) 586-3031  

Hawaii Board of Dental Examiners  
P.O. Box 3469  
Honolulu, HI  96801  
Phone: (808) 586-2702  
Fax: (808) 586-2704  
Licensing: (808) 586-3000  
Fax: (586) 586-3031  

IDAHO  

Idaho State Board of Medicine  
P.O. Box 83720  
Boise, ID  83720-0058  
Westgate Office Plaza, 1755 Westgate Drive, Suite 140  
Phone: (208) 327-7000  
Fax: (208) 327-7005  

Idaho State Board of Dentistry  
P.O. Box 83720  
Boise, ID  83720-0021  
Phone: (208) 334-2369  
Fax: (208) 334-3247  
Web Site: http://www2.state.id.us/isbd  

ILLINOIS  

Illinois Department of Professional Regulation  
320 W. Washington Street  
Springfield, IL  62786  
Phone: (217) 785-0800  
Fax: (217) 782-7645  
Web Site: http://www.dpr.state.il.us/  
WHO/med.cfm  

Illinois Board of Dentistry  
Department of Professional Regulation  
320 W. Washington Street  
Springfield, IL  62786  
Phone: (217) 785-0800  
Web Site: http://www.dpr.state.il.us/  
WHO/dent.cfm  

INDIANA  

Indiana Health Professions Bureau  
402 W. Washington Street, Room W041  
Indianapolis, IN  46204  
Phone: (317) 232-2960  
Fax: (317) 233-4236  
Web Site: http://www.ai.org/hpb  

Indiana State Board of Dentistry  
402 W. Washington Street, Room W041  
Indianapolis, IN  46204  
Phone: (317) 233-4406  
Web Site: http://www.accessindiana.com/  
hpb/isbd/  

IOWA  

Iowa Board of Medical Examiners  
400 S.W. 8th Street, Suite C  
Des Moines, IA  50309-4686  
Phone: (515) 281-5171  
Fax: (515) 242-5908  
Web Site: http://www.docboard.org/ia/  
ia_home.htm  
E-mail: ibme@bon.state.ia.us  

Iowa Board of Dental Examiners  
400 S.W. 8th Street, Suite D  
Des Moines, IA  50309  
Phone: (515) 281-5157  
Fax: (515) 281-7969  
Web Site: http://www.state.ia.us/dentalboard/  

KANSAS  

Kansas State Board of Healing Arts  
235 S. Topeka Boulevard  
Topeka, KS  66603-3068  
Phone: (785) 296-7413  
Fax: (785) 296-0852  
Web Site: http://www.ksbha.org/  
E-mail: Healer3@ink.org
Kansas Dental Board
3601 S.W. 29th Street, Suite 134
Topeka, KS 66614-2062
Phone: (785) 273-0780
Fax: (785) 273-7545
E-mail: dental@ink.org

KENTUCKY

Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure
310 Whittington Parkway, Suite 1B
Louisville, KY 40222
Phone: (502) 429-8046
Fax: (502) 429-9923
Web Site: http://www.state.ky.us/agencies/kbml

Kentucky Board of Dentistry
10101 Linn Station Road
Louisville, KY 40223
Phone: (502) 423-0573
Fax: (502) 423-1239

LOUISIANA

Louisiana State Board of Medical Examiners
P.O. Box 30250
New Orleans, LA 70190-0250
630 Camp Street, New Orleans, LA 70130
Phone: (504) 524-6763
Fax: (504) 599-0503
Web Site: http://www.lsbme.org/
E-mail: Lsbmever@lsbme.org

Louisiana State Board of Dentistry
365 Canal Street, Suite 2680
New Orleans, LA 70130
Phone: (504) 568-8574
Fax: (504) 568-8598
Web Site: http://www.lsbd.org

MAINE

Maine Board of Licensure in Medicine
137 State House Station
Augusta, ME 04333-0137
Phone: (207) 287-3601
Fax: (207) 287-6590
Web Site: http://www.docboard.org/me/me_home.htm

Maine Board of Osteopathic Licensure
142 State House Station
2 Bangor Street
Augusta, ME 04333-0142
Phone: (207) 287-2480
Fax: (207) 287-3015
Web Site: http://www.docboard.org/me-osteo/

KENTUCKY

Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure
310 Whittington Parkway, Suite 1B
Louisville, KY 40222
Phone: (502) 429-8046
Fax: (502) 429-9923
Web Site: http://www.state.ky.us/agencies/kbml

Kentucky Board of Dentistry
10101 Linn Station Road
Louisville, KY 40223
Phone: (502) 423-0573
Fax: (502) 423-1239

LOUISIANA

Louisiana State Board of Medical Examiners
P.O. Box 30250
New Orleans, LA 70190-0250
630 Camp Street, New Orleans, LA 70130
Phone: (504) 524-6763
Fax: (504) 599-0503
Web Site: http://www.lsbme.org/
E-mail: Lsbmever@lsbme.org

Louisiana State Board of Dentistry
365 Canal Street, Suite 2680
New Orleans, LA 70130
Phone: (504) 568-8574
Fax: (504) 568-8598
Web Site: http://www.lsbd.org

MAINE

Maine Board of Licensure in Medicine
137 State House Station
Augusta, ME 04333-0137
Phone: (207) 287-3601
Fax: (207) 287-6590
Web Site: http://www.docboard.org/me/me_home.htm

Maine Board of Osteopathic Licensure
142 State House Station
2 Bangor Street
Augusta, ME 04333-0142
Phone: (207) 287-2480
Fax: (207) 287-3015
Web Site: http://www.docboard.org/me-osteo/

MARYLAND

Maryland Board of Physician Quality Assurance
4201 Patterson Avenue
Baltimore, MD 21215-0095
Phone: (410) 764-4777
Toll Free: 1-800-492-6836
Fax: (410) 358-2252
Web Site: http://www.docboard.org/md/default.htm
E-mail: BPQA@erols.com

Maryland Board of Dental Examiners
Spring Grove Hospital Center
Benjamin Rush Building
55 Wade Avenue
Baltimore, MD 21228
Phone: (410) 402-8500
Fax: (410) 358-0128

MASSACHUSETTS

Massachusetts Board of Registration in Medicine
10 West Street
Boston, MA 02111
Phone: (617) 727-3086
Fax: (617) 451-9568
Web Site: http://www.massmedboard.org
E-mail: webmaster@massmedboard.org

Massachusetts Board of Registration in Dentistry
239 Causeway Street, Suite 500
Boston, MA 02114
Phone: (617) 727-9928
Web Site: http://www.state.ma.us/reg/boards/dn
MICHIGAN

Michigan Board of Medicine
P.O. Box 30670
Lansing, MI 48909-7518
611 W Ottawa Street, 1st Floor, Lansing, MI 48933
Phone: (517) 373-6873
Fax: (517) 373-2179
Web Site: http://www.cis.state.mi.us/bhser/

Michigan Board of Osteopathic Medicine and Surgery
P.O. Box 30670
Lansing, MI 48909-7518
611 W Ottawa Street, 1st Floor, Lansing, MI 48933
Phone: (517) 373-6873
Fax: (517) 373-2179
Web Site: http://www.cis.state.mi.us/bhser/

Michigan Board of Dentistry
P.O. Box 30670-7518
Lansing, MI 48909
611 W Ottawa Street, 1st Floor, Lansing, MI 48933
Phone: (517) 373-9102
Fax: (517) 373-2179

MINNESOTA

Minnesota Board of Medical Practice
2829 University Avenue S.E., Suite 400
Minneapolis, MN 55414-3246
Phone: (612) 617-2130
Fax: (612) 617-2166
Web Site: http://www.bmp.state.mn.us

Minnesota Board of Dentistry
2829 University Avenue, S.E., Suite 450
Minneapolis, MN 55414-3249
Phone: (612) 617-2250
Fax: (612) 617-2260
Web Site: http://www.dentalboard.state.mn.us

MISSISSIPPI

Mississippi State Board of Medical Licensure
1867 Crane Ridge Drive, Suite 200-B
Jackson, MS 39216
Phone: (601) 987-3079
Fax: (601) 987-4159
Web Site: http://www.msblm.state.ms.us

Mississippi State Board of Dental Examiners
600 East Amite Street, Suite 100
Jackson, MS 39201-2801
Phone: (601) 944-9622

MISSOURI

Missouri State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts
3605 Missouri Blvd.
P.O. Box 4
Jefferson City, MO 65102
Phone: (573) 751-0098
Fax: (573) 751-3166
Web Site: http://www.ecodev.state.mo.us/pr/healarts
E-mail: healarts@mail.state.mo.us

Missouri State Dental Board
3605 Missouri Blvd.
P.O. Box 1367
Jefferson City, MO 65102
Phone: (573) 751-0040
Fax: (573) 751-8216
Web Site: http://www.ecodev.state.mo.us/pr/dental/
E-mail: dental@mail.state.mo.us

MONTANA

Montana Board of Medical Examiners
301 South Park, 4th Floor
P.O. Box 200513
Helena, MT 59620-0513
Phone: (406) 841-2360
Fax: (406) 841-2363
Web Site: http://www.com.state.mt.us/License/POL/pol_boards/med_board/board_page.htm
E-mail: compolmed@state.mt.us

Montana Board of Dentistry
301 South Park, 4th Floor
P.O. Box 200513
Helena, MT 59620-0513
Phone: (406) 841-2390
Fax: (406) 841-2305
Web Site: http://www.com.state.mt.us/License/POL/pol_boards/den_board/board_page.htm
E-mail: compolden@state.mt.us
NEBRASKA

Nebraska State Board of Examiners in Medicine and Surgery
P.O. Box 94986
Lincoln, NE 68509-4986
301 Centennial Mall South
Phone: (402) 471-2118
Fax: (402) 417-3577
Web Site: http://www.hhs.state.ne.us/crl/crlindex.htm

Nebraska Board of Examiners in Dentistry
P.O. Box 94986
Lincoln, NE 68509-4986
Phone: (402) 471-2118

NEVADA

Nevada Board of Medical Examiners
P.O. Box 7238
Reno, NV 89510
1105 Terminal Way, Suite 301, Reno, Nevada 89502
Phone: (775) 688-2559
Fax: (775) 688-2321
Toll Free: (888) 890-8210
Web Site: http://www.state.nv.us/medical/
E-mail: nsbme@govmail.state.nv.us

Nevada State Board of Osteopathic Medicine
2950 E. Flamingo Road, Suite E-3
Las Vegas, NV 89121-5208
Phone: (702) 732-2147
Fax: (702) 732-2079

Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners
2295-B Renaissance Dr.
Las Vegas, NV 89119
Phone: (702) 486-7044
Toll Free: 1-800-DDS-EXAM
Fax: (702) 486-7046
Web Site: http://www.nvdentalboard.org
E-mail: nsbde@govmail.state.nv.us

NEW HAMPSHIRE

State of New Hampshire Board of Medicine
2 Industrial Park Drive, Suite 8
Concord, NH 03301-8520
Phone: (603) 271-1203
Fax: (603) 271-6702
Web Site: http://www.state.nh.us/medicine

New Hampshire Board of Dental Examiners
2 Industrial Park Drive
Concord, NH 03301-8520
Phone: (603) 271-4561
Fax: (603) 271-6702
Web Site: http://webster.state.nh.us/dental/

NEW JERSEY

New Jersey State Board of Medical Examiners
P.O. Box 183
Trenton, NJ 08625-0183
140 E. Front Street, 2nd Floor
Phone: (609) 826-7100
Fax: (609) 984-3930
Web Site: http://www.state.nj.us/lps/ca/medical.htm

New Jersey State Board of Dentistry
124 Halsey Street
P.O. Box 45005
Newark, NJ 07101
Phone: (973) 504-6405
Fax: (973) 273-8075
Web Site: http://www.state.nj.us/lps/ca/medical.htm

NEW MEXICO

New Mexico State Board of Medical Examiners
491 Old Santa Fe Trail
Lamy Building, 2nd Floor
Santa Fe, NM 87501
Phone: (505) 827-5022
Fax: (505) 827-7377
Web Site: http://www.nmbme.org

New Mexico Board of Osteopathic Examiners Board
2055 Pacheco Street, Suite 400
P.O. Box 25101
Santa Fe, NM 87505
Phone: (505) 476-7120
Fax: (505) 827-7095
Web Site: http://www.rld.state.nm.us/b&c/osteopathic_examiners_board.htm
E-mail: OsteoBoard@state.nm.us
New Mexico Board of Dental Health Care
2055 Pacheco Street, Suite 400
Santa Fe, NM 87504
Phone: (505) 476-7125
Web Site: http://www.rld.state.nm.us/b&c/dental/index.htm
E-mail: DentalBoard@state.nm.us

NEW YORK
Office of Professional Medical Conduct
New York State Department of Health
433 River Street, Suite 303
Troy, NY 12180
Phone: (518) 402-0855
Fax: (518) 402-0866
Web Site: http://www.health.state.ny.us/
E-mail: opmc@health.state.ny.us

New York State Board for Medicine
Cultural Education Center, Room 3023
Empire State Plaza
Albany, NY 12230
Phone: (518) 474-3841
Fax: (518) 486-4846
Web Site: http://www.op.nysed.gov
E-mail: medbd@mail.nysed.gov

New York State Board for Dentistry
Cultural Education Center
Room 3035
Albany, NY 12230
Phone: (518) 474-3838
Fax: (518) 473-6995
Web Site: http://www.op.nysed.gov
E-mail: dentbd@mail.nysed.gov

NORTH CAROLINA
North Carolina Medical Board
P.O. Box 20007
Raleigh, NC 27619
1201 Front Street, Suite 100, Raleigh, NC 27609
Phone: (919) 326-1100
Fax: (919) 326-1130
Web Site: http://www.dcmboard.org/nc/
E-mail: info@ncmedboard.org

North Carolina State Board of Dental Examiners
P.O. Box 32270
Raleigh, NC 27622-2270
3716 National Drive, Raleigh, NC 27612
Phone: (919) 781-4901
Fax: (919) 571-4197
Web Site: http://www.ncdentalboard.org/
E-mail:info@ncdentalboard.org

NORTH DAKOTA
North Dakota State Board of Medical Examiners
City Center Plaza
418 E. Broadway, Suite 12
Bismarck, ND 58501
Phone: (701) 328-6500
Fax: (701) 328-6505
Web Site: http://www.ndbomex.com/

North Dakota State Board of Dental Examiners
P.O. Box 7246
Bismarck, ND 58507-7246
Phone: (701) 258-8600
Fax: (701) 224-9824
Web Site: http://www.nddentalboard.org/
E-mail: ndsbde@aptnd.com

OHIO
State of Ohio Medical Board
77 S. High Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, OH 43266-0315
Phone: (614) 466-3934
Complaint Line: 1-800-554-7717
Fax: (614) 728-5946
Web Site: http://www.state.oh.us/med/

Ohio State Dental Board
77 S. High Street, 18th Floor
Columbus, OH 43266-0306
Phone: (614) 466-2580
Fax: (614) 752-8995
Web Site: http://webtest.state.oh.us/den/
OKLAHOMA

Oklahoma Board of Medical Licensure and Supervision
P.O. Box 18256
Oklahoma City, OK 73154-0256
5104 N. Francis Street, Suite C, Oklahoma City, OK 73118
Phone: (405) 848-6841
Fax: (405) 848-8240
Web Site: http://www.osbmls.state.ok.us/
E-mail: supportservices@osbmls.state.ok.us

Oklahoma Board of Osteopathic Examiners
4848 N. Lincoln Boulevard, Suite 100
Oklahoma City, OK 73105-3321
Phone: (405) 528-8625
Fax: (405) 557-0653
Web Site: http://www.docboard.org/ok/ok.htm

Oklahoma Board of Dentistry
6501 N. Broadway, Suite 220
Oklahoma City, OK 73116
Phone: (405) 848-1364
Fax: (405) 848-3279
Web Site: http://www.state.ok.us/~dentist/
E-mail: dentist@oklaosf.state.ok.us

OREGON

Oregon Board of Medical Examiners
620 Crown Plaza
1500 S.W. First Avenue
Portland OR, 97201-5826
Phone: (503) 229-5770
Fax: (503) 229-6543
Web Site: http://www.bme.state.or.us/
E-mail: bme.info@state.or.us

Oregon Board of Dentistry
1515 S.W. 5th Avenue, Suite 602
Portland, OR 97201-5451
Phone: (503) 229-5520
Fax: (503) 229-6606
Web Site: http://www.oregondentistry.org/
E-mail: information@oregondentistry.org

PENNSYLVANIA

Pennsylvania State Board of Medicine
P.O. Box 2649
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2649
Phone: (717) 787-1400
Fax: (717) 787-7769
Web Site: http://www.dos.state.pa.us/bpoa/medbd/mainpage.htm
E-mail: medicine@pados.dos.state.pa.us

Pennsylvania State Board of Osteopathic Medicine
P.O. Box 2649
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2649
Phone: (717) 783-4858
Fax: (717) 787-7769
Web Site: http://www.dos.state.pa.us/bpoa/ostbd/mainpage.htm
E-mail: osteopat@pados.dos.state.pa.us

Pennsylvania State Board of Dentistry
P.O. Box 2649
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2649
Phone: (717) 783-7162
Fax: (717) 787-7769
Web Site: http://www.dos.state.pa.us/bpoa/denbd/mainpage.htm
E-mail: dentistr@pados.dos.state.pa.us

RHODE ISLAND

Rhode Island Board of Medical Licensure and Discipline
Department of Health
3 Capitol Hill, Room 205
Providence, RI 02908-5097
Phone: (401) 222-3855
Fax: (401) 222-2158
Web Site: http://www.docboard.org/ri/main.htm

Rhode Island Board of Examiners in Dentistry
3 Capitol Hill, Room 404
Providence, RI 02908-5097
Phone: (401) 222-2151
SOUTH CAROLINA

South Carolina Board of Medical Examiners
P.O. Box 11289
Columbia, SC 29211-1289
Koger Office Park, Kingstree Building
110 Centerview Drive, Suite 202, Columbia, SC 29210
Phone: (803) 896-4500
Fax: (803) 896-4515
Web Site: http://www.llr.state.sc.us/me.htm
E-mail: medboard@mail.llr.state.sc.us

South Carolina Board of Dentistry
P.O. Box 11329
Columbia, SC 29211-1329
Koger Office Park, Kingstree Building,
110 Centerview Drive, Columbia, SC 29210
Phone: (803) 896-4599
Fax: (803) 896-4596
Web Site: http://www.llr.state.sc.us/denlic.htm

SOUTH DAKOTA

South Dakota Board of Medical
and Osteopathic Examiners
1323 S. Minnesota Avenue
Sioux Falls, SD 57105
Phone: (605) 334-8343
Fax: (605) 336-0270
Web Site: http://www.state.sd.us/dcr/medical/
med-hom.htm

South Dakota State Board of Dentistry
P.O. Box 1037
Pierre, SD 57501
Phone: (605) 224-1282
Fax: (605) 224-7426
Web Site: http://www.state.sd.us/dcr/dentistry/
dent-hom.htm
E-mail: sdsbd@dtgnet.com

TENNESSEE

Tennessee Board of Medical Examiners
1st Floor, Cordell Hull Building
425 5th Avenue North
Nashville, TN 37247-1010
Phone: (615) 532-4384
Fax: (615) 532-5369
Web Site: http://170.142.76.180/
bmf-bin/BMFproflist.pl

Tennessee Board of Osteopathic Examiners
1st Floor, Cordell Hull Building
425 5th Avenue North
Nashville, TN 37247-1010
Phone: (615) 532-3202
Fax: (615) 532-5369
Web Site: http://170.142.76.180/
bmf-bin/BMFproflist.pl

Tennessee Board of Dentistry
1st Floor, Cordell Hull Building
425 5th Avenue North
Nashville, TN 37247-1010
Phone: (615) 532-3202
Fax: (615) 532-5369
Web Site: http://170.142.76.180/
bmf-bin/BMFproflist.pl

TEXAS

Texas State Board of Medical Examiners
P.O. Box 2018
Austin, TX 78768-2018
333 Guadalupe, Tower 3, Suite 630,Austin, TX 78701
Phone: (512) 305-7010
Fax: (512) 305-7008
Complaint Line: 1-800-201-9353
Web Site: http://www.tsbme.state.tx.us/

Texas State Board of Dental Examiners
333 Guadalupe, Tower 3, Suite 800
Austin, TX 78701
Phone: (512) 463-6400
Fax: (512) 463-7452
Web Site: http://www.tsbde.state.tx.us/

UTAH

Utah Physicians Licensing Board
Division of Occupational and Professional
Licensing
P.O. Box 146741
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-6741
160 East 300 South, 4th Floor, Salt Lake City,
UT 84102
Phone: (801) 530-6628
Fax: (801) 530-6511
Web Site: http://www.commerce.state.ut.us/dopl/
dopl1.htm
E-mail: brdopl.pfairhur@email.state.ut.us
Utah Board of Dentists and Dental Hygienists
Division of Occupational and Professional Licensing
P.O. Box 146741
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-6741
160 East 300 South, Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Phone: (801) 530-6740
Fax: (801) 530-6511
Web Site: http://www.commerce.state.ut.us/dopl/dopl1.htm
E-mail: brdopl.pfairhr@email.state.ut.us

VERMONT

Vermont Board of Medical Practice
109 State Street
Montpelier, VT 05609-1106
Phone: (802) 828-2673
Fax: (802) 828-5450
Web Site: http://www.docboard.org/vt/vermont.htm

Vermont Board of Osteopathic Physicians and Surgeons
Office of Professional Regulation
26 Terrace Street, Drawer 09
Montpelier, VT 05609-1101
Phone: (802) 828-2373
Fax: (802) 828-2465
Web Site: http://www.vtprofessionals.org/oprbegin.htm

Vermont Board of Dental Examiners
26 Terrace Street, Drawer 09
Montpelier, VT 05609-1106
Phone: (802) 828-2390
Fax: (802) 828-2465
Web Site: http://vtprofessionals.org/dentists/

VIRGINIA

Virginia Board of Medicine
6606 W. Broad Street, 4th Floor
Richmond, VA 23230-1717
Phone: (804) 662-9908
Fax: (804) 662-9943
Web Site: http://www.dhp.state.va.us/levelone/med.htm
E-mail: medbd@dhp.state.va.us

Virginia Board of Dentistry
6606 W. Broad Street, 4th Floor
Richmond, VA 23230-1717
Phone: (804) 662-9906
Web Site: http://www.dhp.state.va.us/levelone/den.htm
E-mail: denbd@dhp.state.va.us

WASHINGTON

Washington State Department of Health
Medical Quality Assurance Commission
P.O. Box 47866
Olympia, WA 98504-7866
1300 Quince Street S.E., Olympia, WA 98501
Phone: (360) 236-4800
Fax: (360) 586-4573
Web Site: http://www.doh.wa.gov/medical/default.htm

Washington Board of Osteopathic Medicine and Surgery
P.O. Box 47870
Olympia, WA 98504-7870
1300 Quince Street S.E., Olympia, WA 98501
Phone: (360) 236-4945
Fax: (360) 586-0745
Web Site: http://www.doh.wa.gov/hsqa/hpqad/Osteopath/default.htm

Dental Quality Assurance Commission
P.O. Box 47867
Olympia, WA 98504-7867
1300 Quince Street S.E., Olympia, WA 98501
Phone: (360) 236-4863
Fax: (360) 664-9077
Web Site: http://www.doh.wa.gov/hsqa/hpqad/Dental/default.htm

WEST VIRGINIA

West Virginia Board of Medicine
101 Dee Drive
Charleston, WV 25311
Phone: (304) 558-2921
Fax: (304) 558-2084
Web Site: http://www.wvdhhr.org/wvbom/

West Virginia Board of Osteopathy
334 Penco Road
Weirton, WV 26062
Phone: (304) 723-4638
Fax: (304) 723-2877
E-mail: bdosteo@mail.wvnet.edu
West Virginia Board of Dental Examiners  
P.O. Drawer 1459  
Beckley, WV 25802-1459  
Phone: (304) 252-8266  
Fax: (304) 252-2779  
E-mail: apsa@citynet.net  

WISCONSIN  
Wisconsin Medical Examining Board  
Department of Regulation and Licensing  
P.O. Box 8935  
Madison, WI 53708-8935  
1400 E. Washington Avenue, Room 142, Madison, WI 53703  
Phone: (608) 266-2112  
Fax: (608) 267-0644  
Web Site: http://badger.state.wi.us/agencies/drl/Regulation/html/dod279.html  
E-mail: dorl@drl.state.wi.us  

Wisconsin Dentistry Examining Board  
Bureau of Health Professions  
Department of Regulation & Licensing  
P.O. Box 8935  
Madison, WI 53708  
1400 E. Washington Avenue  
Phone: (608) 266-2811  
Web Site: http://www.drl.state.wi.us/agencies/drl/Regulation/html/dod087.html  

WYOMING  
Wyoming Board of Medicine  
211 West 19th Street  
Colony Building, 2nd Floor  
Cheyenne, WY 82002  
Phone: (307) 778-7053  
Fax: (307) 778-2069  

Wyoming Board of Dental Examiners  
P.O. Box 272  
Kemmerer, WY 83101  
Phone: (307) 777-6529  

U.S. TERRITORIES  
The following U.S Territories are defined as States in §60.3 of the Data Bank Regulations.  

AMERICAN SAMOA  
Department of Medical Services  
American Samoa Government  
LBJ Tropical Medical Center  
Turner Drive  
Pago Pago, AS 96799  
Phone: 011 (684) 633-4590  
Fax: 011 (684) 633-1869  
Web Site: http://www.samoa.net/asg/  

GUAM  
Guam Board of Medical Examiners  
Health Professional Licensing Office  
P.O. Box 2816  
Hagatna, GU 96932  
Phone: 011 (671) 475-0251  
Fax: 011 (671) 477-4733  
Web Site: http://www.visitguam.org/GVB/Govindex.html  

NORTHERN MARIANAS  적가과치기가 Board of Professional Licensing  
P.O. Box 2078  
Saipan, MP 96950  
Phone: (670) 234-5897  
Fax: (670) 234-7040  
Web Site: http://www.mariana-islands.gov.mp/contact.htm  

PUERTO RICO  
Puerto Rico Board of Medical Examiners  
P.O. Box 13969  
San Juan, PR 00908  
Kennedy Avenue, ILA Bldg., Hogar del Obrero, Portuario, Piso 8, Puerto Nuevo 00920  
Phone: (787) 782-8989  
Fax: (787) 782-8733  

Puerto Rico Board of Dental Examiners  
P.O. Box 10200  
San Juan, PR 00908  
Phone: (787) 725-8161  

VIRGIN ISLANDS  
Virgin Islands Board of Medical Examiners  
Department of Health  
48 Sugar Estate  
St. Thomas, VI 00802  
Phone: (340) 774-0117  
Fax: (340) 777-4001
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APPENDIX A: Glossary

This glossary contains terms that relate to the National Practitioner Data Bank (NPDB), and the definitions apply only to their usage in conjunction with the NPDB and its policies and procedures.

**adverse action** — (1) an action taken against a practitioner’s clinical privileges or medical staff membership in a health care entity, or (2) a licensure disciplinary action.

**Adverse Action Codes** — a list of adverse actions and the codes used to identify them when submitting reports to the NPDB.

**Adverse Action Report (AAR)** — the format used by health care entities and State Licensing Boards to report an adverse action taken against a physician, dentist, or other health care practitioner.

**adversely affects** — reduces, restricts, suspends, revokes, or denies clinical privileges or membership in a health care entity.

**authorized agent** — an individual or organization that an eligible entity designates to query the NPDB on its behalf. In most cases, an authorized agent is an independent contractor to the requesting entity (for instance, a county medical society or state hospital association) used for centralized credentialing. An authorized agent cannot query the NPDB without designation from an eligible entity.

**authorized submitter** — an individual empowered by an eligible entity to submit reports or queries to the NPDB. The authorized submitter certifies the legitimacy of information in a query or report submitted to the NPDB. In most cases, the authorized submitter is an employee of the eligible entity (such as an Administrator or Medical Staff Director).

**board of medical examiners** — a body or subdivision of such body that is designated by a State for licensing, monitoring, and disciplining physicians or dentists. This term includes boards of allopathic or osteopathic examiners, a composite board, a subdivision, or an equivalent body as determined by the State.

**clinical privileges** — privileges, membership on the medical staff, and other circumstances (including panel memberships) in which a physician, dentist, or other licensed health care practitioner is permitted to furnish medical care by a health care entity.

**Correction** — a change intended to supersede a report in the NPDB.

**Data Bank Identification Number (DBID)** — a unique, 15-digit, identification number assigned to eligible entities and authorized agents when they register with the NPDB. Entities and agents need this number to query and report to the NPDB using the IQRS. The DBID must be included on all correspondence to the NPDB.
dentist — a doctor of dental surgery, a doctor of dental medicine, or the equivalent who is legally authorized to practice dentistry by a State, or who, without authority, holds himself or herself out to be so authorized.

Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) — the Government agency responsible for administration of the NPDB.

dispute — a formal, written objection of the accuracy of a report or the fact that a specific event was reported to the NPDB. Disputes may be made only by the subject of a report.

Data Bank Control Number (DCN) — the identification number assigned by the NPDB that is used to identify each query and report. Eligible entities use the DCN when submitting a Correction or a Void to the NPDB.

draft — a report that is temporarily stored without being submitted to the NPDB-HIPDB for processing. Reporters may create drafts of any type of report and store them for future retrieval for up to 30 days. Draft reports are not required to have all mandatory data elements completed and are not considered valid submissions to the NPDB-HIPDB.

Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) — the Government agency that registers practitioners to dispense controlled substances and assigns practitioners Federal DEA Numbers.

Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT) — a method of electronic payment for NPDB queries. Entities may authorize their banks to directly debit their accounts in order to pay for queries processed by the NPDB. To use the Electronic Funds Transfer payment method, entities must provide to the NPDB the account number, routing code, and type of account (checking or savings) for the bank account from which fee payment is authorized.

eligible entity — an entity that is entitled to query and/or report to the NPDB under the provisions of Title IV of Public Law 99-660, as specified in 45 CFR Part 60. Eligible entities must certify their eligibility to the NPDB in order to query and/or report.

Entity Primary Function Codes — two-digit code that best describes the primary function your entity performs. The code is used on the Entity Registration form.

formal peer review process — the conduct of professional review activities through formally adopted written procedures that provide for adequate notice and an opportunity for a hearing.

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) — the law that provides public access to Federal Governmental records. See the Information Sources chapter of this Guidebook.

Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986, as amended — Title IV of Public Law 99-660; legislation intended to improve the quality of medical care by encouraging hospitals, State Licensing Boards, and other health care entities, including professional societies, to
identify and discipline those who engage in unprofessional behavior; and to restrict the ability of incompetent practitioners to move from State to State without disclosure or discovery of the practitioners’ previous damaging or incompetent performance.

**health care entity** — (1) a hospital; (2) an entity that provides health care services and follows a formal peer review process for the purpose of furthering quality health care; or (3) a professional society or a committee or agent thereof, including those at the national, State, or local level, of physicians, dentists, or other health care practitioners, that follows a formal peer review process for the purpose of furthering quality health care.

**health care practitioner** — an individual other than a physician or dentist (1) who is licensed or otherwise authorized by a State to provide health care services, or (2) who, without State authority, holds himself or herself out to be authorized to provide health care services.

**hospital** [as described in Section 1861(e)(1) and (7) of the Social Security Act] — an institution primarily engaged in providing, by or under the supervision of physicians, to inpatients (1) diagnostic services and therapeutic services for medical diagnosis, treatment, and care of injured, disabled, or sick persons; or (2) rehabilitation services for the rehabilitation of injured, disabled, or sick persons, and, if required by State or local law, is licensed or is approved by the agency of the State or locality responsible for licensing hospitals as meeting the standards established for such licensing.

**ICD Transfer Program (ITP)** — a program that transmits Interface Control Document (ICD) report and query files to and from the NPDB-HIPDB. This option is used by entities that do not have access to the IQRS, or prefer to generate reports and queries using custom software.

**Initial Report** — the original record of a medical malpractice payment or adverse action submitted by a reporting entity. An eligible entity references an Initial Report (using the DCN) when submitting a Correction, Void, or Revision to Action.

**Integrated Querying and Reporting Service (IQRS)** — an electronic, Internet-based system for querying and reporting to the NPDB and the HIPDB.

**Interface Control Document (ICD)** — a file format for the NPDB-HIPDB that represents all components of reports and queries. Entities who do not have access to the Internet may ftp their queries and reports in ICD format.

**licensure disciplinary action** — (1) revocation, suspension, restriction, or acceptance of surrender of a license; and (2) censure, reprimand, or probation of a licensed physician or dentist based on professional competence or professional conduct.

**medical malpractice payer** — an entity that makes a medical malpractice payment through an insurance policy or otherwise for the benefit of a practitioner.
medical malpractice payment — a monetary exchange as a result of a settlement or judgment of a written complaint or claim demanding payment based on a physician’s, dentist’s, or other licensed health care practitioner’s provision of or failure to provide health care services, and may include, but is not limited to, the filing of a cause of action, based on the law of tort, brought in any State or Federal Court or other adjudicative body.

Medical Malpractice Payment Report — the format used by medical malpractice payers to report a medical malpractice payment made for the benefit of a physician, dentist, or other health care practitioner.

NPDB-HIPDB Customer Service Center — The Customer Service Center encompasses all the tools and services that the Data Banks use to support customers. Questions may be directed to Information Specialists at the Customer Service Center by e-mail at npdb-hipdb@sra.com or by phone at 1-800-767-6732 (TDD 1-703-802-9395).

Occupation/Field of Licensure Codes — a list of occupational activities/licensure categories for health care practitioners, providers, and suppliers, and the codes used to identify them.

physician — a doctor of medicine or osteopathy who is legally authorized to practice medicine or surgery by a State, or who, without authority, holds himself or herself out to be so authorized.

Portable Document Format (PDF) — files with a .pdf extension, such as Adobe Acrobat Reader files. Format used for NPDB query and report responses and other forms accessed via the IQRS.

practitioner — a physician, dentist, or other licensed health care practitioner.

Privacy Act — the law that establishes safeguards for the protection of Federal systems of records the Government collects and keeps on individual persons. See the Information Sources chapter of this Guidebook.

professional review action — an action or recommendation of a health care entity:

(1) taken in the course of professional review activity;

(2) based on the professional competence or professional conduct of an individual physician, dentist, or other health care practitioner which affects or could affect adversely the health or welfare of a patient or patients; and

(3) which adversely affects or may adversely affect the clinical privileges of the physician, dentist, or other health care practitioner.

(4) This term excludes actions which are primarily based on: (a) the physician’s, dentist’s, or other health care practitioner’s association, or lack of association, with a professional
society or association; (b) the physician’s, dentist’s, or other health care practitioner’s fees or the physician’s, dentist’s, or other health care practitioner’s advertising or engaging in other competitive acts intended to solicit or retain business; (c) the physician’s, dentist’s, or other health care practitioner’s participation in prepaid group health plans, salaried employment, or any other manner of delivering health services whether on a fee-for-service or other basis; (d) a physician’s, dentist’s, or other health care practitioner’s association with, supervision of, delegation of authority to, support for, training of, or participation in a private group practice with, a member or members of a particular class of health care practitioner or professional; or (e) any other matter that does not relate to the professional competence or professional conduct of a physician, dentist, or other health care practitioner.

**professional review activity** — an activity of a health care entity with respect to an individual physician, dentist, or other health care practitioner: (1) to determine whether the physician, dentist, or other health care practitioner may have clinical privileges with respect to, or membership in, the entity; (2) to determine the scope or conditions of such privileges or membership; or (3) to change or modify such privileges or membership.

**professional society** — an association of physicians or dentists that follows a formal peer review process for the purpose of furthering quality health care.

**QPRAC** — software previously available from the NPDB that allowed eligible entities to query and report electronically either via network telecommunication using a modem or on diskettes submitted by mail. QPRAC has been replaced by the IQRS.

**query** — a request for information submitted to the NPDB by an eligible entity or authorized agent via the IQRS or ICD format.

**report** — record of a medical malpractice payment or adverse action submitted to the NPDB by an eligible entity. Reports may be submitted via the IQRS or by ITP using the appropriate ICD format.

**Revision to Action** — an action relating to and modifying an adverse action previously reported to the NPDB. A Revision to Action does not supersede a previously reported adverse action. An entity that reports an Initial adverse action must also report any revision to that action.

**Secretary** — the Secretary of Health and Human Services.

**Secretarial Review** — the recourse provided a practitioner in the event that he or she disputes a report to the NPDB and the reporting entity (1) declines to change the report or (2) does not respond. The Secretary of HHS will review the case and determine whether the report is factually accurate or should have been reported to the NPDB.

**self-query** — a subject’s request for information contained in the NPDB-HIPDB about himself or herself. All self-query requests are automatically submitted to both the NPDB and the HIPDB. A self-query may not be sent to only one Data Bank.
State — the 50 States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Northern Mariana Islands.

State licensing board — a generic term used to refer to State medical and dental boards, as well as those bodies responsible for licensing other health care practitioners.

State medical or dental board — a board of medical examiners.

Subject statement — a statement of up to 2,000 characters (including spaces and punctuation) or less submitted by a subject practitioner regarding a report contained in the NPDB.

Void — a retraction of a report in its entirety. Voided reports are not disclosed in response to queries, including self-queries by practitioners. Reports may be voided only by the reporting entity or the Secretary of HHS through Secretarial Review.

45 Code of Federal Regulations Part 60 (45 CFR 60) — Federal regulations that govern the NPDB. See Appendix B.
APPENDIX B: Laws and Regulations

The following laws and regulations apply to the National Practitioner Data Bank. The full text can be accessed by clicking the web site link next to each.
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### APPENDIX C: Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAR</td>
<td>Adverse Action Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHPPr</td>
<td>Bureau of Health Professions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Centers for Medicare &amp; Medicaid Services (formerly the Health Care Financing Administration)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBID</td>
<td>Data Bank Identification Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCN</td>
<td>Data Bank Control Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEA</td>
<td>Drug Enforcement Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQA</td>
<td>Division of Quality Assurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>Electronic Funds Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOIA</td>
<td>Freedom of Information Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHS</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Health and Human Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIPDB</td>
<td>Healthcare Integrity and Protection Data Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMO</td>
<td>Health Maintenance Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRSA</td>
<td>Health Resources and Services Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD</td>
<td>Interface Control Document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITP</td>
<td>(ICD) Transfer Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQRS</td>
<td>Integrated Querying and Reporting Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAE</td>
<td>Loss Adjustment Expense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCO</td>
<td>Managed Care Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMER</td>
<td>Medicare/Medicaid Exclusion Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMPR</td>
<td>Medical Malpractice Payment Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPDB</td>
<td>National Practitioner Data Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIG</td>
<td>Office of Inspector General/HHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDF</td>
<td>Portable Document File</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPO</td>
<td>Preferred Provider Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QPRAC</td>
<td>Query on Practitioners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVD</td>
<td>Report Verification Document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SND</td>
<td>Subject Notification Document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSN</td>
<td>Social Security Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDD</td>
<td>Telecommunications Device for the Deaf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIN</td>
<td>Taxpayer Identification Number</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>